In the following instant, however, the lady e fected has apparent purpose for her second blow took effect on the side of the wounded man' head. and caused him to lose his hold, and fall over quite seaseless The heroine of the scen then assisted her chainpion, who appeared to be considerably hurt, into the carriage and then drove off as fast as the horse could carry them When sufficiently recovered, the wounded man said that the lady who had wounded him was his own niece, who had lived with him from childhood-that they were but three weeks in this city, during which time she had become ac quainted with the person she was runoping a way with-who he believes is a young lawyer, and further that she is worth in her own righ upwards of three hundred thousand dollars when she comes of age, which will be in a few nionibs The name of the wounded man is George F Ledwith, of Augusta, Geo. who, with his niece had recently arrived from Europe-and the lady's name is Evaline Hamilton, but we have not been able to discover the name of the fortunate lawyer. Mr. Ledwith also informed M Osborn that the lady is very beautiful, and that she had treated him with the most devoted tenderness and affection until the period of the occurrence related above.

SPEECH OF MR. J. C. ALFORD, OF GEORGIA, ON THE SUBJECT OF ABOLITION PETITIONS.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, JAN. 22, 1840

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that I have a last obtained the floor, and have an opportunity of expressing my views in this Hall on this most important question-a question to my constituents of the deepest interest; one that strikes at the existence of the Union.

I will not evade the question. If my friend from South Caroline (Mr. THOMP son) does not intend by his preposition to reject the reception, I will offer an amend ment that shall bring the question directly before the House, and compel this body to United States. decide whether they will or will not receive

each person."

Thus it seems the Constitution contemplates the existence of slavery in the States through all time; and who now can say in truth that it was ever contemplated by the framers of the Constitution that the people of any part of this Confederacy-the ladies of the North-would send to Congress pe titions to interfere with our domestic tranquility-to interfere with our right of property, and claim that privilege of intermeddling in other people's business upon the ground that our lawful and constitutional rights are a grievance to them ? The absurdity of receiving these petitions is obvious to all. To me the proposition seems to violate all the principles of constitutional law, as well as every sentiment of humanity and religion. This question can only be the legitimete subject of discussion among the slaveholding people themselves. The General Government has no power by the Constitution, over the subject. To receive these petitions would imply the power to grant their prayers. Congress has no such power. Hence the absurdity of their reception.

Not only did our fathers provide for our domestic tranquility-not only did they authorize the importation of slaves into the States-but, knowing as they did, and, beheving as they must have believed, from the facts and circumstances of the times in which they lived, that the happiness of our people, their security, and the perpetuity of our Union, depended upon the preservation of the institutions of the South, as they found them when they formed the Constitution of the United States, they, with that patriotism and wisdom which distinguished them above all other men who lived before them or will live after them, incorporated into that Constitution a clause declaring that three-fifths of this property shall be represented in the Congress of the

these petitions. The gentleman signifies from New York, (Mr. Granger,) with all rights to which his declaration applied. He his intention is to refuse to receive these pe- his knowledge of the Constitution and with should maintain no such a right. What are tetions ; such was my opinion of the object his ability in argument in favor of the right we to understand by this threat, that the of petition on this question, think of a pe- North "has rights and dare maintain them ?" tition sent here from citizens of the South, We are to be taught, I suppose, that under praying that Congress would abolish the the authority to petition Congress for a reright of representation in New York, the dress of their grievances, they will enforce empire State, and, if the gentleman please, upon us a reception of abolition petitions, in his own district? Yes, sir, what would and trample under their feet our rights of he say if the ladies of the South were to petition Congress to infringe the right of representation in New York, to diminish the number of their Representatives, and disfrauchise his constituents? I cannot foretell the kind or power of the resistance the distinguiabed gentleman would offer to such palpable abuse of the right of petition, and such a violent infraction of constitution- to trouble Congress with these petitions? al law. Yet such is the course pursued towards the South, and the arguments of gentlemen on this floor justify it, and amongst these champions of the right of petition in this sense is to be found the honorable gentleman himself.

