

Table with 2 columns: Name, Amount. Lists names and their respective contributions or amounts.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE.

The subscription price of the WEEKLY STAR is as follows: Single Copy 1 year, postage paid, \$1.50

THE SIXTH DISTRICT.

The fight now going on in Washington over the internal revenue collectorship of the Sixth District of North Carolina has some interest to the country as well as to the people of that section.

The New York Times, Republican, referring to the manner of administering the collectorship in the Sixth District, says:

To spend \$270,000 a year for the collection of \$500,000 is, fortunately, a rare illustration of the impotence or corruption of internal revenue administration; but it is scandalous enough to require a prompt and radical remedy.

It is very scandalous, and the records of the office ought to be overhauled severely. Such unfaithfulness is a reproach to the Republican party.

There is much corruption in the Sixth District, and, therefore, you must abolish the whole internal revenue system in the United States.

There is much extravagance in the custom house system in North Carolina, and, therefore, you must get rid of the system everywhere. Logic, that!

A Sad Case of Abduction.

That is a sad case of abduction of Mr. L. Cartwright and wife, living near Whiteville, Columbus county, who had their little daughter taken from them the latter part of December last.

Foreign Shipments.

The Russian barque Ravna, Captain Groblom, was cleared from this port for Bremen, Germany, yesterday.

THE JUST JUDGE.

The Philadelphia Record devotes an elaborate editorial to Judge Brooks. It has a singularly correct view of the condition of affairs in North Carolina during the Holden regime and the exploits of the infamous Kirk.

FROM WASHINGTON.

We have information direct from Washington, to the effect that the excitement and over the several appointments in dispute in this State has been temporarily quieted, though the fight over the collectorship in the 6th District and over the appointment of Judge Brooks' successor is by no means ended.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Large and intelligent audience. Opening Argument to the Jury by Mr. Davidge. Studied and complete reply to the charges by Mr. Scoville.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

portance to the present case. If I conceived it possible that by your verdict you were to assert that the degree of intelligence required by these instructions did not exist here, I would have no language to express it.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

After recess, when the Court resumed, the attendance was even greater than in the morning, and for every one that left the Court room half a dozen new applicants pressed for admission.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge resumed his argument at five minutes past 1 o'clock. Touching the evidence produced of the existence of insanity in the Guteau family as bearing upon the probability of the prisoner's insanity, he said:

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

THE TRIAL OF GUTEAU.

Mr. Davidge then took a position in front of the jury, and opened his argument with a disclaimer of any intention to make a speech, but expressed his simple desire to render the jury what he said he could in their present and solemn duty.

allude to the evidence of J. W. Guteau, when the prisoner again interrupted, and called out disparagingly, "Well, he ain't my reference. I've got better men than he is for my reference."

TERRIBLE ACCIDENT.

A Train Filled with Men of Note Tele-scoped Between Albany and New York—Several Persons Killed—Twenty-Five Others Seriously Injured—Forty More or Less Injured.

NEW YORK, January 14.—The Express from Chicago to New York reached Albany twenty-three minutes late yesterday afternoon. Owing to the great growth of legislators and others who desired to leave the capital fifteen additional cars were put on, eight of them being palace coaches.

The train got under way and was hurriedly followed at an interval of a few minutes, knew nothing of the danger until he was almost upon the disabled train, and running at high speed, the two rear passenger cars were telescoped and immediately caught fire from the overturned stoves and lamps. Some of the passengers were caught in the wreck and burned to death.

The wounded were hurriedly taken for and are scattered among hospitals in the vicinity and elsewhere. About forty were more or less injured. The accounts vary as to the number of killed, but four bodies have been recognized and were buried beyond recognition. The recognized dead are Senator Webster Wagner, E. L. Bannan, of the Hoffman House, Park Valentine and wife, of Bennington, Vt.; Oliver B. Kelly, of Pennsylvania; and a man whose name was Rev. F. Marfield (a book of sermons bearing his name was found in his effects), and Miss Wadsworth, of New York.

The railroad officials say the cause of the stoppage of the train was a derangement of the air brakes. As soon as the train stopped the rear brakeman, named Melius, was sent back with the danger signal to warn other trains, and had time enough to go half a mile, but seen to have gone out a short distance. He disappeared immediately after the accident, and has not been seen since.

Orders have been issued for the arrest of John Melius, the brakeman whose negligence to signal the Tarrytown train, when instructed to do so, caused the catastrophe. Telegrams have been sent in all directions for his apprehension.

TENNESSEE.

The Flood in the Cumberland—Railroad Bridges Endangered and Traffic Impeded.

CINCINNATI, O., January 14.—A special from Nashville says: The rain ceased last night, but the river is still rising. It rose thirty feet at Point Burnside last night. One million feet of lumber are afloat here, but the lumber is not out of the yards. Work shops are abandoned on both banks of the river. Steamers cannot pass under the suspension bridge. The Louisville and Nashville Railroad bridge is endangered by the logs. The logs are being driven against its piers. Many families have been driven from home by backwater, but no lives have been lost as yet. The damage cannot be estimated at present.

By Telegraph to the Morning Star. CINCINNATI, O., January 14.—A special from Nashville says: The rain ceased last night, but the river is still rising. It rose thirty feet at Point Burnside last night. One million feet of lumber are afloat here, but the lumber is not out of the yards. Work shops are abandoned on both banks of the river. Steamers cannot pass under the suspension bridge. The Louisville and Nashville Railroad bridge is endangered by the logs. The logs are being driven against its piers. Many families have been driven from home by backwater, but no lives have been lost as yet. The damage cannot be estimated at present.

By Telegraph to the Morning Star. CINCINNATI, O., January 14.—A special from Nashville says: The rain ceased last night, but the river is still rising. It rose thirty feet at Point Burnside last night. One million feet of lumber are afloat here, but the lumber is not out of the yards. Work shops are abandoned on both banks of the river. Steamers cannot pass under the suspension bridge. The Louisville and Nashville Railroad bridge is endangered by the logs. The logs are being driven against its piers. Many families have been driven from home by backwater, but no lives have been lost as yet. The damage cannot be estimated at present.