

# The Guilfordian

The Guilfordian is printed by the students of Guilford College, weekly except for examination periods and vacations.

The office is in Cox Old North. The telephone number is 292-8709. Address: Guilford College, Guilford College, N. C. 27410. Second class postage paid at U. S. Post Office in Greensboro, N. C. Subscription rates: \$3.50 per year; \$2.00 per semester.

CRAIG CHAPMAN Editor-in-Chief

Associate Editors:

PAT ANDREW Managing  
MARK LESSNER Guest Editor  
BOB PRICE Community News  
TED MALICK Sports Editor

PETE BALLANCE Business Mgr.  
NEILL WHITLOCK Photographer  
DANNY ALLEN Advertising Mgr.  
CHERYL SPRINKLE Circulation Mgr.

GENERAL STAFF MEMBERS: Nancy Thomas, Erras Davis, Jean Parvin, Phil Edgerton, Patty Lyman, Doug Reu, Jami Craver, Betty Jo Guill, Don Bass, Joe Walker, Dave Smith, Abby Moore, Cathy Lowdermilk, Vicki Wyszynski, and Phil Sanders.

## The Desegregated Trustee

The students and faculty of Guilford College should view the persistent rumors of trustee Hugh Moore's pending resignation as a serious affront to their interests.

In sharp contrast to other trustees who are busy persuading freshmen reading lists for inappropriate words to associate with students and faculty members, trustee Moore is well known for his willingness to mingle freely with students and faculty alike.

For all but the most select students, Hugh Moore's regular visits to the college campus provide the only opportunity for grass roots communication with a trustee.

Although the students often find themselves in disagreement with trustee Moore on crucial issues, such as the

role of students in college decision making policy, an air of mutual respect and restraint always prevails.

We strongly urge trustee Hugh Moore to halt the rumors of his pending resignation, remain on the Board of Trustees, and continue his dedicated efforts at making Guilford the kind of college that Quakers want it to be.

And we urge those trustees who seem to enjoy such a passion for segregating themselves from students and faculty members to realize their duty to communicate with the college community.

For such positive action is an initial step if Guilford is to avoid the bitter confrontation between students and trustees which now looms so ominously on its horizon.

## Guilford's Only Hope!

The decision of the Board of Trustees to admit one student and faculty member as board meeting observers is more evidence of the board's inability to understand the need for faculty and students to participate fully in their educational process.

Despite all the public relations oratory to the contrary, the scheme is designed to placate the students and faculty while keeping them virtually powerless.

By denying the observers any sort of a vote, all student and faculty suggestions are at the mercy of trustees who are well known for their allegiance

to a long list of prejudices including support for an archaic drinking rule and the imprisonment of women students during certain hours.

We urge the students and faculty of Guilford to show disdain for this decision which is merely making scheme for avoiding student and faculty participation in the decision making policy of their educational institution.

For Guilford's only hope in avoiding the kind of disruptive activity which is so plaguing some other institutions in through the full participation of faculty and students in the governing of the college.

## "Activists" In Name Only

Dear Editor:

I am leaving Guilford at the end of this semester for several reasons, the greatest one being a lack of financial resources. But greater than that is my disillusionment with the students and the way of life here.

A fine example of this is Robert Frazier. In the catalogue under the philosophy of the school there is a beautifully written paragraph stating a liberal, progressive attitude toward man and education. But how crushing a blow to find that this man, who supposedly is helping to carry out these ideals, is nothing more than a protector of white paternalism.

The students here say that they are interested in their education, yet they react almost with violence when any mention of field trips or

extra-academic activities is made. And the clubs at Guilford are still another farce. The only meetings they hold are once a year to have the yearbook pictures taken. I've supposedly been a member of two union committees yet each one has only had one meeting the entire year. It seems as if the activity at Guilford rests solely on paper.

Then there are the 'student activists' the segment of the Guilford community who act as our contribution to the student power movement. They dress the part, make the drug scene, and stand up in class to spout off about the lack of student power, and the lack of freedom, both on campus and in the world. Yet that is all the majority of them do. Talk. Do they work with GUTS or with any of the other groups in the

city pledged to helping alleviate the many problems in the community? No, they don't, they sit around putting their cold, unfriendly minds together over a nickle bag and discuss the necessity of ousting Lanier. To achieve the appearance of the complete intellectual is their goal; not to help, improve, or even agitate for student rights. In the two years I have been at Guilford the only time the student body united and worked and agitated for their rights as students and human beings was when the cafeteria was given a 'B' health rating.

