From the Louisburg Republican.

SPLIT BETWEEN THE KNOW NOTHINGS AND THE WHIG PARTY IN VIRGINIA.

The course of the Whig party of Virginia towards the Know Nothings has been marked by a circumspection and adroitness worthy of a better, and highly creditable to a fallen cause. It did not rush with impatient and unbecoming haste into the arms of the new organization. It stood aloof, watching the growth and progress of the mysterious order.—The Whig presses entertained their readers with feeble approvals of the internal improvement system or querulous complaints of the distribution of the proceeds of the public lands. If they chronicled the successes of the Know Nothings, it was without comment and with an ominous silence, which might betoken something or might betoken nothing.—They were far too cunning to commit themselves. Know Nothingism might prove only a flash in the pan, or it might prove a deadly shot between wind and water. "Wait," was the Whig watch-word.

Accordingly the Whigs waited until symptoms of sound organization and strong vitality became apparent in the new order. Then, with an art beyond all tact, they began the process of affiliation. They began to speak respectfully, then familiarly, then friendly, then lovingly of the Know Nothings. Step by step as the "Order" advanced, so step by step the Whigs went over to them. Each advance of the Know Nothings was announced with acclamations by the Whigs, and every foot of Know Nothing progress was signalized by an increase of Whig cordiality. Mr. Wise's admission ticket completed the fraternization. It was understood in Virginia that the question "Order" and the "defunct" party would oppose Mr. Wise and that next Spring would witness a political contest in Virginia such as never was witnessed before. Cheek by jowl, the ghostly allies were heard from in all directions, enlisting recruits, proselyting the faithful, mustering lodges, creating heresies and schisms. Never was a couple so loving, so unanimous in thought, word and action. This was the honey-moon of the newly married pair.

But a brief month of wedded joy has passed, and already the loving couple are calling hard names. How this happens we are utterly unable to tell. Perhaps the bliss was too powerful to be better, the prospect of the spoils too inviting not to awaken selfishness and averse cupidity—base passions which annoy wedded as well as single folks. It is enough for us to know that a matrimonial jar of a most serious nature has occurred. The holy union of phantom Know Nothings and defunct Whigs has lasted long enough to put the Democratic party on its guard and to call out its full strength. It now dissolves, whether by "mutual consent" or the want of it, we pretend not to know. Nor does it matter much. The progeny of such a pair could hardly have been more substantial than gas and wind.—Ghosts have begotten ghosts, and goblins have given birth to a world agreeable. So mote it be.

Our readers will understand the nature and extent of the scandal created by perusing the following extract from an article in the *American Organ*:—

The Richmond Whig vs. The American Organ.

The Richmond Whig of the 26th inst. copies our recent brief editorial under the head of "*Our Party in Virginia.*" Its comments thereon in a spirit and in language unworthy of its character.

Our editorial, referred to, simply announced that we had received the glad tidings from all our respective correspondents in Virginia, that our party intended to nominate and support a ticket composed solely of members of our order; and that we concurred in the propriety of such a course. Our editorial notice of the purposes of our party contained not one word or opinion, which can be fairly construed to disparage either the Whig party or the Democratic party, nor one word or sentiment which, on a candid review, we would suppress.

We announced a fact, and gave our opinion thereon, and our judgment is now ratified that

The Richmond Whig, in the article, says, "We are sorry to see" paper (the *Virginia*) thus surrendering the Whig party of Virginia.

We cheerfully give the paternity of the sentiment used any language which will warrant such a construction. We are at a loss to conceive how the Whig can draw such a conclusion from our remarks. We have the accusation. We have not, in our editorials, in our correspondence with Virginia, or the [illegible] that State. We tender no [illegible] ties, worthy of confidence.

If we [illegible] and Greely [illegible] Forney faction, we [illegible] ing, we have [illegible] Democratic party, [illegible]

We purpose [illegible] suits upon [illegible] Democratic measures. We [illegible] Richmond Whig [illegible]

[illegible] any of the [illegible] conclusion from [illegible] the accusation. We [illegible] in our columns, [illegible] Virginia,"