
"WELL....LOOKS LIKE THEY VOTED TO RESTORE THE DEATH PENALTY...."

# Letters To The Editor 

## Perez-Reyes Responds

Dear Students,
In the last issue of the Guilfordian I was ridiculously misquoted as saying that Students for a Sane Society spoke to the needs of black people better than BASIB. This mis-understanding of what I said at the Senate budget meeting needs immediate correction; for it is a blatant falsification of the truth.

What I said was that neither BASIB or IRC spoke to my needs as a Mexican citizen, or a minority member. I went on to say that SSS would help to raise consciousness, about oppressed minorities, at Guilford. I pointed out that BASIB had not shown any solidarity with the 25 million poor and oppressed of the United States; nor had IRC made any commitment to third world education on campus, such as telling the student body about the genocide being carried out by the Portugese currently in Mozambique.

1 make no pretenses as to whether blacks would be better served by SSS or BASIB. All I can say is that I personally have a very strong commitment to seeing all forms of oppression stopped every where.
1 sincerely hope that a mistake like this will never occur again, and that Ms. Lathrop will get over her grudge against me.

Sincerely yours,
Arturo Perez-Reyes
(Note: The Guilfordian regrets the misunderstanding, but we stand by our story.)

## Lathrop Re-responds

To the editor:
If I went to the trouble of nurturing a grudge against every person who makes asinine comments at Guilford meetings, I fear I should soon have nothing else to do.

I certainly wish to take this opportunity to wish Arturo every súccess in his "very strong commitment to seeing all forms of oppression stoped every where."

Yours in solidarity,
C.D. Lathrop

## Visitation Sit-In

Students:
The Visitation policy at Guilford College applies to women only. This policy is, at present, very unpopular. Everyone knows the existing rules. Instead of this blanket policy, we have proposed that each individual decide on a visitation policy of their own we call this self-regulating hours. The proposal allows for each individual to live as they wish, and by the rules they wish to vote in. On April 9 . 1974, the Administrative Council rejected this proposal. Now, while we think this proposal would satisfy all the students, it seems that the Administrative Council does not agree. This is of paramount importance - every individual, as a mature adult, has certain rights which should not be violated. We believe these rights have been violated. Therefore, we propose to you, students of Guilford College, a show of solidarity. Tonight, April 11, we invite the student body to spend the night in Binford, to show the Administration we
are together in this enterprise. Everyone is invited, but we will defeat our purpose if we make a big party out of this demonstration. So, there are a few suggestions for keeping order - we would appreciate everyone's cooperation.

If we do not cooperate, we will accomplish nothing. Here are our suggestions:

1) No drugs or alchohol please.
2) Stay off second floor. It has been suggested to us by Binford women that we respect 2nd floor's desires and not invade their privacy. 1st floor and third floor are open.
3) Bring your own sleeping gear, a guitar or whatever.
After the movie, everyone is invited to come on over to Binford. This will be a peaceful and orderly thing so if everyone co-operates we can show the Administrative Council and the Trustees tht we are of one mind in the visitation question, and that we are tired of people placing their moral judgements on us.

Show us you care about Guilford and you want to have a say on how your life is run. See you there.
-S.S.S.
(Students for a Sane Society

## Tobacco Tax

To the editor:
The following is a copy of a letter sent to State Senator Charles Taylor regarding the proposed tax on cigarettes.

Dear Sir:
This is a letter to express my strong approval of your proposed plan to levy an eight-cent-per-pack tax on cigarettes in this state, rather than raise income taxes to make up the loss made by food tax repeal. To me there would be many advantages if this
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Liberation Movement Liberation Movement
'You don't mean chairman, you mean chairperson'; Pam Kohl (chick-lib biotic chauvinist, you should mean chairphemomena!)
"Michael Wagner.... has rocks in his head"; an impertinant letter to the Supreme Commander. (Rocks are not stupid.)
"The next Fedaykin project will be a chicken-wire and granite canoe." "But you can't make a chicken wire and granite canoe - rocks can't float."

Fedaykin memos. (each rock must be considered on its own qualifications. We must end biotic class discrimination.)
"Being Human in the Kiddie Kourse at Guilford Twentieth Century"; A Keiser

Twentieth Century")
'Hey man, if we're going to drown these kitten, we need some more rocks to go in this sack - it still floats." Heard at 1:00 am,down at the lake. (We are used, de-phenomenized for your foul purposes).
"I wish one of those Guilford stud football players would grab me instead of the ball. Just watching all those muscles gets my rocks off'; Grimsley High School girls' PE class. (Rocks are not sex objects, cutie.)

## Sexist Quote

Burt Fregosi, as told to Wyn Price: "There's no such thing as rape, because a woman can run faster with her skirt up than a man can with his pants down."
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source of revenue were tapped:

1. It would not be a demand on any specific faction in the state, which I personally feel wield too much power anyway, that once and for all, people in North Carolina are glad to have the tobacco industry, but do not appreciate their attempt to control the state economy. It would show them that they cannot.
2. If the large gap between the price of cigarettes in North Carolina and the price in other states were reduced ( 75 cents in New York City as opposed to 30 cents here) the work of the Interstate Commerce Commission and perhaps other regulatory bodies, in controling the illegal flow of quantities of untaxed cigarettes across the state line to other states, would be markedly decreased, and thus save even more tax dollars.
3. I was amazed to read in the paper that "North Carolina is the only state in the union that does not have a special tax on cigarettes" (Greensboro Daily News). Since we have a state tax on other luxury items such as soft drinks, it seems ridiculous
that we do not take a cut of the profits, as a state, from our native smokers, just because the industry is located here, (an industry which happens to have most of the U.S. and the world as a market for their product).
4. It seems to me the nature of the luxury items that are taxed should be taken into account. Cigarettes have been proven to be hazardous to health, but since people generally react more to ill effects on their pocketbooks than to their health, your proposed tax on cigarettes might also give added incentive to people to quit smoking. It may be true that attempting to legislate for better health is too idealistic when considering the dollars and cents of politics. Funny, I can smoke my lungs to kingdom come - tax-free, but guzzling a harmless can of fruit drink will cost me extra.
Someone once said that only a fool would vote a tax on himself. Well, I happen to smoke cigarettes too, so 1 guess that makes me a fool twice over.

With respect,
Elizabeth Whitfield

