



RETIREMENT GIFT—John C. McLaughlin, left, recently retired as professor of economics at A&T College, last week gave the college his library, a collection of books he had procured during the past twenty years. Dr. Lewis C. Dowdy, president of the college, accepts the gift.

BROADWAY BEAT

BY DALE ROBERTS
NEGRO PRESS INTERNATIONAL
BEAU BWAY

The sensational Duke Ellington, currently making the scene at Basin Street East, gets better as the years go by—like rare wine. What an exciting sound—that lush sax section, and the soaring brass, and Duke himself at the piano. Crisp, crackling, and cool, a combination of today's forward look and Duke's regal tones of yesteryears, looking to Billy Strayhorn's updated masterful arrangements.

In the Duke's musical group are Cecil Williams, "king of the growl trumpet," a whiz on those atmospheric trills; Johnny Hodges, the superb cool one on alto sax with the pure melodic line; Russell Procope on clarinet, Laurence Brown and William "Cat" Anderson on trombone, drummer Sam Woodyard, who makes music not noise, and all the rest of the boys. Jam with Cecil on "The Opener," ride with Hodges on "You Got It Bad," swing with the group on "Ellington, 65," "Grease Love Song," "Silly-pony," (with great solo drum-work by Woodyard), and all those great Ellington tunes, "Sophisticated Lady," "Mood Indigo," "Solitude," "Caravan" and so on, into Classicville.

Just recently we went to the Metropole to catch the great Lionel Hampton play the blues. Somebody at the bar was asked to describe Hampton in one word. "I'll give it to you in one line," the guy said. "Lionel Hampton is a communion." And then, as though on cue, Hampton grabbed a cymbal, hopped off the bandstand and swung through the crowded club. The festive turned a devoted, happy assemblage into a jumping, clapping, slapping revival meeting.

Lionel Hampton has been doing that kind of thing to audiences for more than 30 years. As a once, now and future king, he is unaffected by time. How long has it been since paying customers in a New York saloon chanted the answer to "Hey Bob A Repp?" There were moments when the men at the bar were kids again, in khaki again, but before you could punch yourself it was today and in a flash it was tomorrow. Which is to say that Lionel Hampton, at 50, and "hip" in the hips, is still too slippery to stick in a convenient fix slot.

Jazz drummer Max Roach and his wife, singer Abbey Lincoln, have restored the Village Vanguard to a jazz music room. This will mark the first time that they have appeared together in a New York night club as well as their first appearance in New York in over two years, having just recently returned from a long tour of Europe and Japan. Their engagement also brings back the Vanguard's well-established Sunday Jam Matinee from 4:30 to 7 p.m.

Behind the leader on drums, the Max Roach Quartet consists of Clifford Jordan on tenor sax, Eddie Khan on bass, and Lonnie Smith at the piano. While in Japan, Roach composed the soundtrack for the

THE CAROLINIAN
Publishing Company
"Covering the Carolinas"
Published by the Carolinian
315 S. Main Street
Raleigh, N. C. 27601
(Entered as Second-Class Matter April 1, 1960, at the Post Office at Raleigh, North Carolina under the Act of March 3, 1879.)

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
Six Months \$6.00
One Year \$10.00
Single Copies 10c
Sales Tax \$1.00

TOTAL \$11.00
Payable in Advance. Address all communications and make all checks and money orders payable to THE CAROLINIAN, 315 S. Main Street, Raleigh, N. C. 27601. National Advertising Representative and member of the Associated Negro Press and the United Press International Photo Service.
The publisher is not responsible for the return of unsolicited news pictures or advertising copy unless necessary postage accompanies the copy. Customers expressed by columnists in this newspaper do not necessarily re-

MARKETEERS MEET—Charles W. Armstead, left, recently appointed retail representative of Humble Oil & Refining Company in Houston, Texas, presents battery-tester door prize to Albert Deckard at a luncheon for Humble service-station dealers in Mr. Armstead's sales territory. Also present to discuss merchandising and customer-service programs were J. Roland Phillips, right, city sales manager for the company in Houston, and fourteen other Humble dealers besides Mr. Deckard.

