

THE WEEKLY STAR.

VOL. 9.

WILMINGTON, N. C., FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1872.

NO. 17.

to their care, while he would break it to their hearts.

"Mr. Hill's remonstrance of silver, with

Mr. Hill's restrictions and limitations, re-

minds us of the anxious mother's admoni-

tion to her wayward daughter:

"Mother, may I go out to swing?"

"Yes, my darling daughter,

Hanging your clothes on a hickory limb,

Or will you go near the water?"

We believe it to be the duty of

Hill, Lamar and Butler to represent

their respective States and not the

bondholders of Europe and Wall-

street. If they cannot conscientiously

vote according to the views and in-

terests of their people, then they

should exert their conscientiousness

in another direction, and resign. How

can a true representative conscientiously

represent the views and

interests of his constituency? These

gentlemen were not elected to repre-

sent Wall street.

Subscription Price.

The subscription price of the WEEK-

LY STAR is as follows:

Single Copy 1 year, postage paid, \$1.50

" 6 months " " 1.00

" 3 " " 0.50

THE MISREPRESENTING SENATE.

Considering that the moneyed

kings have had it pretty much their

own way so long in this country, the

strength developed by the "silver

lunatics" is something remarkable.

When we understand, however,

the history of the dollar and the "deep

damnation of its taking off," we need

not wonder at the indignant protest

of the people, and the overwhelming

demand that has gone up to Wash-

ington from every Southern State,

from the great Northwest, and from

tens of thousands of voters in the

Middle and New England States, that

the dollar of our fathers must and

shall be remonetized—restored to the

place whence taken by cunning and

unscrupulous hands, bribed to do so

no doubt by the foreign and

Northern bondholders. Hence, where-

ever intelligence, fairness and jus-

tice prevail, there is a united senti-

ment that the public creditors

must receive honest treatment—that

they must be paid according to the

stipulations of the law of 1863, which

was made to favor them, and does

greatly favor them; and that they

must be paid in the standards of that

time, to wit, in silver and gold.

Lamar, Ben Hill, and Butler, of

South Carolina, all men of excellent

parts, the first two especially, have

not been able to see the matter as all

of the leading men of the South have

seen it, and hence they vote and

speak against their friends and con-

stituents. We do not know that

Senator Butler has spoken or voted

against the silver bill, but he is un-

derstood to be opposed to the Bland

bill. Lamar voted against the Mat-

thews bill, and both he & Hill have

exerted their fine abilities in opposi-

tion to the remonetization scheme.

Mr. Hill's position is somewhat

eccentric. He will vote for the coin-

age of silver dollars, but he will also

vote to deprive the silver dollars of

their money value. A strange and

inexcusable absurdity. It reminds

us of the course of a distinguished

North Carolina Senator some sixty-

odd years ago. The late eminent

Nathaniel Macon, the friend of John

Randolph, voted for declaring war

against Great Britain in 1812, and

then deliberately voted against grant-

ing supplies with which to fight the

battles of our country. Mr. Hill is

evidently, in his position, much more

the friend of the bondholder than he

is of his Georgia people. He is not

willing that they shall have an abun-

dance of silver, but he says he is

willing that they shall have some, in

limited quantities. He is for the

bill and against the bill. He seems

to be in the predicament that a cer-

tain one was according to the late

Lorenzo Dow:

"I can and I can't."

"I will and I won't."

"I'll be damned if I do."

"I'll be damned if I don't."

Mr. Hill's position is thus com-

mented on by the Savannah News,

one of the leading papers in that

Senator's own State:

"He is willing that the people shall

have a limited amount of silver with limited

legal tender. It is otherwise, in his opin-

ion, what he calls the credit of the

nation; but it is really the interest of the

bondholders and the money king, he will

give the people the shadow without the

substance—a shadow that is legal tender in

small amounts, but not in large amounts

as will serve to pay the laborer, to buy

the farmer's wheat and the planter's cotton,

but not to pay the principal and interest of the

bond debt." Mr. Hill tells the people

they may have a right to the shadow of their

father's wealth, but they may only get it as

money under certain restrictions. This

is shallow. The people will never be

as sincere—as paltering with them is a

double sense—keeping the silver promise

to their care, while he would break it to their hearts.

"Mr. Hill's remonstrance of silver, with

Mr. Hill's restrictions and limitations, re-

minds us of the anxious mother's admoni-

tion to her wayward daughter:

"Mother, may I go out to swing?"

"Yes, my darling daughter,

Hanging your clothes on a hickory limb,

Or will you go near the water?"

We believe it to be the duty of

Hill, Lamar and Butler to represent

their respective States and not the

bondholders of Europe and Wall-

street. If they cannot conscientiously

vote according to the views and in-

terests of their people, then they

should exert their conscientiousness

in another direction, and resign. How

can a true representative conscientiously

represent the views and

interests of his constituency? These

gentlemen were not elected to repre-

sent Wall street.

Hayes and Sherman. What Mr. Hayes has written or

caused to be written is not certainly

known. There are several versions

of the forthcoming letter; if it is ever

forthcoming. This much is probably

true: the President has so far yielded

to the evil influences of John Sher-

man, the Mephistopheles of the Cab-

inet, as to write a letter of remon-

strance to Gov. Nicholls, and to lay

the matter before Attorney General

Devens, who appears to keep his

head better than the other members

of the Cabinet. According to Andy

reports, there has been a boisterous

and excited meeting of the confiden-

tial advisers, during which Sherman

wanted the President to use his

influence to draw the President into

the maelstrom in which he him-

self is now whirling around, and to

deceive the American people with

his mendacious and one-sided state-

ments, he slanders the character of

the Judge, and makes a charge of

corruption that the facts do not justi-

fy. But we cannot enter now upon

the defense of Judge Whittaker, who is a

worthy Bostonian. We copy the

following paragraph from the Balti-</