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 Britian permitting a man to marry his decased wife
sister. Such a marriage has never before been legal that kingdom. It is understood that this law does no interfefe with the freedom of the Church and that it
ministers are under no obligation to marry such partie nor are they required to administer the sacraments t
them. The State is to do as it pleases and the Churc as it pleases in the matter. Yet the Archbishops an the Bishops in the House of Lords vehemently oppose
the passage of the law and voted unanimouslyagainstit. They denounced such marriages as wicked and main wickedness than to license any other wickedness such a stealing or perjury.
mense amount of newspaper comment in our country and in our State. Some of it of course has been fair àn and would lead one to suppose that the authors ha
never looked into the Bible: and besides that have bee wanting in historical knowledge, Christian charity an writers is that there is no reason to prevent man from
marrying any one he choses who is not blood kin to him marrying any one he choses who is not blood kin to him
To this I reply that both the law of nature and th will of God prohibit some marriages when the partie
are related only by marriage. Tliat is certain degree not only of consanguinity būt of affinity are of them is none in His sight.
The laws of Moses are of ial and moral. It is agreed among all Christians th the moral laws do.
Among the civil laws which have no binding for on us, was the law, that the punishment of him who sto
a sheep, was, if the identical sheep was restored,
give also another of equal value; but if he stole and a live sheep he was to restore four fold. Th
man who stole and sold a live ox was to restore five fol
The man and the woman who married in defiance. some of the prohibitions were to be put to death, in an
other case they were to be burnt with fire. We are under no obligation to inflict these punishments but
substitute for them those provided by the law of the lan Among ceremonies that have passed away are those r the moral laws "thou shalt not kill," "thou shalt not steal" and all others never lose their binding force.
It is sometimes difficult to discern the moral disobedience to the law is anywhere in the Old Testa then it is a moral law. If the same disobedience is de
nounced in the New Testament then it was moral law. then it is a moral law, and such a moral la
have been known by the light of nature. tand all three of these tests. In this part Leviticu each chapter begins with the words "And Jehovah spak subject and the whole of it either is or is not moral law. This 18th chapter sets out by saying: "After the doings
of the land of Egypt wherein ye dwelt ye shall whither I bring you, ye shall not do." After all th specific prohibitions, it adds, "Defile not yourselves
any of these things: for in all these the nations are de
filed which I cast out from before you," and "For who soever shall do any of these abominations even the souls and that it is for doing these things that the "lan
vomiteth out her inhabitants." Here the prohibition of this chapter stand two of the-tests. They are called
abominations and one of such marriages is also calle wickedness or enormity; and the heathen are blame hibited in this chapter is charged to Herod by John the "It is not lawful for thee to have thy brother's wife And another thing was prohibited, a man's marrying hi and such fornication as is not even among the Gentiles. This whole Chapter then inst then n $\sigma$ one thing prohibited in it is or fall together. If it is now unlawful for a man
marry his own mother it is equally unlawful forr him marry his aunt or his deceased brother's wif
three are expressly prohibited in this chapter. The peculiar form of words in which the variou
conjunctions of a man and a woman is here prohibited,
is used to show that such conjunctions are abominable, are incest, whether they are made under the form of marFirst there is the general law: "None of you shall approach to any that are near kin to him." - Then there
thirteen examples given of such nearness of kin. O
thirteen six prohibit marriage with man shall not marry 1 his mother, 2 his sister, 3 his $/$ alf

## mother's sister. Seven prohibit marriages with those who are akin to

 to him not by blood, but only by other some marriage,whether his own marriage or that of some other party. A man shall not marry 1 his step mother, 2 his father's
brother's wife, 3 his daughter-in-law, 4 his brother's wife, 5 his wife's mother, 6 his daughter, 7 his wife's
grand-daughter. The majority of marriages here pro grand-daughter. The majority of marriages here pro-
hibited in so many words are with women who are con-
nected with a man only by affinity and are not bloodnected with a man only by affinity and are not blood
relations. So that the North Carolina papers which'say tion would have tound favor with the Egyptians and
the Canaanites and the Devil.

are two rules by which to extend the list of examples of
nearness of kin. First the rule of converse. When a man
is forbidden to marry a woman, conversely a woman is
under such circumstances forbidden to marry a man under such circumstances forbidden to marry a ma
The Bible does say a man shall not marry his mother, it
does not say a mother shall not marry her son, but b
lled

