

EDITORIALS

Explosive Firecracker

On the 20th of last month, only a short time after the firecracker incident in the dormitory, an anonymous letter was received by your editor. Now it is not customarily the policy of this newspaper to publish or ever consider such correspondence; but due to the fact that it so aptly summed up the discipline situation at the present time, it is hereby submitted for your approval or criticism: To the Editor:

"The Merit of Student Government????"

In this institution of higher learning, on February 24, there was executed one of the most ingenious farces in the history of student government. The main thing which precipitated this crisis was that the dormitory students were threatened with being campused indefinitely if there were any further disturbances caused by firecrackers. Now it is reasonable and desirable that students should refrain from such conduct, but it is my contention that such an ultimatum usually has an opposite effect upon any group as a whole. This was borne out within six hours after the ultimatum was delivered. About eleven o'clock the "boom" of the inevitable cracker was heard throughout the dorm. So now, with the issue forced, our student body president proceeds to pull off another blunder. He puts off Campus—lines up the student body and makes them state under oath that they have not shot any firecrackers, haven't had any in the last month, and don't know anyone who has any crackers. Is it likely that the culprit has been exhibiting these firecrackers publicly? This brings up the main point: Is a student government which has to resort to such extreme and childish measures effective? Why should the majority of students be punished or subjected to such unethical treatment because of the actions of a few? We wonder if after having witnessed this farce it wouldn't be better to turn discipline over to "adults" on the faculty. Perhaps the editor may be able to enlighten us.

—Name withheld for obvious reasons.

It is very true that the whole incident was a farce in the highest and fullest sense of the word. As a matter of fact, the case (I use the expression loosely) should never have reached the proportions that it did. All right, so it was a mistake, then who is to blame? The student council? Not entirely. In this observer's opinion the blame should go more to the people who, when the case first opened tried to influence the student council. They would not let matters die down but inflamed an already sore spot crying for the apprehension and the blood of the culprit. "It could have caused a fire," they said. Why a quiet little cigarette butt lying in a pile of rubbish stands a better chance of starting a fire than that "cracker." At least the firecracker tells you about it. The cigarette just lies there quietly and doesn't say a word.

Let it not be construed that we think the culprit should have gone free and been patted on the back for what he did. He should have been punished, but not quite so harshly. And that's where the student council comes in. We believe that such a wrong should be corrected but that in the future such cases should be carried on in a more effective and less humiliating manner. The student council should be supported when it is right, but it should also be corrected when it is wrong.

FOUNDERS' DAY

Continued From Page One

continue for generations to come. That in this resolution we pay tribute to the long continued interest in Presbyterian Junior College by William Henry Belk, and to his generous contribution of his time and counsel to the development of the college. More than any other man he has given of his means, and his generosity has been an example and challenge to others in providing the physical facilities necessary to the operation of this educational program. The trustees record their gratitude for his excellent service to the college from its founding down to the present time, and express the wish that he may be granted many more years of health and happiness.

The final paragraph in the resolution to Dr. Fairley read:

That in this resolution we pay tribute to Dr. Fairley's long enthusiastic interest in and support of the college. He was one of those personal gifts to the college who pushed the movement for its founding and inspired the cooperation of others. He gave his effort and time without stint and not only contributed of his own means but may be granted many more years of health and happiness.

a member of the executive committee he was very close to the operation of the college and his wisdom and counsel helped in the solution of its problem. He gave his personal collection of books to the library. The trustees record their gratitude for the great service to the college rendered by Dr. Fairley from its founding down to the present time and wish for him many more years of health and happiness.

The final paragraph in the resolution to E. Hervey Evans read: That in this resolution we pay tribute to the service rendered to the college by E. Hervey Evans, who was one of the younger men elected to the first board of trustees. Living near the college, he served as a member of executive committee and gave of his time and effort generously in wrestling with the problems of administration and finance. He has been liberal in his personal gifts to the college through the years. The trustees record here their gratitude for his interest in and service to the college and express the wish that he may be granted many more years of health and happiness.