BEHIND THE HEADLINES

BY CATWOOD HALL
(For Negro Press International)
LIED ABOUT LEGISLATION
The new Federal Civil Rights Act has been one of the most lied about pieces of legislation in the history of this country. Here are some of the nonsensical untruths bandied around by hatemongers about the new law:

It's unconstitutional. It will force people to associate with people they don't want to associate with. It will take away private property.

It will foster and create conditions for intermarriage between white and colored. You cannot legislate prejudice away.

Let us take a perceptive look at these malevolent allegations of the hatemongers.

Constitutionality: The definitive answer was given to his allegation by Minnesota's Sen. Hubert Humphrey when he said recently that if Congress has passed the law, it must on that account be accepted as constitutional.

Association: Every person is free to associate or not with other persons. Nobody can be forced into personal associations by law.

People can live in the same hotel or motel, can visit the same theater, can sit at their own table in restaurants or dining rooms, can play golf or swim in the same water without having to establish personal associations with other people present in the same place.

In all areas of private and public life, people can be in the same time with no personal association being involved. Go in, avail yourself of the facilities, mind your own business, pay your bill, if any, and leave. It's as simple as that.

Private property: There is no law in this country that anybody knows about that can take away anybody's private property outright. It would be illegal.

Restaurant owner Maddox, down in Atlanta, was in no danger of having his property taken away from him. He himself closed his place, then yelled that "Communists" had robbed him of his property. Had he obeyed the law, he could still have his business in operation.

Intermarriage: Except for shotgun marriages, no two persons can enter into wedlock unless both parties "say I do."

Prejudice: Admittedly no law can eradicate prejudice and hate. No civil rights legislation makes any such presumption.

The civil rights law seeks to prevent people from using their prejudice to deprive other American citizens of their legal and constitutional rights.

It is one of the great anachronisms of our time that in this Great Republic, in the midst of the 20th century, after two bloody and destructive wars have been fought to make the world safe for democracy, that there should still be in progress a pro and con struggle in our country for equal civil rights for all American citizens.

It is certainly a sad commentary on our times, and reflecting an undue amount of immaturity, that there are still far too many hatemongers loose in the land. And, unfortunately, all the hatemongers are not white.

DEAR SALLY: I have a very good girl friend, a very intelligent and high type of girl, who broke her engagement recently when she discovered that her fiancé was running around with other women. But instead of returning the guy's ring to him, she has kept it and is now wearing it on her right hand. I think that, when a girl's engagement is ended, she should hand the ring back to the man. . . but this girl friend of mine says she has no intention of giving the ring up, that it is "at least some compensation" for all the time she "wasted on a no-good dog." What do you think about this JILL?

DEAR JILL: I can't think of an engagement ring as a "compensation" for a fruitless courtship, and I can't understand why a girl should want to keep something that symbolizes such an unhappy event in her life. But there ARE some girls who do.

DEAR SALLY: I'm a bachelor of 35, and have been dating for quite some time a very lovely girl of 28. We both are aware that, if our relationship continues as wonderfully as it is now, that we shall be heading for the altar one of these days. Yet, despite this and despite the fact that I have never treated her with anything but the utmost respect and consideration, she still stubbornly refuses me every time I invite her to my apartment for cocktails and dinner, and to listen to my collection of records of which I am very proud. The one time she did visit my apartment she brought her older sister along, and although it was nice entertaining them with a few records and serving them some of my "homecooked" dishes, still it wasn't as nice as it could have been with her alone. Honestly, my intentions are of the purest and I'm sure she knows that I'm a man of honor—so why must she show this distrust of me and be so puritanical about visiting my place unchaperoned? T.M.

DEAR T.M.:—The girl refuses because she has high principles and because she doesn't want to risk any more on her reputation. Instead of fretting and fuming about it, you should try to win her back by being a better man.

