

Election contested

----- by michael mcculley

Long-time student politician Ed Wayson outpolled Dean Duncan to win the vacant Student Body Vice Presidency in fall elections held September 30 and October 1. Wayson's 373 votes overly doubled Duncan's 144 votes. The Vice President's slot opened up when Stan Patterson (then V-P) stepped up to fill deposed President Charlie Sutton's unserved term.

Vicky Hinson perhaps set a trend Nixon could follow on the Supreme Court. She became Chief Justice of the Student Supreme Court by her leading vote tally of 288 votes. Other judges on the court were elected, also: Bob Davis (9249), Tom Alsop (267), Ken Foster (181), and Ron Young (232).

Tom Duley's 74 votes was enough to win the Freshman Class President's seat, over Scott Allison's 36.

James Cuthbertson (78) beat

SGA dumps Goldigger

Charlie Herndon (41) for Moore Hall President in another race. Herndon offered some allegations about the elections' validity (See Letters, page 2), but was told by the Regulations Committee that the election stands as held.

the election stands as held. Several run-off contests remain, although the date has yet to be set by the Elections Committee. Sally Garr (101) and Annie Howell (57) will face each other for the Sanford Hall Presidency. Bob Anderson (330) and Aubrey Hilliard (426) will square off in a run-off for a Business Administration representative.

Other representatives elected, followed by their constituency, were: Tim Pate (8), Architecture; Ron Young (64), Social and Behavorial Sciences; and, David Hinshaw (120), Charles Fagan (89), Jane Sigmon (136), David House (97), R.B. Butterfield (78), and Karen Nelson (118), Commuter Representatives.

JOURNAL Responsibility for all

No university can succeed unless each part of the school – students, administration, and faculty – fulfills its responsibilities.

volume vii, number 6

This is the opinion of Physics Professor Terrill W. Mayes, newly elected president of the local chapter of the Association of American University Professors (AAUP).

Mayes is concerned primarily with strengthening the role of the faculty in curriculum matters, such as graduation requirements, credit for courses, grading, and academic programs.

But Mayes sees each group in the university as equally important in ensuring a good institution. "If any group fails to fulfill its responsibility," he said, "the whole university suffers.

"Part of the problem now is that when one part fails, there is really nothing that can be done," he continued. "The administration can't do for students what they should do for themselves, just as the faculty cannot handle administrative work."

Mayes believes that there is a need for each group to define clearly its areas of responsibility. The faculty, he feels, should decide curriculum matters. The administration should be in charge of business aspects and appropriating funds for university programs or buildings. Students should control student affairs.

"There should be as much input from all sources as possible," Mayes said, "but the group responsible for certain functions should be held accountable for those responsibilites.

"Each group has rather distinct areas where its interest lies, and where it is best able to make decisions."

Goldigger published, Bernson said that he had no immediate plans. I'll just have to sit down now and Mayes believes that students should be consulted in decisions made by faculty and administration.

"I trust the students, but I think our problem is that there is not enough participation with a large number of students.

"Students on our campus don't seem to take enough interest in what goes on. They don't look after their own interest enough. It seems to me that on matters where they should be interested, that interest is lacking."

Faculty participation, as well as student participation, needs to be increased, Mayes feels.

"This is a difficult time for the faculty. We are in a transition period," he said. Until a few years ago, the faculty was organized as a whole. Almost all faculty business was decided in regular faculty meetings.

"This worked fine as long as there were relatively few faculty members. But as the faculty grew, it became harder to get a consensus. There was more debate, especially on trivial matters. Important decisions were put off," Mayes explained.

At present, the individual colleges are the basic units of faculty decision-making. Each college makes its own decisions in matters relating to that college.

"The problem now," Mayes said, "is that there is a lack of coordination between the separate colleges. There is coordination among the various deans, which works well. But there is none among the rest of the faculty members."

members." The faculty is in the process of drawing up a new faculty constitution which, Mayes hopes, will solve many of the communication and decision-making problems.

The AAUP itself is not directly concerned with the proposed

— by sharon deck

october 18, 1971

changes. Nationally, the AAUP deals with problems of academic freedom, tenure, and due process in conflicts between faculty and administration. The AAUP also has 'a student rights committee, which looks after student freedom and due process for the student. If a specific situation arises,

If a specific situation arises, however, where a professor or student asks for assistance, the AAUP will enter the situation.

"The AAUP has no real power," Mayes explained, "but they can bring things into the open. Sometimes that solves the problem."

Guidelines have been set up by the AAUP for action in various situations. Almost all institutions accept these guidelines. If the situation is not resolved

If the situation is not resolved to the satisfaction of the AAUP, the administration of the school involved is officially censured, and notification of the censure is sent to every AAUP member. This makes it difficult for the offending school to hire qualified personnel.

The AAUP is a faculty organization. Although it will aid students, it does so only in breaches of academic freedom or due process. The AAUP holds, and Mayes agrees, that students should protect their own rights wherever possible.

This year the AAUP is concentrating on putti g automatic procedures into the system so that faculty and students are given a chance to be heard and the right to appeal to an appropriate appeals board.

They also want to insure that there is an adequate hearing for students who have actions taken against them without due process.

Also planned is a study of the distribution of faculty salaries, to see how salaries vary from department to department and among the various institutions in the nation.

The SGA, swollen with newly

- by charlie peek - by charlie peek

elected members, battled extensively over the still unpublished 1971-72 Goldigger in their October 4 meeting.

After much lengthy debate and Parliamentary confusion, a motion to proceed with the printing of the UNCC handbook, Was defeated.

In the day's only other important vote, the allocations for the cheerleaders was cut from \$2,000 to \$540, and plans were made to seek additional funds from the Athletic Department.

Eddie Bernson, who was appointed under Charlie Sutton to publish the Goldigger, brought the motion onto the floor to allocate \$2,245 to recommence printing procedures that had been halted in August. Bernson could not give the reason for the delay other than that his funds were cut off. publication of the Goldigger, specifically Bernson's trips concerning the "going-away party" for Mrs. Jones and Mrs. Glover.

President Stan Patterson questioned the reduction from 3500 copies to be printed to 2000 copies. Bernson had planned to distribute 1000 copies to Dormitory students and 1000 copies to commuters.

Patterson said that this plan constituted an inequitable ratio.

Confused debate followed often interrupted by newly-elected vice-president Ed Wayson's attempts to guide the legislators into proper use of parliamentary procedure.

The final vote however defeated the motion.

When asked about what steps he would now take to get the think it out, he said.

In a motion concerning the cheerleader's budget, Legislator Charlie Spriggs recommended that SGA allocations for that organization be trimmed from an original figure of \$2,000 to a more conservative \$540. Spriggs expressed optimism that the rest of the money needed for the operations of the cheerleaders could come from the Athletic Fees which this year amount to close to \$33,000. Spriggs cited such schools as UNC-Chapel Hill, N.C. State, Davidson, and J.C. Smith as examples of institutions whose Athletic Departments subsidize their cheerleader's funds. In addition to the \$540, to be used for uniforms, the cheerleaders are expected to resubmit a budget for traveling expenses to the SGA.

journal photo/wilcox



NIXON AND GRAHAM. . . the big day Friday at the Coliseum saw many UNCC students refused admission to the event. A new campus group, Vietnam Veterans Against the War, had plans for a protest inside the Coliseum, but few of their members made it past the barricades of Secret Service men and local police forces. It was quite a dishonorable day. .