To me the situation seems hopeless, and somewhere inside my mind I feel guilty for leaving, but there is really no other way when there is so much to overcome.

Elaine Alligood

## Quaker Pulse...

# One Way To Get Needs Answered

Dear Editor,

The article in the last Guilfordian by Jean Parvin on Robert Frazier was very enlightening. Mr. Frazier appears to be an old man so caught up in his own personal life that he is unresponsive to things going on around him. This man apparently still believes in attitudes and educational theories of the early Milner days at Guilford. An interesting example of this out-moded view is his defence of the Board of Trustees' supposed unresponsiveness to student ideas. Mr. Frazier said that, "dancing was originally prohibited, but students asked that it be changed and it was granted." Perhaps Mr. Frazier's view is more modern than it seems, for my relatives who were here at Guilford when dancing was "asked for" tell the story a little differently. The students had been asking for dancing for a long time and had gone through both the president and the Board of

Trustees with no favorable response. Finally, one evening after supper the students pushed back the tables in the cafeteria, set up a record player, ignored the threats of administration, and began dancing. Since there was no way to stop them (police were not called in those days), dancing was "granted."

If this example is the best method, perhaps the only method, to get through to the Board of Trustees about how to "answer needs and wishes of students", maybe we need to have a beer blast in front of Founders and our "needs and wishes" might be answered on this matter. If Mr. Frazier praises extremist action "years ago" when legal channels and use of "the system" failed, students at Guilford today should at least oblige him by defying the rules as before, since that seems to be in vogue today even more than it was years ago.

Respectfully yours,  
Phil H. Edgerton

## Recent Grad States: "SAC Acted Hastily"

Dear Editor,

As a relatively recent graduate of Guilford College, I find that I follow the happenings of the college with a great deal of interest. I especially note that the college has flourished since I have left, and ponder a cause and effect relationship. Be that as it may, I have noted the furor over some "pot" on campus and thought that, with your kind permission, I might give a thought or two to the matter.

From the facts which I have gathered, it seems to me that the student-faculty-administration committee which voted to suspend Mr. Reu for the remainder of the semester acted with undue haste. I am rather glad that Mr. Reu's fate in criminal court of the State of North Carolina was not acted upon as quickly. Otherwise, I fear that he would already have been spirited away to some undisclosed jail to remain, incommunicado as it were, for the duration of his sentence.

I say this because I wonder what might have been the outcome had Mr. Reu

committed some lesser felony, such as manslaughter, arson, or theft. No doubt, upon learning of his ALLEGED crimes, the same committee would have pitched Mr. Reu out of school forthwith. No doubt indeed! I rather think that the good committee would have awaited the outcome of Mr. Reu's trial. "Due process" is certainly not guaranteed to Guilford College students, but I rather think that the school should act in good taste.

I do not admit to Mr. Reu's guilt unless he should; I await the outcome of his trial. Should he be found guilty, I daresay that he should be suspended since those are the rules. I wonder if Guilford College thinks of itself as a "parent away from home." If it does, it should be ashamed for itself for throwing one of its children to the dogs, and if it does not, it should await the outcome of the trial in state court. Either way, Guilford College has shown intemperance in a highly emotional issue.

Sincerely,  
Kenneth Jay Miller

## Lentz Quote Refuted

Dear Editor,

In Quaker Quotes of May 2 Miss Lani Lentz stated Quaker tradition was conservative and if you don't like it get out. The interesting thing is that this violates to principle Quaker beliefs 1) respect for every individual, because there is God in him. 2) consensus and moral responsibility.

Quakers have always felt they were never conservative or liberal or radical. They have tried to follow God's dictates to their conscience as much as they could.

George Fox and the early

Quakers till modern times have built their reputation on their martyrs and protestors. "Speak truth to power" is a central Quaker dictate. Quakers today are mostly opposed to the war in Vietnam and racism and many refuse to fight at all, and go to jail or become CO's. Many Quaker meetings have taken monthly meeting minutes in favor of draft resisters. People who have gone to jail and death to protest immoral laws since the 1600's could hardly be said to have a conservative tradition.

Nick Marshall