

The Guilfordian

VOLUME LII

GREENSBORO, N. C. DECEMBER 8, 1967

NUMBER 8



The Guilfordian - Potential Death And Actual Change

One week before the Thanksgiving holidays, Jim Garvin, representative of the day students on the Legislature, conceived of the idea to expand the present newspaper - *The Guilfordian* - or to start a separate newspaper.

The growing dissatisfaction with *The Guilfordian*, said Garvin in Legislature, that "students could care less about news on campus." He added that he was interested in starting another paper for which he felt he could get enough student and faculty support to publish. He also figured he could publish more reasonably through increased advertising and a different publisher.

Appearing before an open Legislature meeting Monday, Nov. 27, Caroline Carlton, College Editor, described briefly the structure of *The Guilfordian*. "We started a Publications Board and approved three editors for this year with an approximate budget of less than \$3,000," said Miss Carlton. She added that it was a new paper to come out every week, which would hopefully negate its past history.

Rich McKelvie and Janet Ghezzi, editors of *The Guilfordian*, each added that "despite many structural problems with the paper, it was certainly progressing." One problem in particular is a lack of facilities in The Guilfordian room, according to McKelvie. This room is shared with the Quaker and contains two inadequate typewriters and no board space, both needed for a newspaper.

McKelvie added that the editors are planning future ideas. "We hope to meet with the other editors of the four neighboring colleges of Greensboro and talk about inter-collegiate communication and problems related to our specific paper," McKelvie said. *The Guilfordian* also hopes to develop a theme concerning student freedom and responsibility and sponsor a forum on this topic in the future.

Following Legislature and subsequent meetings of Jim Garvin and staff, with Donald Christenson, sociology professor and members of the Publication Board, the group decided to work for *The Guilfordian*.

Jim Garvin, Walt Howerton, Zack Lowe,

Ted Mallick, Peter Ballance and Bob Hill will all join the staff of *The Guilfordian*, hoping to expand its scope and increase interest in the paper.

Judicial Controversy In The MIG

The Men's Interdormitory Government, organized last year, took the place of the Men's Student Council which has become ineffective and stagnated.

Tim Tuffs, first President of the MIG, resigned two weeks ago because of an earlier illness, leaving Keith Parks the Presidency.

The MIG has been concerned about its judicial processes lately. Six members had a conference with Dean Lanier to discuss whether the MIG is necessary.

The conference strengthened the MIG through the adoption of three resolutions. First, there should be better communication between the Dean of Students and the MIG Judicial Board. The Dean of Students should inform the MIG when he is dealing with a discipline problem on a counseling situation.

Second, the MIG needs to "sophisticate its judicial system." A permanent fact-finding committee has been set up with the purpose of finding information about a case and deciding whether it is of a judicial nature. The MIG should take more responsibility in enforcing campus codes, and use a more formal procedure for its court system.

Third, the advisors to the MIG should act in an advisory capacity of the MIG instead of independently from it.

"In seeking these evaluations, the MIG comes closer to its function," says Keith Parks. "The purpose is two-fold: to legislate cohesive programs for the male student body and to enforce the codes of behavior of Guilford College men."

The MIG also promotes projects for the college. Joining the Women's Student Council, the MIG is sponsoring a Christmas party in the cafeteria for 30 underprivileged children.

Student Affairs Committee Modifies Women's Rules

The Student Affairs Committee (SAC) voted to modify women's rules a week ago.

This came after long discussion in and out of the committee just before Thanksgiving. The recommended rule changes originated in Student Legislature and were approved by the Women's Student Council (WSC). Then by a 2/3 majority vote, the women students passed the proposed rule changes.

Mary Loveland, President of the WSC, brought the proposed changes to the SAC. The proposals brought before the SAC which passed the women students read:

1. The closing hours of the women's residence halls be changed as follows: A. Sunday through Thursday, 12:00 mid-

night; B. Friday, 1:00 a.m.; C. Saturday, 2:00 a.m.

