

# Basketball Team Still "Soaking It In"

by Jon Hiratsuka

Saturday, March 17, Guilford defeated Maryland-Eastern Shore 99-96 to win the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics (Small College) Basketball Championship in Kansas City. Star Quakers included M.L. Carr (23 points and 16 rebounds) and Lloyd Free (30 points). In earlier rounds of the grueling six day tournament Guilford defeated Keene State, N-H, Valdosta State, Ga., Westmont, Calif., and Augustana, Ill. Guilford qualified for the NAIA playoffs by defeating Barber-Scotia and Winston-Salem in District play. The Quakers are the first unseeded team ever to win a national championship.

"Still soaking it in," said Teddy East referring to the team's recent national championship. Several Guilford players offered their reactions to the Quakers' first NAIA crown and to their overall experience on the Guilford basketball team.

While Lloyd Free, Teddy East, and M.L. Carr expressed surprise at actually winning the crown, they said they went to the NAIA tournament to win games and they always felt the team had a chance. "I thought the team would have a good year," Lloyd Free told a reporter after the deciding game, "but this (the title) it's kind of hard to say." Following the final victory, Free also confided that he was too tired to even clap his hands.

While Ted East still finds the championship "hard to believe," he claimed he thought Guilford could win after the underdog Quakers survived the third round. He was encouraged by seeing several top seeded teams upset. "It will be strange to see Guilford listed number 1 the next published National Ratings," East added. M.L. Carr said that at first the championship seemed like a dream, but now it seems more like reality. "You remember all the hard work you put into it."

When asked what made the team a winner, East and Carr cited team closeness. "We became a more closely knit group as the year progressed," East said. "We got

better play out of everyone in Kansas City," Carr added. He cited the Quaker's ability to get back fast on defense as the key to stopping Maryland-Eastern Shore's running game in the deciding contest. Carr also mentioned the coaches, the type of players recruited, the hard work as factors in the Quakers' success. The coaches have something which makes the players put out, Carr suggested - "It can't be explained."

Lloyd Free, the tournament's most valuable player, is a Freshman from Brooklyn, N.Y., East and Carr are sen-

iors from Winston-Salem and Wallace, N.C., respectively. Both seniors plan to play pro ball; Carr plans to go into the clothing business after a pro career. M.L. Carr called

those in the college athletic department fine people, though their programs could use more money and facilities. "We could use a new gym in which to hang out

championship banner," he said. Referring to the NAIA crown and his four years at Guilford, Carr concluded, "I know that four years ago I chose the right school."

## The Guilfordian

LVII

FRIDAY, MARCH 23, 1973

GREENSBORO, N. C. No. 17



photo by Daily News

## Shore As Co-Ed: Proposal Explained

by Jim Baxter

Although the final vote on whether or not to transform Shore Dormitory into an experiment in co-ed community living has not yet been cast, arrangements for this change are now tentatively being made, pending the final decision. The final decision will be made by the Board of Trus-

tees late in April. Students interested in making an application to join this experiment should go ahead and do so, and these applications will be held until the final decision is made.

The idea behind the conversion of Shore Dormitory is to create a living community that would increase the feeling of concern and involvement among those students participating in the project. The attempt will also be made to create an atmosphere conducive to study. All those students who apply to live in this co-ed community will be asked, by their application, to show an interest in working for the success of the project and an acceptance of the responsibility that will be a large part of living in this dormitory project. All students participating, for example, would be expected to attend weekly group meetings for evaluation, discussion, and planning. The dormitory would be self-governing, within the confines of the regulations of the college at large, with the principal governing representatives made up of students elected from within the project, also including the residence co-ordinator and intern(s).

The current project began last November, as a number of interested students began inquiring as to the possibility of

making a dorm or a small section of a dorm into a small, co-educational community. Their inquiries led them, as the number of interested persons grew, to discover similar attempts made earlier and to develop the project now waiting final approval. SAC approved the conception of such a co-ed community early last year, but no definite plans were made and no efforts were made in time to be implemented, so the project lay dormant until this fall. Since then, the project has gone through a number of stages, and through the assistance of a number of the faculty and administration, has developed into the current project. The efforts for this current project were almost too late for implementation next fall, as the final decision, to be made by the Trustees, could not be made until late April. Naturally, housing assignments have to be made much earlier than April. Circumstances being so, the people in charge of housing, principally Ken Schwab, have agreed to the extra work entailed in making preliminary arrangements for the dormitory, pending the Trustees decision. Otherwise, the project would have to wait another year in order to be implemented.

Many attempts have been and are being made to revive

the sense of community at Guilford College. The Shore project is only one of them, as the people involved well know, and this project is by no means exclusive. This is not the first attempt to make either a co-ed or community situation in a dormitory here, but this project has developed, combining and supplementing previous efforts that laid the groundwork for this project now under consideration, and as such, hopes to reflect the needs of all students for a wide choice of living styles. If passed, the dormitory hopes to sponsor various activities aimed at drawing together those living there and the entire campus as well. These activities would hopefully include such areas as lectures, workshops, crafts, and seminars, involving both faculty and students. In addition to the body of interested students living in this co-ed community project, there would be a board of interested faculty to evaluate and advise the project, and help those involved to achieve the goal of the dormitory group: to be a benefit to those living in Shore and to the Guilford community at large.

If you are interested in living and working with this project, applications are available from the Housing Office.

## Dickinson Ticket Discusses Election

by David Dickinson, Rob Newman, and Jean Johnson

In reviewing the results of the recent election, we feel that there are several things we would like to say.

First, we feel that though we did not win, we profited somewhat by the experience. We all developed a greater insight into the workings of democracy, and must conclude that the differences between national politics and campus politics are not as great as we have previously assumed. Secondly, we at least managed to give vent to the feelings and hopes that many of us feel are important. Though we didn't win, the issues and problems that confront Guilford have not disappeared, and we will continue to work for change, and for a better school for us all.

Part of the problem we faced in getting elected was that our ideology did not differ too greatly from that of Kris Rice's ticket. As a result, our vote was split, almost on an even keel with hers. It should be remembered that these votes, when combined, surpass the vote of the third candidacy. It becomes obvious then, that if these two electorates combine in the run-off, victory would be a distinct possibility. What we are proposing is clear - Kris Rice's ticket best exemplifies what we feel. If the students who voted for us are serious in their intent, we must not let a temporary defeat keep us down. Let us not give up - we can win - we can change things. Vote in the run-off - it means a lot.