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II. Joánston,

Be leceived his Fall Supply of
GODDS,
 Suspenders, of superior quating.
He also has af fre
Fine black beaver Ifate,
of ithe taest tavhion. Gentemen w


COFFIELD ELVQ,
$\mathbf{R E}_{\text {and the public generally, that he has }}^{\text {PSpECTELS }}$

## Fall and Winter GOODS,

Consisting of superfine blue and black
Clonsis,
Invisible green and brown do.
Celurs,
Plan black and figured $V_{\text {cestings }}$ io
tio black and figured Velvets,
Plan and tigure Maleneiles,
do
Plain black and fancy Silocks,
Bosons, Collars, Gloves, Suspenders, \&e.
All of which he will sell law for Cash,

him with their orders.
Ho slo will heep constantly on
anssorment of
Realy matle Clothing,

## At the cheap Cash Store.

H
Giocerits, Intrdware, cullery.

State of Norlh Carolinu,
MARTN court or EquIty,

 the Court Ho Hese inge of our siliamston. ©ourt
hat Monday in February next hite to answer the several allegations is oridered, thay you be restrained and
jined drom transferring, assigning, or
tny thy way withdrawing, from the honds of
Ass Rohason, adm'r of John Robason deedd day estate or effects to which you
may beentited by virtue of your marri-
sze wilh the itub bond and security in the sum of one thoussand dollars to answer and abide euch
Orfer and ldecree as may be bad in the aforesid cause.
Witness, C. B. HisseliL. Clerk and
Mover of our said Courl, at office, the ist
Noventer isse.


State of .Vorth Curolitu, Superior Cownt of Equily,
Comer SEPTEMBER TEKM, 1835.


## 

 $10^{s}$ We copy from the Globe the folllowing remarks, made to the U. S. Senate
on Mr. Browri's introducing the
$\qquad$

- Other hand, I should be guilty of treachery
I thigh it senson any of oner ground than
hat
haty, I surrendered the
If the Legislative elections in North Car
oiina wereshorty to occur, my position
would be clcar of all difficulty. 1 wouldcoutferred by peope the trosts I hold, to to be
urc. But in the the tong inteas
crantous results might, in my apprenen.
ton, atteand an immediate resignation.sue. A teather my turn the scale. TThe
dimmution of force on oneside, or aslight
accession on the other, may deeide the con-

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$than myselit to, whil this station. But of the
many abic sonss of Norh Curoling wiomany abie sons of North Caroiona who dif
fer wiblh ne ia poitucal opinious, and for1 should reluctantly see any one here at the
presunt moment. Those whpresunt moment. Those who sent me here,
therefore, have a right to expect that 1 willmainain my post, unless driven from it bynot abandon it in a cowarde, manner on the
oceurrence of the first ditiduly
a duty? Of the political party of which a
najorty of thuse who vied for these reso-
tulions constiule a part, it is a priaciple
struct, and, consequenty, no obtigation
on the part of the Senalor either to obey or
mace by then of any course winte we may
think proper to adop. Of the politicacreate ser tound in Mr. Leigh's cele-
brated report in the Virgiuia Legsilature,
Cient action in Feveral aftairs. In the do-
ument before mentioned it is said, "Sena-
torsrequested;" aud it, goes on to give the
reason for this difference of language, ha
over the one a right to command is claim-
ed, and towards the other the mere tenderof avvice. In the one case, they may ass
sume edo declar the popular will th he tan-
guage properer to the expession of will -com-guase proper to the expession of will-com-
mand; and when so expressed, it must
stand lor that will, whether really so or notIn the other, their command does not stan
tor the popular will, and the responsibilit)
stil rests upon the Kepresentatiie to fing
out what it tis. This uifference of languageto learn, in ali resoutions adiopted by Leg-
they have attenipted any direct action in
Feueral affairs. They have aestumed thal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { the tuterance of will. But weuever } \\
& \text { they adop tonly such langage as hey may }
\end{aligned}
$$

ed to be more operative upon the one ine ina-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { selves assume the responsibility, and stand } \\
& \text { betwen the Senator and the people of the }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { between the Senator and the peopie of the } \\
& \text { State. In the other, they do not under- }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { State. In the other, they do not under- } \\
& \text { take to utter the public will; but whife the } \\
& \text { Isurgest. leave tue public servant to indiop }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { suggest, leave tue public servant to jucige } \\
& \text { of tuat will upon mis own responsibility. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$ ing of all the preceding Legislatures of North Carolina, whoding Legisiatures of
address their asents in address their agents in this body. From
their eariest action down to 1534 , when their easilutionst sere passed commanding
the aet now denounced he aet now denounced as unconstitutional,
nd dictated by party servility, they used this peculiar phraseology. Language con-
sists merely of the signs, which, gy comsists merely of the signs, which, by com-
mon consent, have been received as reptesenting particular ideas. Technical lanuage frequenty difiers from common lansiruction common consent, the word fication, when hased reed a technieal sigcgislature of a a State to its Senators in the Legislature of North Carolinn, which passed the resolutions under considera-
tion. Wis, then, the uniformly used by the Legislatures hereto-
iore, wiss on iore, when they designed direct action upcal omission merely; and was it intended or believed that those used would be coefficient? I have not the slightest idea that it was. In the first place, the knowa be-
ief of many who voted for these resolutions, that ite Legislature lias no right to there is no obligation on Senators to that forbids the idea. They could not have intended what they beylieved not have in-
right to do. Suat no
Such a supposition would, I am persuaded, do them great injustice.
The just conelusion is, that they meant to do just what they have done-the expreswhat they set forth in these resolutions to be their own opinions; believing, most
jusily, that while they had no right to command, their opinions would be most respecifuily considered by their Senators.
The omission of the word instructions was designed. And why? Because it was
weil known that a peculiar force and signigiven to it which they did net approve, and therefore did not wish to sanction by
its use. That the omission was designed is further proven by the fact, which is further proven by the fact, which is a
mater of history, that in both houses a proposed amendment by its insertion was rejected. But to place the matter beyond all
doubt, my colleague and my self addressed joint letter to the Legistature-not inquiring what effert they intended their re-
solutions to have-not implying a doubt which we did not entertain-but openly declaring what he firmly believed, that we he same declaring our purpose to obey or resign, should we be instructed. This letty was written in the most perfect sinceri-
and
good faith. I care not who may hink proper to question it. 1 can appeal truth. But it is also known to friends
tre, to whom I del to resign if my declared my determination ions was pronounced erroneous. It was not so pronounced. We received no antion of my sincerity in addressing that letion against resignation. If I resign now, it may be justly said that when I wrote my letter to the Legissature 1 considered these
resolutions as instructions, in the face of now declaration to the contrary, and am now borue down by the weight of my con-
viction. 1 still think, as Ithought then,
that they are not instructions either in fact that they are not instructions either in fact
or according to the intention of most of or according to the intention of most of
those $w$ ho voted for them; and so believing, the alternative of obedience or resig-
natuon is not presented to me. Is there, then, any obligation upon me to resign?
Does any human being perceive any? Who Does any human being perceive any? Who
cath point it out? Perfect obedience is out of the question. It follows, then, in my
judgment, that for the present it is my duy to remain here, and, while I do not treat
he resolutions as instructions, I will show them all due respect and give to them such
influence over my conduct as my judgment may approve. I have said that had the Legislature met during the ensuing year, I
would resign at the close of this session; but it is still my purpose to afiord tbe sovepportunity of North Carolina the earliest ion, by what class of politicians they hoose to be represented in this body.
the Legislature, at its first biennial ses sion, shall have an opportunity of selecting
for the seat I fill a Demoerat or a Federalist; one who thinks it right to wiye off the oul and unjust stigma cast upon the fame etuating it through all generations. This
hey will have an hey will have an upportunity of doing, unand to the people of North Carolina will I heeriully submit the issue.
hese resolutions Kentucky contended that isrespectulul. Mre neither indecorus or no allusion to disrespectiut language.]
he Senators to say that one of the resold
uons was disrespectful to the Senate. Mr.
Brown said he spoke of one of the resole Brown said he spoke of one of the resolu-
tions; but, emanating, as they did, from thą