"hu
"th of which mean
"husband's brother,"
h-blood as
$n$-blood as often ther
rother-in-blood then when be none. If it mean fits object, for it it does not require any but brother-in lood to marry her. If one law provided that when an's will named no executor, that his brothers succe
ny more distant relation than brothers.
Next the only instance in Bible eimes that we kno
this law was put in practice is that of Boaz and Ruth
where there was no husband's brother in fact, but a
nuch more distant relative felt bound to undertake that duty. As to the story in Tobit of the woman wh had seven husbands without having children by any
them, and then one told to our Lord by the Sadducees a woman in similar case six of whose seven husband made up stories which prove nothing, and there is noth blood.
During fifteen centuries marriage with a deceased
ife's sister was prohibited in the Chiurch as wife's sister was prohibited in the Church as Docto
Pusey has showed in his book on that subject. It wa
not doubted that marriages in the other prohibited de grees were forbidden. The only exceptions were those
married under the dispensations of the Popes already spoken of. Now the rule of conscience is that if w
doubt the lawfulness of an action we must refrain fro it unles there is some positive law constraining us to it Apostle "Let every one be futly persuaded in his ow
mind" and "He that doubteth is condemned if he eat." This rule will cause all to refrain from such marriages
who even doubt of their lawfulness in God's sight. But in addition to this the scripture binds us "to ta thought for things honorable in the sight of all men
Rom. 12.17, and St. Paul emphasizes this elsewhere saying "not only in the sight of the Lord but of men
2 Cor. $8-21$. Now marriages which were forbidden by the church for fifteen hundred years, and are still re
garded as abominable by the majority of those who pro ess and call themsel avoided by every follower of Christ.
TABLE OF PROHIBITED DEGREES.
 vah. For three transgressions of Damascus, yea for
four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof: be cause they have threshed Gilead with threshing instru-
ments of iron, but I will send a fire into the house Hazeel and it shall devour the palaces of Benhadad."
So it was with Rome and the dispensations she issued
for marriages contrary to the law of God, on the fourth or marriages contrary to the law of God, on the fourth wrenched from her the Anglo-Saxon people forever.
The instances in which. we hear of this law being
ransgressed in North Carolina are where a man marries his aunt or oftener where a man marries his neice, still
ftener where a man marries his deceased brother's wife, and oftener where a man marries two sisters in succes
sion. For the first and third no detence can be made
but the pretense that the expressed words of Leviticus but the pretense that the expressed words of Leviticu
do not bind us. For the second that though a man may
not marry his aunt, yet a neice may marry her uncle
which is the very same degree of kinship. But for the which is the very same degree of kinship. But for thin
ast whith is the same degree of kinship of the prohibi ed marriage of a woman to two brothers successively, it
salleged that verse 18 of this same chapter allows i
shen it says "Thou shalt not take a wife to her siste oo be a rival to her-besides the other in her life time.
Now if a "wife to her sister" means "one blood sister $t$. another blood sister," then such a marriage is probib
ited in the life time of the first that was taken t iterally rendered "a woman to her sister," and "a man Hebrew Bible and never designate the blood relation
ship of two sisters or two brothers but simply the ad ition of bne person or thing to another of the sam
kind. So here what is forbidden is the adding of on
ife to another, or polygamy. Then what is here ife to another, or polygamy. Then what is here
idden is elsewhere in the law of Moses, permitted "
$\qquad$ Yes He, "if a man have two wives," is not more in
consistent with this prohibition of polygamy than it i to "and they shall be one flesh "But the Bible tells
expressly that on the coming of the people out of Egy God first "gave them my statutes and showed the mine ordinances, which if a man do he shall live in them,"
Ezekiel 20-11, but after they offended in the wilderness,
"I gave them statutes that were not good and ordinan ecs wherein they should not live," Ezz. 20-25. Divorc
and polygamy and other things are examples of the whether this 18 th chapter of Leviticus consists of such
whate statutes in which a man might live or statutes tha
were not good? It is the only place in the books of Mose
which contains the phrase "which if a man do he fhall liv

- Next it is urged that in another place it is expressl 1 "her husband's brother". shall take the widow
and raise up seed to his brother." Deut. 25, $5-10$. gued that it cannot be downright immoral for a woman
marry two brothers in succession or else the bible wou not have permitted it here and therefore it cannot b analogy be down right immoral to marry two sisters i
succession. Answer. First: If God chooses to dispens with his laws that does not warrant us to dispense wit
them. Answer. Second. This ordinance is just in the
right place to be one of the "statutes that were not good. right place to be one of the "statutes that were not good,
Answer. Third: It is expressly said that God spoke th
words in Leviticus. It cannot be pred hese-these like the words about divorce may be among these things which "Moses for the hardness of your would be a strange thing if God commanded a man
take his brother's wife that she may bear children an take his brother's wife that she may bear children an
then say, "If a man shall take his brother's wife it mpurity-they shall be childless," Deut. 20-21.
Now the truth is it was not the brother in blood b the next kinsman, who was not disqualified, who was
commanded to do this. The Hebrew word for brother

