The news in this publication is released for the press on receipt.

MARCH 11, 1925

CHAPEL HILL, N. C. THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA PRESS

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Published Weekly by the University of North Carolina for the University Extension Division.

VOL. XI, NO. 17

Entered as second-class matter Nevember 14, 1914, at the Posteffice at Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of August 24, 1912

FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURNS

E litorial Boards E. C. Bransen, S. H. Hobbs, Jr., L. R. Wilson, S. W. Knight, D. D. Carrell, J. B. Bullitt, H. W. Odum,

INCOME TAX RETURNS

In total taxes paid into the Federal treasury in 1924 North Carolina was cities with absolute accuracy because surpassed by only four states, New York, Pennsylvania, Illinois, and Michi-gan, in the order named. The North Carolina tax amounted to \$157,973. - Ied the cities of the state in the pro-394 of which the winning the state in the pro-894. of which the miscellaneous tax amounted to \$157,973. ded the cities of the state in the pro-come tax to \$18,173,157. taxes contribute about 99 percent of our miscellaneous tax, or nearly 138 million dollars. In a way our tobacco each of which filed more than eight tax is not properly credited to North hundred income tax returns. The Carolina since it is paid by the tobac-table is based on the population as re-co consumers of the nation. But the ported by the census, divided by the same is true of Federal taxes paid by other states on products which enter Rank Cities into interstate commerce. It is a North Carolina industry that is responsible for the tax, and thus it is North Carolina's contribution to the Federal Government.

This study, however, deals with personal income taxes and personal re-turns filed by ,counties. North Carolina does not rank high in income taxes paid, either to the Federal government or to the state, when ranked on a comparable basis. The total of Federal income taxes paid by individuals and corporations for the income year ending December 31, 1922, amounted to \$16,374,568. Of this total \$11,465,957 was paid by 3,486 corporations and \$4,908,611 was paid by 22,674 individuals.

How Counties Rank

The table which appears elsewhere shows how the one hundred counties of the state rank in the ratio of inhabitants who filed Federal personal income tax returns for the income year of 1922. New Hanover ranks first with one return filed for every 12.4 inhabitants in the county. Clay ranks last with one .return for every 967.4 inhabitants. Or to state it in an-other way. In New Hanover county one return was filed for every two and a half families, upon an average, while in Clay county it required nearly two hundred families to produce one in-come tax payer. The other counties of the state fall between these two extremes. As a rule, the urban industrial counties, with a sprinkling of combination cotton tobacco counties, lead, while the tidewater, mountain, and rural central state counties lag in the payment of income taxes.

North Carolina averages one return for every 45.7 inhabitants, or one return for every nine families upon an average, and only twenty counties rank above the state average. More than two-thirds of all the income taxpayers are in the twenty counties that rank highest in the table, and only one-third in the other eighty counties.

City People Pay

A study of the accompanying table shows clearly that income and profit taxes are paid largely by urban people, almost exclusively by people, who, for all practical purposes, might be classed as urban. It is reliably re-ported that of the quarter-million farmers of the state, probably not more than one hundred, strictly farmers, paid an income tax, either Federal or State, last year. All the counties which rank high in the table have large towns, or factories, or both. On the other hand, the counties that uting its proportionate share of the agricultural. Even in the cotton and sumed that the rural environment is the them through their childish eyes and have interpreted them. The pageant tobacco belt a county does not rank most favorable for the development of is offered with the earnest hope that well unless it has a fairly large town greatness. The facts stamp this as an-it may help to fulfill some of the needs other popular fallacy. It is in the for worthwhile children's dramas. workers. To illustrate, Greene is per-haps as productive as Wilson but leaders are born and educated. The Greene, with no important town, ranks low, while Wilson ranks high.

A classification of the returns shows that exactly two-thirds of all income tax payers live in 27 towns of the state with more than 5,000 inhabitants each. The remaining one-third live largely in the 444 towns with fewer than 5,000 inhabitants.

Mecklenburg leads the counties of the state in total personal income tax returns filed, while Guilford, Bunreturns filed, while Guilford, Bun-combe, Forsyth, and Wake follow in the order named, all urban counties. Information Service.