DEAR F.A.: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: The fellow I'm engaged to has some good traits, but one thing that bothers me about him is that he is rather moody and very unpredictable. For example, some very good friends of mine invited me to bring him to their home recently for dinner with a group of their friends. My fiancée showed up for this date wearing a sport shirt and wrinkled slacks, and no tie or jacket. This embarrassed me very much, since all the other men were nicely and neatly dressed with ties, white shirts, and jackets. This was the first instance in which he has done something like this and embarrassed me in front of others. What do you think about this? F.A.

DEAR F.A.: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

anybody's private property outright. It would be illegal.

Restaurant owner Maddox, down in Atlanta, was in no danger of having his property taken away from him. He himself closed his place, then yelled that "Communists" had robbed him of his property. Had he obeyed the law, he could still have his business in operation.

Intermarriage: Except for shotgun marriages, no two persons can enter into wedlock unless both parties "say I do."

Prejudice: Admittedly no law can eradicate prejudice and hate. No civil rights legislation makes any such presumption.

The civil rights law seeks to prevent people from using their prejudice to deprive other American citizens of their legal and constitutional rights.

It is one of the great anachronisms of our time that in this Great Republic, in the midst of the 20th century, after two bloody and destructive wars have been fought to make the world safe for democracy, that there should still be in progress a pro and con struggle in our country for equal civil rights for all American citizens.

It is certainly a sad commentary on our times, and reflecting an undue amount of immaturity, that there are still far too many hatemongers loose in the land. And, unfortunately, all the hatemongers are not white.

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

anybody's private property outright. It would be illegal.

Restaurant owner Maddox, down in Atlanta, was in no danger of having his property taken away from him. He himself closed his place, then yelled that "Communists" had robbed him of his property. Had he obeyed the law, he could still have his business in operation.

Intermarriage: Except for shotgun marriages, no two persons can enter into wedlock unless both parties "say I do."

Prejudice: Admittedly no law can eradicate prejudice and hate. No civil rights legislation makes any such presumption.

The civil rights law seeks to prevent people from using their prejudice to deprive other American citizens of their legal and constitutional rights.

It is one of the great anachronisms of our time that in this Great Republic, in the midst of the 20th century, after two bloody and destructive wars have been fought to make the world safe for democracy, that there should still be in progress a pro and con struggle in our country for equal civil rights for all American citizens.

It is certainly a sad commentary on our times, and reflecting an undue amount of immaturity, that there are still far too many hatemongers loose in the land. And, unfortunately, all the hatemongers are not white.

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

DEAR SALLY: I don't know just what those "good traits" you mention are, but I do know that your fiancée is inconsiderate, rude, and unmanly, and that if he makes a habit of embarrassing and humiliating you in front of others (as you say), then you'd better do some powerful thinking before you enter marriage with him. g

OPEN QUARTERS IN TOWN WHERE 3 'AMBUSHED'

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE)
Meanwhile, in the daytime, they carry on their work canvassing Negroes on voter registration and informing them of the forthcoming Freedom School sessions to be held soon both at the newly acquired building and in open air classrooms throughout Nashoba county. Books and literature are being distributed in the Negro community in an old panel truck which serves as a bookmobile.

ELKS HOLD 65TH MEET IN MIAMI

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE)
ed son of New York Governor Nelson Rockefeller . . . suggested another avenue for full equality when he said "It seems to me that it is time to push equality through business as hard as we are pushing equality through law and education."

Lt. George W. Lee, Elks' Commissioner of Education and Tennessee political power, cited some interesting statistics.

He revealed that in 28 years, his Department has raised more than \$2,000,000 in scholarship aids to more than 1,000 students . . . white and Negro alike!

Among those who received Elks aid and support were Dr. Martin Luther King, James Farmer of CORE and Dorothy Height, successor to Mrs. Mary McLeod Bethune as President of the National Council of Negro Women.

But in making an assessment, Lt. Lee said of the 1964 decision of the U. S. Supreme Court in integrating the public schools "with all deliberate speed":

"In many instances there is plenty of decent on the 'deliberate' and very little on the 'speed'."

The convention itself, which drew a surprisingly large number of some 2,500 Elks' delegates and more than 3,000 delegates, opened last Saturday.