body to hang an abolitionist. I doubt not what his fate might be if he were to carry to argument. his principles into practical operation; in | I hold in my hand the most eloquent and throwing this shield around himself, he has conceded the whole ground. And me ask the gentleman if it would be ess lawful or religious to hang him up to one of these pillars until he was dead, dead, than it would be to arm an incendiary with a torch in one hand, and a dagger in the other, to burn my house and murder my family ? Yet such is the criminal denunciation of some of these petitioners. They have had the madness to say that if they cannot abolish slavery by law, they will do it with the sword, or, what is worse, they send incendiary pamphlets into our country tionary warfare.

Mr. Speaker, three years ago about this time, I met the honorable gentleman (Mr. Granger) on this floor, and when I attempted to reply to his defence of the gentleman from Massachusetts, (Mr. Adams,) I was gagged down with the previous question. The gentleman, if I remember right, asked to become the advocate of General Harrius to let them fight our battles at the North. son for the Presidency. Georgia stands on to fight the battles of the South.]

not trust him or any northern man to fight opinion, than Harrison and Van Buren both our battles with the abolitionists alone. Let put together. I go for Troup, sir; but if those who would fight for us there, fight ever he is out of the question, I have a with us here. But I fear they are all Whigs, right to enjoy my own opinion as between all Democrats on this subject at home; all against slavery in the abstract. The South has been guiled longed enough with this right of petition so sacred to the honorable | very question. gentleman. Of one thing I am certain : he said thep, as he says now that the North has rights and dare maintain them. The acception of these petitions under that clause of the Constitution already alluded to, which secures the right of petition for the What would the honorable gentleman | redress of grievances, is not one of those

is, that it would be improper to petition this eight years, if he is re-elected, I "guess." The Speaker interposed, and Mr. Alford the gentleman had some forebodings of said, sit still; Mr. Speaker; I wil go back

conclusive argument in favor of the constilet | tutional rights of the South on this question of slavery, and against the course pursued by these fanatics, I have seen or read; and, what is astonishing to all lovers of truth and justice, it is the very speech of William Henry Harrison from which garbled extracts bave been made to prove him an abolitionist, and for which he has been doomed to encounter the united opposition of the whole South ; and this same speech is now published in the Emancipator, under a long ed- master to pursue his slave into another State, itorial denunciation of General Harrison as an anti-abolitionist, and declaring that no to excite our slaves to deeds of insurrec- abolittonist can support him for the Presi-

dency, because he goes with the south .--And I am happy, sir, that whilst I use his speech to prove the truth of my position, I am but doing an act of justice to a statesman and a patriot, a friend of the South. who has been misrepresented and belied. Let no gentlemen suppose that I am about [Mr. Granger denied he had ever claimed | neutral ground. We have a man of our own -the immortal Troup-who is better qual-Mr. Alford said, I am glad of it. I would ified to administer the Government, in my

them by their own acts, and choose between | to them at the time of its adoption. them upon their principles in regard to this

1 will now give Gen. Harrison's views as authority on this question. Hear them. " Extract from remarks of General William Henry Harrison at the Public Dinner given to him by the citizens of Vincennes, Indiana, on the 25th May, 1835.