2. There be no restriction that freshmen women do not leave campus alone during the first semester.
3. There be no restrictions on the number of times a woman student may leave the campus before closing hours of the residence halls (this includes all classes).
4. That all signing out be made voluntary unless the student is spending the night out of the residence hall or is taking one of her late permissions.
5. That women students be allowed to wear bermudas or slacks to the supper meal on Saturday and Sunday nights, in so far as the dress is in accordance with neatness and good taste.

Rules 2 and 5 were approved on November 21 by the SAC. But the other rules remained open for discussion.

Mrs. Kitty Steele, member of the SAC, was one of the fervent objectors to the rule change. Besides her, Dean Nancy Melleney and Dean William Lanier as well as several other faculty members of the committee questioned the change. "The result was a compromise," said Dean Lanier.

Finally, the other rules were amended sufficiently to pass the SAC and the results submitted to President Grimsley Hobbs.

The approved results are that 2, 3, and 5 passed as they were. One (1) was changed to read: The closing hours of the women's resident halls: A. Monday through Thursday, 11:30 p.m.; B. Friday and Saturday, 1:00 a.m.; C. Sunday, 12:00 midnight; D. With the stipulation that the lounges close at their present hours. Four (4) reads: That all signing out be made voluntary unless the student is going off-campus or spending the night out of the residential hall or is taking one of her late permissions.

Members of the SAC are Professor and Chairman, Kidd Lockard, Mrs. Kitty Steele, Professor Herb Appenzeller, Professor John Pipkin, Dean Jerry Godard, Dean William Lanier, Professor John Grice, Dean Nancy Melleney, and students Keith Parks, Mary Loveland, Bob Swain, Scott Root, and Gwen Reddick.

Exhibit of Paintings In Library and Hut

The works of two artists are currently being exhibited in the college library and the Hut.

Emily Huntley, a Guilford faculty member, has a collection of her works on display in the library. She studied at the Rhode Island School of Design where she received a B.F.A. in painting and then took evening classes at Brown University.

Exhibitions of her works have been shown in New England, New York City, and parts of the South. Her current exhibit in the library is a representation of the various subjects, style, and areas of her works. Miss Huntley says that the style of an artist should evolve and grow as the life of the artist does. As an artist who paints portraits, still lifes, and landscapes, Miss Huntley finds it hard to determine her favorite subject matter for painting, but says that her current works have tended to depict ideas of nature instead of specific scenes or places. Although she tends to use generalities in subjects of paintings, Miss Huntley tries to paint individuals as individuals.

An exhibition of Ralbert Barnard is a feature of Guilford's new coffee house, the Hut. Mr. Barnard's paintings are rather satirical because he uses them to express his contempt for the pompous and bogus flim-flam which he believes is present in the art world. As an artist who enjoys the support of a patron who declines to question his work, Mr. Barnard paints only what nearest pleases him, not the general public.

The Generation Gap - A Reality?

WITH EDITORS NOTE

The Generation gap is a reality but not a reason. It is a deceiving term used as a "feeble attempt to give strength to ideas that people have not thought through," contends Dr. William Burris. Nor is the cause from which spring all the seeds of discontent of our protesting generation. This generation gap is merely a term which describes an obvious and perhaps eternal situation, which is that the young people of today (under 25) have different ideas than do older people (over 30). However, by demeaning this inadequate and overused term I am not denying the validity of the increasing cry of protest that is pouring out of this side of that gap, but rather I am attempting to clean away all the trite labels that are pasted onto this tide of dissent and find the pertinent reasons why that dissent exists, and why it is louder than ever before.

One reason is posed by Dr. Cyril Harvey that this gap between generations is not

widening but is narrowing. As a result the two generations are having more dialogue between themselves concerning a greater number of issues, but either side as yet has not been very convincing, and as a result the protest continues.

The affluence of our society has given us more independence, by being less dependent on our parents for our "bread" we can experience a greater freedom to live by our own created standards. The result of this, says Dr. Harvey, is that we as students are more self-confident, that is, we have more guts to look at the established structure of tradition in a critical way.

Dr. Burris made an important point when he suggested that "mature" adults become responsible for other things besides themselves. Thus all their energies are concentrated on protecting their property. As Dr. Burris says, "this is just human." But we young people are not

(Con't page 3)