THE ROVING REPORTER

By BILL MARSH

Food, naturally, being one of the most important things in life, is going to be discussed or talked about anywhere you go. Here around the campus of P.J.C. it is certainly one of the most talked of subjects, barring none.

As is the case of most anything, here are always praises, gripes, as well as suggested for the betterment of its condition. Because of his fact, I thought it only fitting that we compile a selection of both gripes and praises, hoping those uttering satire would not be nanged at dawn. This was the basis for my asking the question, "What is your opinion of the school cafeteria, both good and bad, and how could it best be corrected?"

MORTON JARRETT—It is too cold in the morning to enjoy eating breakfast and even the water seems to be boiled in water.

CHARLIE STAMPER—They have more help than they need and that help money could very well be put into more food. Too, it could be made a little more inviting to eat in (the place, I mean).

BUD RINK—Green peas, string beans, and lima beans, ugh!

BOB CALHOUN—If Mrs. McCallum had a free hand, the chow would be better.

BOYD SMITH—I haven't anything against it. (He has an iron stomach).

HARVEY BAXLEY—I ate there last semester and the only thing I had against it was that most of the things they had I wasn't accustomed to eating, and there wasn't enough free milk.

BUDDY DOWELL—When visitors are here, we have beautiful, well-prepared chow. No visitors, well, no chow. Keep the visitors coming!

DR. WHARTON—The meals are very good as a whole and the breakfast is excellent. The main fault, in my opinion, is that it is simply too cold in the cafeteria in the morning to enjoy breakfast.

JESSE PARKS—How about them being a little more particular in the manner in which they prepare the food.

TOM TEMPLETON—One day we have two sweets, and the next day we don't have any.

ARCHIE CROOM—It would be fairly good if it didn't tend toward the Army style of cooking.

MISS PENNY—We have too much Vienna Sausage and Spam!

REV. MAURY—(He was reluctant to voice his opinion).

SID CARROWAY—The meals are not equally divided in that one day it is real good, and the next day we starve.

RICHARD HAARISON—What we need to get is a dietician, not a dietician. Roger has been experimenting for about thirty years and still can't cook anything except fried chicken. Too, they ought to get help that seems to know what they're supposed to be doing. (Dick, perhaps a little of that dieticianing wouldn't do YOU any harm!)

MR. HALL—The cafeteria system is more efficient than the table system and the food as a whole is good, but a little too greasy.

ALFRED THOMAS—It is as good as it could be according to the "Budget."

GEORGE FAWCETT—Quality without quantity, yet sometimes it is quantity without quality.

BOB SCHIMDT—It isn't as clean as it should be and I think we should eat out of plates.

LELAND GALT—I don't like the idea of my eggs sticking to the tray every morning, the grease, and plenty of it, turning white before you can go through the line. It should be warm enough to be able to sit down and enjoy eggs and bacon without fishing them out of this white, thickened grease.

KEN RAMSEY—The meals are too starchy.

BOB OSBORNE—Uh, uh, uh, III (You know, he never did answer me, He's odd that way.)

TOMMY STEWART—There's not too good a variety.

MRS. HELLEKSON—I think they do a good job for the amount of money paid in. If the boys paid

SONGS TO PEOPLE

The song that "Granny" Jarret is singing these days is, "Those Wedding Bells are breaking up my roommate's life." (Rumor)

Thurston Fox dedicates to Bud Rink (ex-roommates) "Take Me Back and Try Me One More Time."

"Caley Baby" to James Toney (in sick bay) Till Then."

D. S. Wood to John Johnson—"Two In Love." Sarah Neil Hamer to Rock Stanzak, "Don't be a baby, baby."

Catherine to Dickey Hendricks, "I love you, now get out."

Christine to Ankie Rowe—"Can this be love?"

Annie Mae to Bill Lassiter, "You can't be true, dear."

Dick Harrison to Teck Rice, "Miss You."

Harvey Baxley to Faye, "I love you so much it hurts me."

John Wolf to H. Baxley, "I'll never make the same mistake again."

C. L. Runyan to Shields, "You'll never know."

Ken Ramsey to Ed DeArmon, "Fine brown frame."

the grocery bills, they'd soon see why it was necessary to have Spam, Spam, and more Spam.