Greensboro First Manifestly it is impossible to rank

EWS

ILL

3.0

3.1

9.8).2

).8).9

3.0

3.9

The following table shows the rank

of the thirteen cities of the state, The number of returns reported for 1922. Returns Inhabs

		Per	Retu
	Greensboro	3,500	
2	Raleigh	3,440	
;	Charlotte	5,850	
	Rocky Mount	1,600	1
	Asheville	3,510	1
	Wilmington	3,415	-
	Durham	2,120	10
	Wilson	980	10
	Fayetteville	815	10
	Winston-Salem	3,715	13
	Salisbury	1,010	12
	High Point	980	14
	Gastonia	830	1(

Thirteen cities filed a total of 38,715 returns, or two-thirds of all, returns filed in the state, and most likely paid than two-thirds of all personal inmore come taxes paid to the Federal gov-ernment. Yet the census reports that only eleven percent of the population Many bulletins on many varied sub-of the state lives in these thirteen jects have been issued by the Extencities!-S. H. H., Jr.

FARM LIFE AND LEADERS

Contending that poor schools do not produce leaders, a study by the National Education Association, published in a research bulletin, says: "This fact is supported by evidence given in Who's Who. The census of 1870 is nearest the birth of most of the people now included in this publication. At the time of this census 26,952,301 people were living on farms in the United States and 11,606,070 were living in cities, towns, and villages.

"Since nearly 70 percent of our peo-

"Although 70 percent of our people were living on farms in 1870, but 6,288, or 25.9 percent of the people listed in Who's Who were born on farms. Although only 30 percent of our popula-tion in 1870 was living in cities, towns, and villages, this 30 percent has pro-duced 17,990 notables or 74.1 percent of those listed in Who's Who.

"Stated differently, we may say:

"Each 1,000,000 people living in rural sections produced 233 notable men and women.

"Each 1,000,000 people living in urban sections produced 1,550 notable men and women.

"In proportion to population, over six times as many notable people are born in urban as in rural communities.

handicap of the miserable educational facilities provided in many rural sections is too great for country children

to overcome. Genius may 'out', but Most of few of us are geniuses. need a good education to bring out the best that is in us. "On the other hand, urban children

enjoying the advantages of superior schools have had more than an equal

KNOW NORTH CAROLINA Resort Resources

Nature has given North Carolina abundant possibilities for becoming a great resort state. The variety of altitudes, climate and scenery, and the location of the state make it sought by the tourist, while its salubrious climate attracts thousands of sick and overworked people who are seeking restoration to health and recuperated energies. With its ocean for the summer bathers, its sounds and rivers teaming with game and fish for the winter sportsman, its Sand Hill Country for the winter tourist, its mountain country, unsurpassed in scenery and salubrious climate, and open practically the year round, and lastly, with its system of highways, North Carolina offers to the tourist, the pleasure seeker, and the health seeker all that can be desired. It is very probable that North Carolina will develop into the foremost resort state of the Union. -From Know Your Own State-North Carolina, A Program for Women's Clubs issued by the University Extension Division.

CHILDREN OF OLD CAROLINA

sion Division of the University of North Carolina but its most recent issue is of a very different type in many ways from any of its previous publications. The title of this new bulletin is Children of Old Carolina, an Historical Drama for Children, by Miss Ethel T. Rockwell of the Bureau of attractively bound in blue covers and is illustrated.

In her preface Miss Rockwell states that she has written Children of Old Carolina in order to meet an

ever-growing demand for historical "Since nearly 70 percent of our peo-ple were living on farms in 1870, and since most of the people listed in Who's Who were born around 1870 we Who's Who were born around 1870, we difficult to supply this demand, for most pageants have been written for listed in Who's Who were born on farms. Just the opposite is the case. high-school age, although again and again one witnesses the usually pathetic attempt to present the great personages of history. As a class ex-ercise in the schoolroom it may be of considerable educational and inspira-tional value but as a big jout-door entertainment it falls far short of being either.

