First African American To Serve As Joint Chief Head Role Model On Fashion, **But No** Politics Yet

The general also had a quip ready but the demise of communism the transform ransformation of former President Mikhail

chev.

It is a mong other section and the sect

copic want to know—will he run r public office?—Powell was as quelipped as ever.

'Active-duty military officers are no business talking about attain political matters, and so stoody knows what party I belong as There is total confusion as to what my political philosophy might might not be," Powell said.

And after more than three leades in military service, the guard said, that is the "way it bould be," 'If's part of our code. We serve the nation, we serve the matien, people, and the spread of the president of the United disc, commander-in-chief of the mod forces as determined by the publical process. So for that reason, me of us do it, not just Colin lowell," he explained.

The general said he has hopes to confine to serve the nation "in me capacity... Whether that is pliceal or not remains to be seen. It we nothing inside of me at the noment saying it has to be pilicial. I think there are many ways to serve the nation."



GENERAL COLIN POWELL

Ron Brown At Three **Meetings With Accused** Businessman, Sources Say

Ry Marcy Gordon
WASHINGTON (AP) —
Commerce Secretary Ron Brown's meeting in February with a Vietnamese businessman was purely social and involved no business discussions, Brown's attorney said Monday.
Reid Weingarten, a former federal prosecutor whom Brown recently hired, also denied a published report that Brown provided a government limousine to bring businessman Nguyen Van Hao to the Commerce Department for the February meeting.
Weingarten also confirmed news reports Sunday that Brown met with Hao three times, in November and December of 1992 as well as in February of this year, but the attorney said Brown never agreed to Hao's proposals.
A federal grand jury in Miami has been investigating allegations that Brown accepted \$700,000 from the Vietnamese government in exchange for helping lift the U.S. trade embargo against that country. Brown has denied the allegation, made by Binh T. Ly, a former business partner of Hao. Both Hao and Ly live in Florida.
The February visit to Brown's office was made by Hao, longtime Brown Iriend Marc Ashton and Ashton's sister-in-law, Lillian Madsen, the lawyer said.
Weingarten contradicted a report Sunday by The Miami Herald that Brown provided Hao, Ashton and Madsen with an official limousine.

are three "traveled in their own car; it was purely social," Weingarten said in a telephone interview. "There was no discussion whatsoever" of business matters, he said. The three "traveled in their ov

interview. "There was no discussion whatsover" of business matters, he said.

Weingarten said Ashton contacted Brown in October about Hao's proposal to create a new company that would help western businesses invest in Vietnam. After Clinton's election in November, Brown met with Hao and Ashton in Florida and Hao asked Brown to be attorney for the new company, the lawyer said. "Ron listened politely and at the time there was absolutely no commitment that Ron would be involved at all," Weingarten said. Hao later traveled to Vietnam to discuss his idea.

About three days after Brown was nominated as Commerce secretary by Clinton on Doc. 12, Brown met Hao again and "flatly and respectfully declined" Hao's offer, Weingarten said.

Brown was chairman of the Democratic National Committee last year and played a key role in President Clinton's election campaign.

The lawyer said Brown would not

President Clinton's election campaign.
The lawyer said Brown would not be available for comment Monday.
In a statement released Sunday night, Brown did not address the question of the meetings but reiterated his denial of last month that he ever accorded neutronewise. that he ever accepted any money to assist Vietnam.

ssist Vietnam.

President Clinton publicly
announced his support of Brown announced Wednesday.

AT&T Newsletter Shows Ape on Phone In Africa

Outraged NAACP Calls for Boycott of Long-Distance Carrier

By David L. Dillard

By David L. Dillard In what may appear to be fun and games to American Telephone & Telegraph's Focus magazine editors, African Americans are outraged at the drawing of an ape in Africa using the telephone instead of a human. Meanwhile, the NAACP has awared an economic heure trained.

waged an economic boycott against
AT&T for what local officials call platant racism.

"blatant racism."
The protest was spearheaded by the state NAACP after receiving numerous telephone calls from disgruntled AT&T employees. The state chapter started the boycott Monday, September 20, by discontinuing its long distance carrier service with AT&T and is urging blacks to do the same.
"NAACP local, state, regional and national offices have received numerous calls from across the

numerous calls from across the country from outraged citizens, who likewise decided to withdraw

who likewise decided to withdraw their economic support of AT&T, and have also changed to another long distance carrier," said Ms. Mary Pecler, the state chapter's executive director.

The illustration, which appears in the "Fun" N' Games" section of the September issue of the company's in-house publication, shows an apeusing the telephone on the African continent, while four other continents — North America, South america, Asia and Europe — show human beings.

human beings.
The state NAACP called for an immediate apology to not only AT&T employees and the NAACP

but also to Africans, African Americans and the general public. "The magazine is global," Ms. Peeler said. "Our feeling is it goes Peeler said. beyond a apologizing to

employees."

AT&T said it does not take responsibility for the illustration. The company has not decided on whether to make a public apology, but AT&T Senior Vice President Marilyn Laurie released an apology to the employees (week before last).

last).
"I am appalled and personally deeply sorry about the racist illustration that appeared in the September issue of Focus," Lauric wrote. "I am aware of how much this has angered, hurt and embarrassed people in AT&T—particularly our African American associates andtheir families. . . Finally, while the staff of Focus magazine takes full responsibility for the illustration; the illustrator is not an AT&T employee but a freelance artist hired by our design firm."

spokesman, said the drawing was done by New York freelance illustrator Michael Moran, who gave the illustration to Steve Phillips Design, a New York-based

that designs AT&T's

firm that designs AT&T's publication.