HUMPHREY ARMISTEAD—I couldn't stand but one semester of it.

A VISITOR—Everything would taste better if only they would paint the cafeteria and the garbage cans could be kept out of sight!

BOYCE MELTON—Our food is hot, but we are cold. I'll be on a spot, for being so bold.

JOEL CAWTHORNE—I think that they should get a new cookbook because the old one is worn out. I have been eating there for three semesters and the only reason I still am is because I can't hold on to my money long enough to eat up-town.

GENE WILLIAMS—We should be served by some good-looking waitresses.

JAMES "GIG" WADE—It is all right when you can't borrow some money to eat up-town (Borrow is right).

MERVIN DOVE—I think they should have the waiter system so as to help some of the boys pay for their meals.

ALFRED CRABTREE—The food and building are too cold. They should warm both.

ELIZABETH ENGLISH—A little more variety wouldn't hurt.

REV. PARRISH—Good work, keep it up. (He doesn't eat here very much, ya'know).

CLAYTON STARNES—We need more moo-juiced brains, and less coffee nerves.

JACK COLLINS—I ate there last semester and we had too much "cold meat." They could at least warm the Vienna Sausages so that they wouldn't be resting in cold "jelly."

Well, the popular consensus of opinion seems to be, in a nutshell, that the food is simply too greasy; it is too cold in the morning to enjoy breakfast; not enough variety in the meals; and that it is not the quality of the food, but the manner in which it is prepared that the fellas don't like.

It would not be proper for me to give myself an exemption sheet on this popular opinion poll, so here is mine: I am under the impression that it is a state health law that all persons handling food, females of course, should be required to wear a hair-net. Why

Scots Even Score

By GEORGE FAWCETT

The Presbyterian Junior College Scotties gained sweet revenge for an earlier loss to Louisburg to the tune of 62-56 in another of their North Carolina Junior College conference victories.

For the P.J.C. cagers two hook shot forwards, Johnny Johnson and Jerry Parrish led the winners attack with 26 and 22 points respectively. Ankie Rowe and Garnet Fawcett played a brilliant defensive game for the Scotties.

Ussery and Thomas led the losers scoring with 15 point each, while McKinney displayed a fine floor game.

(for decencies sake) shouldn't this be the case here? As others in this column have previously stated, I do not think the food is of a low quality. On the contrary, I think it is as good as can be brought, but why, for goodness sakes, cant it be prepared a little better? Some, a precious few, can cook shoe leather and make it taste nice, but gad, they sure can take a swell steak here and make it taste like leather. Since we don't have milk as often as we'd like, why then cant we have some enjoyable coffee? Heated muddy water would be more appetizing. How about cutting out that half chicory-half coffee stuff? Too, take the grease situation, for instance. The bacon and eggs in the morning are so greasy as to nauseate anyone. It would seem that it would be just as easy to have it with a little less grease as it would be for it to be dripping off into your tray. How about it, Roger?

In closing this satirical bit of opinion, I would like to praise Mrs. McCallum for having accepted the position of Head Scape-goat for the cogs in this organization. She takes a lot every day from all of us, griping about this and griping about that, when the real fault lies elsewhere. I admit, as before, it could be cooked a little tastier, but the meals could be a lot better were it all left up to her.

(Want Ad: The desire of an immediate bodyguard upon the publication of this article.)

THE SANDSPUR

Application made to be entered as section class matter September 27, 1938, at the Post Office, Maxton, North Carolina, under act of Congress, August 24, 1912. Published Monthly (9 times a year) by the Student Body of Presbyterian Junior College for the Alumni Association.

VOLUME 1, NUMBER 6

FEBRUARY 1949

STAFF

Editor-in-Chief Gene Lehman
Business Manager Bill Marsh
Associate Editor Jim Warren
Managing Editor Forrest Hill
Sports Editors Bob Hazard, George Fawcett
Feature Editor Hal Sharp
News Staff Rock Rogers,
James Toney, Ken Ramsey, Jerry Parrish

ADVISORS

Prof. James H. Edmonson Mrs. R. E. Hellekson