"I have now, fellow-eitizens, a few words more to say to you on another subject ; and which s, in my opinion, of more importance than any other that is now in the course of discussion in any part of the Union. I allude to the societies which have been formed, and the movements of cettain individuals in some of the States in relation to a portion of the population in others. The conduct of these persons is the more dangerous, because the object is masked under the garb of disinterestedness and benevolence, and their course vindicated by arguments and propositions which in the abstract no one can deny. But however fascinating may be the dress with which their schemes are presented to their fellow-citizens; with whatever purity of intention they may have been formed and sustained, they will be found to carry in their train mischief to the whole Union, and horrors to a large portion of it, which it is prubable some of the projectors and many of their supporters have never thought of; the latter, the first in the series of evils, which are to spring from this source, are such as you have read of to have been perpetrated on the fair plains of Italy and Gaul by the Scythian hordes of Attila and Alaric, and such as most of you apprehended on that memorable night, when the tomahawks and war clubs of the followers of Tecumseh were rating in your suburbs. I regard not the disavowals of any such intention upon the part of the authors of these schemes, since, upon the examination of the publications which have been made. they will be found to contain every fact and every argument which would have been used if such had been their objects. I am certain that there is not in this assembly one of these deluded men, and that there are few within the bounds sweep over this nation as the tempest sweeps of the State. If there are any, I would earnest ly entreat them to forbear, to pause in their career, and deliberately consider the consequences of their conduct to the whole Union, to the States more immediately interested, and to those for whose benefit they profess to act. That the latter will be the victims of the weak, injudicious, presumptuous, and unconstitutional efforts to secure them, a thorough examination of the subject must convince them! The struggle (and struggle there must be) may commence with horrors such as I have described, but it will end with more firmly riveting the chains, or in the utter Am I wrong, fellow-citizens, in applying the terms weak, presumptuous, and unconstitutional In a vindication of the objects of a convention which was lately held in one of the towns of O hio, which I saw in a newspaper, it was said that nothing more was intended than to produce a state of public feeling which would lead to an amendment of the Constitution, authorizing the abolition of slavery in the United States. Now, can an amendment of the Constitution be effectwould be to go over to Mr. Van Buren's voice of democracy was triumphant in this ed without the consent of the Southern States What then is the proposition to be submitted to negro suffrage; a measure which I detest, party in New Jersey had been heard in them? It is this. The present provisions of the Constitution secure to you the right (a right are convinced that you do not manage them prop-

such importation, not exceeding ten dollars for be proper to petition for. One of his cases | will blow up at the end of Mr. Van Buren's | discussions as they say they are engaged in. I | United States travel know that there are not. And there is even an | with any servant o article which secures to the citizens the right to and every slave express and publish their opinions without re-striction. But in the construction of the Con-sci, shall, thereupon his or her freed stitution it is always necessary to refer to the cir cumstances under which it was formed, and to " It was determi ascertain its meaning by a comparison of its pro-23, navs 20. visions with each other, and with the previous situation of the several States who were parties to it. In a portion of these slavery was recogsent. nized, and they took care to have the right secured to them to follow and reclaim such of them as were fugilives to other States. The laws of Congress passed under this power have provided punishment to any who shall oppose or interrupt of Louisiana, Kin the exercise of this right. Now, can any one believe that the instrument which contains a provision of this kind, which authorizes a take him back, and promises a punishment for any citizen or citizens of that State who should oppose him, should at the same time authorize the latter to assemble together, to pass resolutions and adopt addresses, not only to encourage the slaves to leave their masters, but to cut their throats before they do so ? I insist that, if the citizens of the non-slave holding States can avail themselves of the article of the Constitution

which prohibits the restriction of speech or the press to publish any thing injurious to the rights of the slave-holding States, they can go to the extreme that I have mentioned, and effect any thing further which writing or speaking could Gen. Jackson, in effect. But, fellow-citizens, these are not the principles of the Constitution. Such a Constitution would defeat one of the great objects of its formation, which was, that of securing the peace and harmony of the States which were parties to it. The liberty of speech and of the press were given as the most effectual means to preserve to each and every citizen their own rights, her Representative the other two; and I am willing to indge and to the States the rights which appertained