> Children of Old Carolina other hand has been written for children to enjoy and to be stagged by them. Always the author has kept in mind that the cast is to be composed of children and has tried to have them do the things that children of the various periods depicted would naturally have done. They sing the songs of the period, dance the dances, play the folkgames, work at typical tasks, and talk about the great events of the day as they would have seen them through their childish eyes and

The historical play is divided into eight parts, viz: The Children of the ost Colony, of Primeval Days, of Old Colonial Days, of Westward Ho, of the Revolution, of Old Plantation Days, of the Confederacy, and of the New Freedom that was ushered, in by Chas. B. Aycock. Each of these groups is introduced by the spirit of a dominant hero of the period, as Raleigh, Manteo, Moseley, Archdale, Graffenried, Waddell, Flora McDonald, Spangenberg, Boone, Harnett, Davie, Graham, Vance, and Aycock. There is also a Prologue and an Epilogue depicting the Children of Today.

AFFILIATION OF STUDENTS The Christian Education Magazine gives the number of colleges belonging to each of the leading denominations in North Carolina, the number of students enrolled from the several churches and the relative percentages in state and church schools. The figures follow: Institutions: Baptist, 2; Methodist,

5; Presbyterian, 5; Disciples, 2; Lutheran, 1; Friends, 1; Moravian, 1; 5: State, 5; Independent, 1; total, 23. Enrollment: Total, 10,587. Baptists, 2,746; Methodists, 3,588; Presbyterians, 1,857; Disciples, 457; Episcopalians, 513; Roman Catholics, 36; Lutherans, 327; Friends, 98; Moravians, 42.

Distribution: Baptists-in State institutions, 53 percent; in Baptist colleges, 31 percent; in all other colleges, 16 percent. Methodists-In State insti-16 percent, wetnouses in State inst-tutions, 50 percent; in Methodist col-leges, 38 percent; in all other col-leges, 22 percent. Presbyterians-In State institutions, 43 percent; in Presbyterian colleges, 46 percent, in all other colleges, 11 percent. Disciples -In State institutions, 33 percent, in Disciples colleges, 52 percent, in all other colleges, 15 percent.

In the Baptist colleges of this state 79 percent of the students are Baptists; in the Methodist colleges 73 percent are Methodists; in the Presbyterian colleges 77 percent are Presbyterians; in the Disciples colleges 51 percent are Disciples; in Friends colleges 40 per-cent are Friends; in the Lutheran college 72 percent are Lutherans; and in the Moravian college only 13 percent are Moravians.

FATHERS OF GREAT MEN

Mr. S. S. Visher, the geographer, has taken the trouble to determine occupations of the fathers of 18,400 persons who figure in the last issue of Who's Who in America. According to him, men of ability are born much more frequently in the families of professional men than in any other. Differentiating smoore, professional Differentiating among professions he found that one hundred fathers in each of the following groups average a certain number of distinguished sons thus:

Engineers	. 6
Physicians	1.6
Methodist clergy	1.0
Lawyers	1 0
Baptist clergy	0.0
Sea cantains and pilota	2.0
Universelist slares	2.4
Prochatania I	7.0
resbyterian clergy	9.0
Episcopal clergy 1	1.7
Songregational clergy 1	2.5
Unitarian clergy	5.0
-Current Opinio	on.

SAVINGS

Savings represent much more than mere money value. They are the proof that the saver is worth something in himself. Any fool can waste, any fool can meddle; but it takes something of a man to save and the more he saves the more of a man does it make of him. Waste and extravagance unsettle a man's mind for every crisis; thrift, which means some form of self-re-straint, steadies it.—Rudyard Kipling.

FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURNS

In North Carolina for the Income Year 1922

Based on Statistics of Income for 1922, Federal Treasury Department, and the 1922 census estimate of population, showing (1) the number of inhabitants per Federal income tax return for each county in the state for the income year of 1922, and (2) the total number of returns filed for each county.

1922, and (2) the total number of returns filed for each county. New Hanover ranks first with one return for every 12.4 inhabitants, while Mecklenburg leads in total number of returns filed, 5,956. Clay ranks last, both in total number of returns, five, and in inhabitants per return, 967.4. As a rule the urban, industrial, and cotton-tobacco counties lead, while the Tide-water, Mountain, and rural Piedmont counties rank poor.

State average one return for every 45.7 inhabitants, or one for every nine families. Only twenty counties rank above the state average, while eighty Community Drama. This booklet is counties rank below the state average.

