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 es of the Nationai Tarif Pacty, as pared sith the Dree Trade Party,
not result in an amicabile arranger by which a reasonable protection stall be manufiscturing as well as pgexicultural, ithout acerificing any of the great interThe other division of the Tarim Party may be ifuly termed the Sectijonal Party.
Their object has been to catablioh the protecting principle, but, in the applica-
tion of i , Dhey have ever evinged mination to confine it to feew erficies, and
those chiefly manufactured in New En. lan and some few counties of the Middte. Satei. This smsil, but wealthy class, of
capitalitss of the Essero Sares, who are, (ruth, the principal, persons benefitted
$\qquad$ cracg of the cruntry, is that numerous
cinss of idicrand and politicians, which we
call the dead weight of the nations, who are seeking to overthrow the Administra With men of more ele vated principles and disisiterested views, but that they ma occupy them themselves, and secure, by founty oo the intergestses, a still greate ied manuffacturers of New Eng incord, by re ducing the duties on wool, oil, indigo hemp, flax, and such olther articles as ano
used in their fabrics, to the iniury nsed in theie fabrics, to the injury of the
Middle and Westom States, and same time, io raise the dutites on cottons and woollens, already three times as great as those imposed for the protection of
the produce of Penanglvania, Ohio Ken-
fucky, the prosuce of Pennaylvania, Ohio. Ken-
tucky, and other Midate and Western Between these two divisions of party,
the National and Sectional Tavif Party there is no community of interess, and if
thers ever was, the interests of tie form.
er have been grosly sacrifced to the am.
bition and eelfishness of the latter: for inNance, the incorporated manulacturers of Now.England, and some few towns in
ito Middle States, have protecting duties
of 30 to 250 per cen.. on cortons and
wooll-ns, which they supply to other

 vis, Mr. Everett, Mr. Mallary, and other
prisisis a ade dependants of this wealthy
cias, a 100 oof
O. the other hand, the hemp, flax,
wool, and irom, of the Middle States, wool, and irow, of the Middle States other Statee, do not esceed in amount
$\$ 10,000,000$, and the protecting du$\$ 10,000,000$, and the protecting da- be
ties 0 do not average even 50 per cear., Be While there are $3,000,000$ of persons nearly the whole Delegation of Massachusetts; Connecticut, Vermont, and ing much benefic from the extreme Suties, opposed the additional duties
granted by the Acts of 1824 and granted by the Acts of 1824 and 1828
to these articles, and hive bet Pmoring sgainst their existen
aince the passage of that act. Wince regard to the increased duty on wool, the New'england party, during the discussion of the Act of i828,
poted against the increase as it now conds, nor could that part of the act
vec carried but for the aid ot e carried but for the aid of the ed notes which his business had compell Southern States $;$ and when it did pass, the origin of those devasting scenes of


IIving thas defined the two great
Iivisions of that party who are in $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}$. vive of a Protecting system, viz; the
vorizems of tha' Middie and Western States, who would be content with cles, and for the benefit of all articots, which we term the National T fif Party, and the incorporated m30 planters of Louisiana, which we terg the Sectional Party, who demand ex cessive dúties on sugar, conton an
woollen goods, and low duties on al
others, we hall prent thers, we thall proceed in our next of of intolerance and persecution ex
ibited by hibited by the Sectional Tariff Party,
through those volgar and agents whom they employ to impos reflecting, a system of taxation more an enlightened nation.
A PRIEND TO A NATIONAL TARIFP.
axd As Exemy To Peasectrox.
FREE TRADE ANDMANUFACtURES.
Froounds opon which the friends Free Trade and equal riphts", ar
Denounce ${ }^{\text {as }}$ "Ahe Britioh Party," imply this: Because they ask for tha which our torefathers so profusely pour ed out their blood and treasure. They only for equal protection to ogrical Mre, commerce, and manufactures.
They cannot discover the justice or
he policy of Govivernmeut's extending ny degree of favoritiom to either. he netrural tendency of the country' population is to agriculture and com
nerce, Government should no ed con by any enactrnent, to drive it into maniv actures. Towasps manufactures the
fiends of Freo Trade entertain no ho ility, whatever. They are glad to see hem spio consumers call tem into existence. L
the three great branches of national in he three great branches of national i prmensis of society will plainly indica
ond T which capi:al and enterprize should ba in which capi:al and enterprize should be
emploved. It would be about as Quixot-
ic an efort to attempt to regulate the et-
ing and flowiog of the tides of the ocean, bing and flowing of the tides of the ocean
as it is to seek 1 define the channel in
owhich the induastry and enterprize the coontry shall olyw. The lawron of na
ture is the onlv standard that can be sufe. Gelied upon in either case. Whe
Government seeks to thwart this taw relation to the great branches of its indus
try it legisiates upan a fatal error in
Political Economy. No better illustra-
tion could be asked of this proposition
It tion could be asked of this proposition
thon the history of our own Tarif Laws
afford. The manufacture:s themselves is true, have saked for increase of du-
ies, in all instances where it has to lace, urging as a reason, that they migen oce, urging as a reason, that they migt:
thereby protected in their business. henever he the dutien the consequence? Whenever the duties upon cotion and
wonllen fixhries have been increased, hou-