"It could never have been expected that i action of this Hous would be used by the citizens of one portion of tion. Is not this a the States for the purpose of depriving those of Has history any paral another portion of the rights which they had resolved legislative bo served at the adoption of the Constitution, and in the exercise of which none but themselves but here the Presiden have any concern or interest. If slavery be an done almost without evil, (and no one more readily acknowledges it Mr. Speaker, I he than I do,) the evil is with them. If there is it here, elected as I guilt in it, the guilt is theirs, not ours, since party of Georgia to a peither the States where it does not exist nor on the principle that the Government of the United States can, without usurpation of power and the violation o this Acminis solemn compact, do any thing to remove it, withopposed to Mr. Van out the consent of those who are immediately mossures enumerated interested. With that consent, there is not in behalf of my man in the whole world who would more will to him-I cannot ingly contribute his aid to accomplish it than I mong those who fe would. If my vote could effect it, every surand I seek this early plus dollar in the treasury should be appropriated fine my position." the to that object. But they will neither ask for aid nor consent to be aided, so long as the illegal. pected by any man liv persecuting, and dangerous movements are in A man like me. progress of which I complain; the interest of ever been accustomed all concerned requires that these should be imthe beautiful forests mediately stopped. This can only be done by tive land uncontro the force of public opinion, and that cannot too authority, cannot s soon be brought into operation. Every move with the shackles of ment which is made by the abolitionists in the with Van Burenism. N non-slave-holding States is viewed by our Southern brethren as an attack upon their rights, and go against this Admin which, if persisted in, must in the end eradicate goes wrong, and when those feelings of attachment and affection behome. tween the citizens of all the States which was Some member repl produced by a community of interests and danhere a long time, my gers in the war of the Revolution, which was If I were compelled the foundation of our happy Unlon, and by a contest between Wi continuance of which it can alone be preserved. Martin Van Buren, I entreat yon then, fellow-citizens, to frown upon the measures which are to produce results so declare in 1835 that much to be deprecated." olitionists was Without entering into a full detail of the mersumpluous and ts of the gentleman at the head of this Governthat, too, in a non-sla ment. I turn to the supporters of the Anministo a non slaveholding tration, and ask them, if they please, to show who stood by Misso me wherein the present Chief Magistrate of protected the great valle this Union ever held such language as this ? Has he ever declared that the abolition of slavehis deeds of valor, an ry would be unconstitutional? On the contrahabitants peace and ry, has he not declared and admitted that, with danger and alarmthe lights before him, he could not say but that al Harrison. And, if it might be abolished ? Has he ever said that the "efforts" of these petitioners were " wcak. should act uncandidly injudicious, presumptuous, and unconstitutional ?" Has he ever " entreated them to pause in their career ?" No man can answer in the efforts of the aboliti affirmative to these questions for Martin Van I am proud to call h Buren, with truth and sincerity. What has he said-what has he done ? " Let us render unto Cæsar the things which are Cæsar's." In fanatics. extirpation of those whose cause they advocate. that great and truly alarming agitation of the question of slavery as regards the State of Missouri. Mr. Van Baren proved, by his vote, that to the measures of the emancipators? A slight he was opposed to slavery ; and not only that examination will, I think, show that I am not. he was opposed, but that he would refuse to admit a State into the Union rather than that her people should judge and act for themselves in the question of slavery. He voted in the Legislature of New York to instruct an ultra federalist (Rufus King) to refuse the admission of Missouri into this Union if her Constitution recognized slavery. I do not give the words of the resolution, put the substance. Where was old Tippecanoe at that vastly important crisis in our affairs ? Side by side in this House with those that led the van in favor of slavery-side by side with the republicans of which you held before it was made, and which the South, he made a fall and glorious sacrifice operations of the Exp you have never given up) to manage your do- of himself for the people of Missouri. He voted mestic concerns in your own way; but as we to sustain her constitutional right of slavery, and was beaten out of Congress for the part he took federal representation ? which go to dissolve cy which bails from New Jersey is not the erly, we want you to put in the hands of the in behalf of Southern interests and Southern in- July, after completing our another, but I do mean to say that every the body politic by an infringement of the voice of General Government, in the councils of which stitutions. Is the gentleman from Missouri in and outfits, havin the House? Oh, Missouri ! (Oh, Misery !) by the facilities and kind What has Mr. Van Buren done for you ? McKeever, in command If Mr. Van Buren had done as much for us Falmouth. as General Harrison, I would not besitate to We steered a wester marshal myself in his ranks at once, as he now the trade wind with fin pretends to be so much of a State rights man; but still I fear his measures. His message retrack we passed over the commends strict economy, (good,)but he intimates to an Island, as laid down very plainly that after all the economy he rechart, but saw nothing of commends has been used by us, there will still be ance of land in the vicini a dificit of revenue, and leaves us to infer, as On our route daily understand him, that more will be wanting, made of the deep sea ten How we are to raise it under the plans in his message, without an increase of tariff duties, is We made the Island nin on the 13th of Au not for me to say. The south may look out. pass over many of his acts, and come down to completed a survey, his last public act, by which he proves himself to longitude of its sontheast be now what he was in early life-the constant,