Hill Yarborough, Franklin county Department of Rural Social-Economics, University

 	· oourar	Licononnes,	University	01	North	arolina.
 			_			

D 1	G	1 37 31		1 -				
Kank	Counties Tota	al No. N	o. Inhab.	Ran	k Counties	Total	No. No.	Inhab.
T	New Hanover	0.01 80110.	er neturn		<i>a</i>	Retur	ns Per	Return
2	Mecklenburg	5 956	12.4	51	Caldwell.	• • • • • • •	210	96.8
3	Buncombe	3 920	14.1	52	Person	• • • • • • •	200	96,9
4	Guilford	A 810	17.4	00	Martin		210	102.9
5	Edgecombe	2 070	10.1	54	Rutherford		300	107.3
6	Durham	9 900	10.1	54	Swain	• • • • • • •	130	107.3
7	Wake	3 750	20.0	00	Randolph.	• • • • • • •	280	111.4
8	Forsyth	9 850	20,0	01	Johnston.,	• • • • • •	440	115.8
9	Pasquotank	5,650	24.0	50	Duplin	•••••	260	120.9
10	Richmond	910	20.0	60	Anson	• • • • • •	240	121.1
11	Rowan	1 470	20.7	61	Harnett	• • • • • •	240	124.5
12	Wilson	1 1 40	24.9	60	Hertford		130	127.0
13	Craven	. 1,140	94.0	02	Pamilco		70	*129.4
14	Cumberland	950	04.0 90 0	03	Hoke	• • • • • •	90	134.9
15	Alamance	900 860	20.2	64	Northampt	on	150	156.0
16	Vance		20 4	65	Tyrrell	• • • • • •	30	*161.6
17	Lenoir	000	09.4	66	Mitchell	• • • • • • •	70	163.0
18	Chowan	955	41.1	67	Jackson	• • • • • •	80	168.7
19	1.00	200	*41.8	68	Franklin	•••••	160	169.8
20	Geston	1 970	44.1	69	Davie		80	170.3
21	Handarson	. 1,210	40.2	70	Bertie	• • • • • •	140	171.7
22	Wayne	- 010 200	00.7 E1 0	71	Cherokee	• • • • • • •	90	172.5
23	Holifay	· 000	51.9	72	Dare		30	172.9
24	Cartorot	900	Q3.9	73	Lincoln		100	180.5
25	Bacufort	- 490 570	54.4	74	Brunswick.		80	187.2
26	Bookingham	. 010	54.5	75	Sampson	• • • • • •	200	187.7
20	Dowidson	. 840	54,9	76	Wilkes		170	195.6
99	Maama	. 040	57.3	77	Alexander.		60	206.0
20	Di++	. 390	57.7	78	Avery		50	210.2
20	Cabarnua	. 840	67.8	79	Onslow		70	212.1
21	Tradall	. 090	60.3	80	Chatham		110	219.2
30	Cotombo	. 040	60.7	81 .	Nash		190	226.0
22	McDowall	. 080	60.9	82	Stokes		90	229.8
24	Ordnoro	. 210	60.1	83	Currituck		30	*242.2
25	Scotland	. 480	66.5	84	Pender	• • • • • •	60	*246.4
36	Haumood	· 200	68.01	85	Madison		80	*251.0
37	Werron	. 000	73.1	86	Bladen		80	252.6
20	Suppor	. 480	78.3	87	Jones		40	255.4
30	Stanly	. 420	78.9	88	Camden	• • • • • •	20	*269.1
40	Granville	. 340	86.3	89	Watauga		50	274.2
41	Rohoren	. 310	88.0	90	Yancey		50	317.4
A.)	Washington	120	88.5	91	Macon		40	326.6
49	Troportionic	. 130	88.6	92	Gates	• • • • • •	30	351.9
44	Union	. 110	89.5	93	Ashe		60	358.3
44	Montgomory	. 410	89.6	94	Hyde		20	*419.3
46	Polk	. 160	*91.2	95	Greene		40	425.4
40	Columbus	100	91.3	96	Graham		10	490.3
47	Porquimona	. 330	92.9	97	Caswell		30	533.0
40	Puelco	. 120	92.9	98	Yadkin		30	554.6
50	Claugland	. 250	95,1	99	Alleghany		10	*740.3
00		370	95.9	100	Clay		5	967.4
	Miscellaneous 43		1					

*Population figure of 1920 U. S. Consus used.