

(Continued from Page 6)

From Campus Queen To Admissions Counselor

Miss M.: I'm an admissions counselor. I approve admissions for the freshman. I try to determine if they will have a good chance of succeeding academically in our university. This is a decision made on the applicant's records, his college boards, and

Jour: How far does the University reach to draw its students?

Miss M.: I travel all of North Carolina, and some of the more popular areas around Washington, D. C., Spartanburg, S. C., and Atlanta, Ga.



the recommendations he has received.

Jour: How is the selection made?

Miss M.: About 65% by the records and 35% by the board scores.

Jour: Is this a firm policy?

Miss M.: No, not at all. The recommendations can often show what numbers can't. Bud, you better not write that down about having parties.

Jour: How did you get the job?

Miss M.: A job like this finds you. I was called and asked if I would accept the work since I was qualified for it. I said yes.

Jour: "Earleen" is an interesting name, how did you get it?

Miss M.: From my father. His name is Earl - not Earleen.

Jour: How old are you?

Miss M.: 22

Jour: Are you engaged?

Birth Control Lecture

Dr. Elizabeth Corky, Director of the Mecklenburg County Birth Control Clinic, will give a lecture Nov. 20, sponsored by the Union Program Board in the Parquet Room at 11:30. The lecture will deal with birth control and its impact upon the population explosion, the family, types of birth control, and the Mecklenburg County Birth Control program.

A graduate of Grunell College, with a Medical Degree from the University of Michigan Medical School, Dr. Corky spent thirteen years in China, was Asst. Health Director for Wayne and Green counties in Eastern N.C., and Asst. Health Director for Mecklenburg county before assuming her present post. Dr. Corky has two grown children and is the wife of Professor Corky of the UNC-C Philosophy Department.

Jour: Do we draw students from Atlanta?

Miss M.: We have had five requests for applications. If I can get hold of the counselors in the schools in these other areas, they can explain what we have to offer to the students. In North Carolina the students themselves come to us.

Jour: Are you then "selling" the university?

Miss M.: I'm trying to acquaint people with what we have to offer the students. Spread the word. I'm not recruiting. Recruiting usually means giving a scholarship.

Jour: Do we turn down many applicants?

Miss M.: Not a large percentage. Jour: How are we classed among other universities in comparison?

Miss M.: We use the minimum regulations set by the state law. We theoretically don't have a space problem, so we have to accept all that are eligible.

Jour: How long are we going to "theoretically" not have a space problem?

Miss M.: The dorms might bring a double standard for selection. I'm speaking only from my own opinion. This year we filled the 8:30 to 11:30 classes this semester.

Jour: What influence will the dorms have?

Miss M.: One-third of the student body will live on campus.

Jour: Do you think that the dorms will be filled?

Miss M.: Yes, especially the girls' dorm.

Jour: We are an inexpensive college, does that make a difference?

Miss M.: Yes, that's bad, they (the applicants) are asking what we have to offer in their academic area.

Jour: What's bad about being inexpensive?

Miss M.: You said we were "inexpensive." Students in Atlanta ask why we are inexpensive. Students in Asheville ask why we are so expensive.

Jour: Then you feel academically we really have

something to offer? How does this school compare with other colleges?

Miss M.: I'm not really qualified to answer that question. We have a good academic program, but we can't compete with schools having journalism or pharmacy or programs which we haven't developed yet.

Jour: Would you give me an idea of what you say in a talk to prospective students?

Miss M.: In the talk I try to answer the general questions which the students will have. Questions such as what are admissions requirements. The parents want to know what is the cost. I also stress that we are going to have dorms. I usually carry a copy of the annual with me to show to the students.

Jour: I don't ever get a copy. I would like to have copies to take with me.

Jour: Would you like to have about five copies of each paper sent to you?

Miss M.: Yes, I would - that would be great.

Jour: So what's coming in the future.

Miss M.: I'll be traveling in North Carolina for the next three or four weeks. We do have a good time on the road.

Jour: Who is "we"?


Miss M.: The college reps for the college days at different schools. It's not like being on your own. All of these people help you around the places they know. They are a pretty good group, these "road" scholars.

Jour: In the future...

Miss M.: I'm going to spend about a month and a half in England and Germany this summer.

Jour: Thank you.

Miss M.: You're welcome.



Dorms ready for residents? "Nope."

Faculty Tries to Approve Open Meeting

By Marlene Whitley

Last spring, the Executive Committee of the General Faculty approved a motion granting officers of the Student Government Association an invitation to all remaining faculty meetings of the year. During that period, tradition was cracked as officers observed the previously secretive procedures of the academic and administrative units.