" On motion by being desired by "Those who v " Messrs, Br iana, D'Wolf, F of Mississippi Noble, Pleasants Dyke, Walker, Williams of Te Those who vo Messrs. Bart Chandler, Dick King of New York Mills, Murrill, Oti Seymour, Thomas, The enemies o nied him one quali an eminent deg " treading in the predecessor," he faltering. A son the board, and he do a deed so bold. sands of American franchised by an doubt, in secret, to the Clerk of this by which a State of giving the Pres power to tule this H preference for him over dishonestly with mysel own. Hence | say, refu abominable petitions, and

of the resolutions, and under that view of the question I support the amendment.

I will meet this question at once on what its frien 's are pleased to call in this debate high constitutional grounds. Congress has no constitutional right or power to receive these abolition petitions ; and let me say to gentlemen, in all truth and succenty, that if they decide, in violation of that sacred instrument, that they shall be received. I will say to my constituents from my heart and soul that they have no longer any use for this Union. It will then be to them an engine of the most diabolical oppression. I am ready to say this to them whenever gentlemen are ready to decide the question in favor of reception. I place the issue on their reception, and will proceed to demonstrate, on constitutional principles, that Congress has no right to receive, to consider, to report upon, or to grant the prayer of these petitions.

What is the object of these petitions? What do they pray for ? Some of them go to abolish slavery and the slave trade in the District of Columbia; some to abolish it in the Territories, and some in the States ; and some pray that no new State shall be admitted into the Union if the Constitution authorize the institution of slavery. It is in this broad sense I am about to consider the proposition. What says the Constitu-Let us look to that. I have not tion ? come here to appeal to the North, the East, or the West, as men, to protect our rights I appeal to no men, or set of men-to no party, Whig or Democrat-but I plant myself upon the Constitution of my country, the only basis upon which I am willing to stand. Were it not for this Constitution, I would advise my constituents to go back to first principles ; were it not for the protection guarantied to them by this Constitution to enjoy their rights of property, as well as their private and political rights, I should tell them to pretect themselves with their own strong arm. And if gentlemen doubt our ability to do so, let them look at this right arm of mine. But we have this Constitution, and gentlemen say they claim the right to present and consider these petitions under that clause which prowides that " Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or probibiting the free exercise thereof ; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press ; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances." I will not gay that one man cannot petition as well as and I contend that slavery is no gnevance. And if it were, it is no grievance of these petitioners, living as they do in States where slavery is not tolerated by law. Each State of the Union has a Constitution of its own; and in the Southern States slavery is anthorized by law Each State legislates for its own people ; and the people of one State have no interest in or right to control the legislation of another State, in regard to this question especially. The right of property held by the master ih his servant in Georgia, according to the laws of Georgia, can be no grievance to the citizen of Maine, nor is there any thing repugnant to this right of property in slaves in the Constitution of the United States ; but, on the contrary, the Constitution of the United States fully recognizes this right of property in slaves, by just and ample provisions for the protection of our people in their domestic tranquility. And, to insure the blessings of the relative condition of mester and servant to us and our posterity. the framers of that instrument inserted a clause which authorised the importation of slaves into this country for many years after its adoption. Let the Constitution speak for itself : The migration or importation of such persons as any of the States now existing shall think proper to admit shall not be prohibited by the Congress prior to the year eighteen handred and eight ; but a tax or duty may be imposed on

constituents on constitutional principles, and | by force without resistance. as I declared in the outset that I would risk every thing with the Constitution, let the ark of our political salvation :

apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective numbers, which shall be determined by adding to the whole number of free persons. including those bound to service for a term of years, and excluding Indians not taxed, threefifths of all other persons."

reduce the number of Representatives from the South to a very great extent, and would be a denial of the right of representation, a positive infraction of the right of reprefloor.