"The art director apparently didn't see it or was not troubled by it," Stinson said. "We're taking steps now in this very emotional process (to correct the problem)."

Stinson said the publication has fired the illustrator, but that no action has been taken against AT&T's editorial board. He said there are four minorities on the publication's staff.

(Continued On Page 2)



This illustration appeared in an AT&T magazine, showing an apeusing a telephone in Africa. Users on other continents are depicted as humans.

U.S. Seeks To Become Partner With South Africa

By George Gedda
WASHINGTON (AP) — With
the approval of a multiracial
governing council in South Africa,
the Clinton administration and
Congress are moving quickly to
end U.S. curbs on ties with that
country and to become a partner in
its development.

The Senate acted Friday to
normalize economic relations with
South Africa, and the House is
expected to take similar action in a
few days.

The action by the Senate came
shortly after African National
Congress President Nelson
Mandela appealed to the world
community to end all economic
sanctions against South Africa,
except oil and arms until the 1994
elected government is in place.
Mandela issued the appeal in a
speech at the United Nations, then
flew to Washington where he told
delegates to an international
economic development Congress
they should "seize this historic
moment" and invest in South
Africa.

moment and the new order in South Africa.

He predicted the new order in South Africa would adopt policies on taxation and repartation of profits that investors will find attractive.

attractive,
President Clinton said Mandela's
call for the removal of sanctions
and the creation of the mixed-race
council were "watershed events" in
South Africa's movement toward a
non-racial democracy. He urged
swift action on removing sanctions.

The Senate voted to rescind a ban on U.S. support for South African loan requests at the International Monetary Fund and also to allow the U.S. government to Jinance American exports to South Africa. Clinton also called on U.S. states, counties and cities to move quickly to lift their sanctions. The Senate legislation contained similar language.

to lift their sanctions. The Senate legislation contained similar language.

Clinton said he has asked Commerce Secretary Ron Brown to lead a mission to South Africa to explore trade and investment opportunities.

State Department spokesman Mike McCurry said government resources will be used to assist South Africa's economic recovery in a variety of ways.

The Senate bill also encouraged U.S. investment in South Africa once multiracial elections are held on April 27, 1994. It also seeks expanded U.S. trade to South Africa and lifts restrictions on U.S. assistance.

"Removal of sanctions is a first step," said Son. Paul Simon, D-III. "We will have to assist South Africa. There will have to be commercial investment." In 1986, the Congress overrode President Reagan's veto and approved a series of sanctions against South Africa, most of which were repealed two years ago by President Bush in recognition of the steps President F.W. de Klerk had taken toward dismantling apartheid.

Black Caucus Leads Defense of PAC Funds

Copyright Congressional
Quarterly 1993
By Beth Donovan

(ASHINGTON — If political ion committees are just moneyed cial interests, why is the agressional Black Caucus — a regressional Black Caucus — a my that represents some of the disadvantaged Americans — fidently leading the charge to mid PACs? Because they have because they can — that's the mion of many African American

when the many Airican American when members and academics to have watched this year's maign finance debate evolve. The sident Clinton has urged where to curb "the power and duence of political action maintees"; the Senate in June under the side of th

them altogether. But PACs, which are formed by businesses, labor unions and other interest groups to pool contributions from their members, are a key source of funds for House races. PACs may donate up to \$5,000 per election to a candidate, with primary and general elections counting separately. It has long been clear that House Democrats would not go along with a ban on PACs. But the potential impact on minority districts, scarcely mentioned a year ago, is providing a new rationale for PAC backers.

As they gear up for a high-profile

As they gear up for a high-profile October debate, white Democratic leaders in the House are all too happy to let the newly fortified

black caucus put its populist spin on the fight for PACs.

Black members say they must defend PACs because minority candidates face demographic and societal obstacles to fundraising. PACs, they say, empower their voters and provide minority candidates with one of the few available sources of money.

available sources of money.

Districts represented by blacks are disproportionately poor, and not many constituents can afford to contribute very much to political campaigns. Outside their districts, African Americans often lack the elite school and boardroom contacts that form lucrative fundraising networks for many white candidates.

"We just don't have the

resources," says Rep. Eva Clayton, a first-term Democrat who represents the district with North Carolina's lowest per capita income. "If your district is poor, you're not wealthy and you're excluded from affluent circles, it's hard to raise money." Politically, black members can afford to defend PACs because their constituents care far more about bread-and-butter economic issues than political reform. Moreover, members say, it is just common sense to their voters that the only way to counter wealthy interests is to gather many small contributions in PAC pots.

"The enormous fear of PAC money is rooted in white,

money is rooted in white, Protestant, puritanical traditions,"

says Frank A. Sorauf, a political scientist at the University of Minnesota. "The minority community is just not as easily played upon with PAC bashing." Congress has been debating campaign finance for more than a decade, but this is the first time that the black caucus has played a prominent role. A task force headed by first-term Rep. Corrine Brown, D-Fla., was set up just before the August recess to address concerns about the potential for restricting PACs.

PACs.
Freshmen, who make up nearly half of the 38 House Democrats in the black caucus, pushed the issue of minority candidate reliance on PAC money to the fore.
They got the attention of Speaker

Thomas S. Foley, D-Wash, at a meeting of the entire Class of 1992 in February. According to several participants, many blacks, along with women and Hispanics, told the Speaker that strict new limits on PACs would disproportionately hurt them and, perhaps most significantly, that they were not afraid to publicly light for PACs. Then in March, when freshman Democrats met to put the final touches on a much advertised "reform" plan, a late push to include a PAC ban was quashed after Rep. Melvin Watt, D-N.C., stood up and said he could not support a plan that would hur campaigns of black candidates.

(Continued On Page 2)

(Continued On Page 2)