Recently, faculty members voted upon a recommendation which would make permanent the invitation extended the SGA officers in May, 1968. The amendment was defeated!

Probably, the first reaction to this would be indignation; the faculty apparently dispises students. Upon closer examination, however, the only prompted feeling is sheer disgust!

To begin with, total eligible voters comprising the administration number 156. The constitution states explicitly that a two-thirds majority (104 votes) is needed to carry any proposal.

After tabulation of ballots, the final results were posted as follows: 94 for; 23 against; and 2 abstentions. In simple

mathematical terms, only 119 voted!

Clearly, it is not a case of student hatred on campus; rather the results exemplify (now what's that word again?) APATHY on the part of too many UNC-C employees.

When asked to comment on general faculty sentiment, Dr. Rieke apologized, "Most of us were concerned about the matter and wanted it to pass; however, just not enough voted to obtain the needed majority."

A cross survey taken by the newspaper revealed that out of twenty members, eighteen were in favor of the amendment.

Mrs. Newman, a newcomer to the faculty this year, wondered, "Why not? I can't see any reason for keeping them (the students) out."

UNC-C One honest man remarked that he couldn't see anyone desiring the torture of a faculty meeting. "They're hell! But if the officers want to come...."

Another professor remarked, "I thought it DID pass!"

Those two who objected to the motion generally agreed that such an amendment would destroy the only privacy that they had on campus.

Still another, though, stated that she saw no way that student observation could hinder the ideas and opinions of the general meeting if the members really believed in them to begin with.

Noteworthy was one professor's thought that, "I can't see why so many votes are necessary for a majority."

Certainly, much of the trouble lies within the constitution's definition of "General Faculty." When the constitution was created in 1963, practically all of the faculty were enthusiastic, part-time members of the teaching, research, and library staffs. Necessity, therefore, earned them the right of membership.

Today, however, most faculty members are full-time workers, and the few part-time members no longer care so enthusiastically about voting on ANY issue. It is these unconcerned adults who make it nearly impossible to attain the two-thirds majority necessary for adopting a resolution.

With all these poor reflections, however, hope still exists for the future. There are several indications that the amending process may be altered so that a two-thirds majority of full-time members ONLY will be sufficient in passing a recommendation.

In the meantime, one highly intriguing problem remains: how is the faculty ever going to get enough votes to amend the amending process of what now appears to be a very amended organization?

Impressions On Elections

By Donna Raley

"What do you think of Nixon winning the election?" When asked this question last Wednesday, several students replied negatively, some positively, and some, unless really pressed for answer, indifferently.

The average, informed population on the UNC-C campus seemed relatively pleased with the outcome of the election. Those who were in favor of Humphrey or Wallace responded with a shrug or a laugh, but never with a reply. Poor losers? A good many people, it seems, hadn't really thought about whether Nixon or Humphrey won or lost, only that Wallace didn't win. Many staunch Wallace supporters even seemed idignant.

Many knowledgeable students, especially those over 21, had taken the time to recognize the issues in an effort to cast their vote effectively. Those under 21, although unable to vote, still had definite opinions. What were the reactions?

John Dabbs: "George will be back."

Vicki Crosland: "I'm really disappointed. I'm worried about the situation of the country."

Chuck Howard: "My reaction is very poor. After working for McCarthy all spring and Humphrey all summer, I feel this country is being done a great disservice by the electoral

system..."

Mike Armstrong: "Relief."

Connie McCoy: "I'm happy about it."

Soniz Mizell: "I haven't reacted."

Mike Purser: "I love it. I couldn't have stood Humphrey. When I voted, I was taking the Vice President more into consideration. Nixon doesn't disappoint me. The candidate I wanted wasn't there so I voted more for the party policy."

Ron Foster: "Down with this \$\$\$&""\$%/@ college idealism. Although I believe Humphrey was an optimistic, sincere, human being, I do not believe that he was the man to lead the country for the next 4 years. Not that Nixon excites me that much, but I too, am an optimistic person, and look forward to a change for the better."

Bill Hamilton: "Considering the choices we had, I think it was the best that could have been made."

Dan Warren: "Nixon impressed me very much and I think he can lead the country better than Humphrey could have."

Charlie Brown: "Definitely the change we need."

Wayne Pearson: "It was the lesser of three evils."

Earl Baucom: "I'm pleased to an extent. In some ways I feel we should have an element of change."