Gantlemen complain that, by reason of alluded to him. this representation of slaves, the South has submit to.

property and representation both.

They charge us with having mixed the right of petition with the question of abolition, and say that they will dare maintain that right, although they admit that they cannot abolish slavery at all, so long as the Constitution is in force. If they have no right to abolish slavery at all, what right have they The argument is absurd on the face of it. What means this declaration-this threatthat they date to do such deeds? Does the gentleman intend to carry this measure by force of arms? Are we to be swept away by the power of the North as the bo-As I sat out to defend the interest of my som of destruction ? Is the South to fall

I cannot contemplate the possibility of Congress entertaining these doctrines withus read it again, and see if it is not truly | out feelings of horror. If ever this power is once carried out effectually, it will raise " Representatives and direct taxes shall be a fire of discord, it will light the torch of civil war, and the consuming element will over the ocean, as the ocean sweeps over the earth when driven by the fury of the warring elements. Before the howling of the tempest shall hush, and the fire of war The abolition of slavery would, therefore, | blast out, the last son of the South shall perish a martyr to our constitutional rights.

Mr. Speaker, I now have in my eye the honorable gentleman from New York who did say three years ago (when Democrat as sentation authorized by the Constitution of he is, he'stood by his colleague, Whig as he the United States, exactly in proportion to is, in defence of Mr Adams) that the South the number of slaves represented upon this should let the battle be fought at the North. [Mr. Vanderpoel inquired if Mr. Alford

Mr Alford said I allude to the leader of preponderance in the political scale. Is the Administration forces in this House, to this the reason that they favor abolition ? Is him who leads with a whip to the gentlethis the reason they vote to receive these man who represents Kinderhook-it is unpetitions? If so, they seek to rob us of our parliamentary to call members by nameconstitutional rights by unconstitutional I allude to him who, when New Jersey measures; and the only means left us to was stricken from the roll of States, stood escape the consequences of such a measure upon this floor and thanked God that the principles, and advocate the right of free House: that the voice of the Democratic and one which my constituents will never this Hall. Yes, Mr. Speaker, the voice of democracy has been heard, and the gentle-

I ask again, is it right to receive petitions man rejoices, at the fact, and speaks of rehere which stuke at the very foundation of generated States. That voice of democra-

EXPLORING EXP

The following is a cop ceived at the Navy D

U. S. SHI Matavia Bay, Sept Sir : I have the honor val at this anchorage al ty days from Callao, hav p examining and survey lands to the northward & leave to submit the fol der my command, sinc Callao on the 1st of July We sailed from Call

high privilege expressly secured to the South in the Constitution ?

of Georgia.

representation.

to a man who fought so long and so valorous for freedom, to a Whig of the Revolution, without remembering one of the most prominent causes of that Revolution-a denial by the mother country of the right of representation; and shall we be less tenacious of the right than our fathers ? Shall we surrender to a few fanatics, urged forward by an unholy zeal, a principle which our fathers refused to sur:ender to the arms of Europe, and maintained at the cannon's mouth ? Never I hope. God forbid it.

abolition doctrines-his right of petition.

aliens carted about by Van Buren men to raise the hue and cry of modern democra-This principle of representation has been | cy, of O' Connell democracy. Yes, sir, to held sacred by the People of this country raise a tumultuous shout of alien, O'Confrom the adoption of the Constitution, and nell, democratic triumph over the native by none more cherished than by the freemen citizens and legal voters of a gallant State, speaking as they did in favor of the Oppo-