Reynolds, who was elected to succeed Robert H. Johnson in Chicago during the 1960 convention, was elected to lead the group through four meteoric years of leadership.

L. P. LOGAN RETIRES HERE; TO JOIN WIFE

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE)
chose to work for the American Painless Dentistry Co., located at that time, over the now demolished Grand Theatre, where the Ambassador Theatre now stands.

When this company went out of business, he started working at the Raleigh Dental Laboratory, formerly located in the Professional Building, corner of W. Hargett and S. McDowell Streets. He was an assistant dental technician there for 30 years. This business is now in Cameron Village, run by Mr. and Mrs. Truman Garvey Williams.

Mr. Logan met his wife, the former Miss Amelia Hopkins, of Norfolk, Conn., while she was teaching at the Washington High School here. She went back to her native home to resume her teaching about 12 years ago.

When asked about his plans for the future, Mr. Logan stated, "I don't plan to take it too easy. I'll have plenty of work because we are building a new home in Norfolk."

He hired a moving van and transported all of his personal belongings to that New England city Wednesday.

Mr. Logan is a member of this city's First Baptist Church. His new address will be: 118 Dry Hill Road, Norfolk, Conn.

'FREEDOM' DEMS SCORE POINT IN NJ

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE)
the convention.

Most of the Mississippi state, however, were empty seats, officially—for the entire convention, since the regular Mississippi white delegation never signed a loyalty oath, and the Freedom Democrats rejected any "fraternal seating" or "dueling seating" with the regular Democrats.

Since approximately only three regular white Democrats signed the required "loyalty" oaths (to prevent Mississippi Party Democrats from supporting Goldwater) and the Freedom Democrats were never seated officially, the Mississippi seats went begging, officially, for the entire convention.

Rev. King, a National Committeeman of the Freedom Party, and Henry, its chairman, insisted that "the only proposition that we can present to the Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party is that the traditional group be unseated."

On Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday nights of the convention, however, Mississippi Freedom Democrats managed to find tickets for the floor and take their seats in the Mississippi delegation section.

On Wednesday night, the convention sergeant-at-arms, realizing he would be unable to prevent the Freedom Democrats from getting floor passes from sympathizers, and thus enter the hall, he made the floor—moved right in front of the sergeant-at-arms staff people and stood holding banners proclaiming "We want to vote," and "one man, one vote."

During the three nights of the convention in which Mississippi Freedom Democrats got into the hall and onto the floor, they did so primarily through the efforts of Robert Moses, CORE field director and co-ordinator of the

MISSISSIPPI PROJECT, and James Forman, executive secretary of the Student Non-Violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC).

The fact that the Mississippi Freedom Democrat delegates managed to get convention floor passes each night indicated that they had many friends and sympathizers among the regular delegations on the floor.

PURPLE HEART MEDALIST IS MURDERED

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE)
morial Hospital. He was apparently stabbed at his home, located near the Norfolk-Southern Railroad tracks, about one mile off U. S. Highway 84.

The coroner said, "He was stabbed about 2:00 a.m. and must have sat around the house for sometime before being taken to the hospital."

He stated a trail of blood was left in three bedrooms of the house, but none was found in the car in which Pulley was taken to the hospital.

There were about four or five persons in the house and deputies have questioned each one without gaining information, a spokesman for the Wake County Sheriff's Department reported Tuesday.

Whatever the weapon was went right in and out again, said Deputy Weatherston. There was no evidence of a large-scale scuffle.

Funeral services for the ex-serviceman will be conducted at 12 noon Friday at Lightner's Funeral Home Chapel with a military chaplain from Fort Bragg delivering the rites and full military honors accorded Mr. Pulley. Burial will take place at 1:00 p.m. in the National Cemetery.

He is survived by his wife, Mrs. Carrie Pulley; one daughter, Mrs. Judith Pulley; his mother, Mrs. Orajorie P. Highsmith; father, Mr. Allen Highsmith; and two sisters, Mesdames Ruth E. Taylor and Dorothy Harvey, all of the home.

MINOR INCIDENT AGAIN STARTS RIOT IN PHILA.