

THE JOURNAL

volume vii, number seven

october 25, 1971



Copyright 1971 by Hearpan Press

SGA action

Annual, