Tarboro' Southerner.

Thursday,　　February 20, 1879.

By SMITH, C. J.:

Paschall vs. Bullock, from Granville.

It is incumbent on the appellant to make out his case and show error. Where no statement of facts proved upon the trial and no assignment of errors appear in the record sent up, this court this judgment is affirmed.

State vs. Exit, from Richmond.

On a trial for forgery it is incumbent at the trial to show any person could be defrauded and against whom the intent to defraud is directed, in proof of the general averment of the intent to defraud. It is not necessary or proper that the verdict should specify the person intended to be defrauded. The words "order for the delivery of goods" in our statute only includes orders drawn by person having a disposing power over the goods upon a person under obligation to obey. It is not however so restricted in an indictment concluding at common law.

Benham vs. Craig, from New Hanover.

By ASHE, J.:

State vs. Gillespie, from Iredell.
In an indictment for obtaining goods by false pretences an averment of the value of the goods need not be made.

State vs. Davis, from Orange.

Walker vs. Dicks, from Forsythe.

By DILLARD, J.:

Bagshild vs. Freeman, from New Hanover.

State vs. Smith, from Yadkin.

State vs. Waller, from Catawba.

Retrenchment and Reform.

COMMITTEE'S REPORT; Feb. 11, 1879.

To the Honorable, the General Assembly:

Your committee appointed under a joint resolution of the General Assembly, ratified in January, 1879, beg leave to report that they are earnestly endeavoring to discharge the duties assigned them...

J. I. SCALES,
JOHN S. HENDERSON, Senate Branch.

J. A. McMURRAY,
A. S. ONEAL,
House Branch.

The Duties of County Treasurers.

We print below the bill that has passed the House and Senate, and is now ordered to enrollment, in regard to County Treasurers...

Does the Brain Ever Sleep?