The history of Georgia politics places sition cause by their own people through this right of representation in a strong point | the medium of a constitutional Government. of view. By reference to the Journals of But, sir, the voice of the sons of New this House, there may be found a bold and Jersey connot prevail; right yields to elequent argument in the case of Jackson force, and the voice of law is drawned egainst Wayne, made by James Jackson of by a wild and disorderly shout of the Georgia, a hero of the Revolution, and the mob, which is called here the voice of father the Republican party in Georgia, in democracy-a voice that comes from the favor of this sacred constitutional right of land of O'Connell, that breaks upon the

shores of New Jersey, that rings through I cannot recur to a name so illustrious, the spell-bound regions of the "enchanted mountains," that wakes up, the drowsy inhabitants of "Sleepy Hollow," and they too hail the triumph of this cause of regenerating democracy, and join the shout of that democracy, echoed here by the "lead- for both by moral obligation and sound policy. er" of "the party" in this Hall,

[Mr. Vanderpoel asked Mr. Alford to yield for an explanation.]

Mr Alford said, after I am done. I found it too hard to get the floor to give it up. I cannot let go my grip until I have said what I have to say. I can tell the gentle-The member from Vermont (Mr. Slade) man that, unless I am mistaken in the signs made one admission which is fatal to his of the times, this modern democracy will soon come to an end. This Van Buren-

we have the majority, the control over these matters, the effect of which will be virtually to transfer the power from yours into other hands. Again, in some of the States, and in sections of others, the black population far exceeds that of the white. Some of the emancipators propose an immediate abolition. What is the proposition then as it regards those States and parts of States. but the alternative of amalgamation with the blacks, or an exchange of situations with them ? Is there any man of common sense who does not believe that the emancipated blacks, being a maerity, will not insist upon a full participation of the political rights with the whites, and, when possessed of these, that they will not contend for a full share of the social rights also? What but the extremity of weakness and folly could induce any one to think that such propositions as these could be listened to by a people so intelliuncompromising enemy of Southern institutions. gent as those of the Southern States ? Further. mean his vote in regard to slavery in Florida. On every occasion where he has voted on the the emancipators generally declare that it is their intention to effect their object (although their question of slavery, he has voted against it. acts contradict the assertion) by no other means than by convincing the slave-holders that the immediate emancipation of the slaves is called An unfledged youth at the moment of his leav-

ng (indeed, in many instances before he has left) his Theological Seminary, undertakes to give lectures upon morals to the countrymen of Wythe, Tucker, Pendleton, and Lowndes, and lessons of political wisdom to States whose affairs have so recently been directed by Jefferson and Madison, Macon and Crawford. Is it possible that instances of greater vanity and presumption could be exhibited ?

"But the course pursued by the emancipators the said Territory for actual settlement, and be- From thence to W is unconstitutional. I do not say that there are ing, at the time of such removal, bona fide own- appointment group, t He says there are some things it would not Calboan-Bentonian-Buchanan democracy any words in the Constitution which forbid such ar of such slaves; or any citizen of the which we found in 141 de

deg. 32 min. 49 sec. sont From thence we proce land, the distance frem t nin being 27 miles. a careful survey of the southeast point in longitude 10 sec. west, and latitute 10 sec. south.

deg. 21 min. 12 sec. west

We saw nothing of Mini We then proceeded to wards the Disappointment and in our way fell in wi which was uninhabited, an east point in longitude I sec. latitude 14 deg. From thence to Wy

Extract from the Senate Journal.

The Senate resomed, as in Committee of th Whole, the consideration of the hill for the establishment of a sterritorial government in Florida and, the bill having been amended, it was reported to the House accordingly; and,

"On the question to concur in the amendment to the 11th section, to strike out, after the word 'freedom,' in the 14th line thereof, the residue of said section, as follows: "No slave or slaves shall, directly or indirect-

ly, be introduced into the said Territory, except by a citizen of the United States removing into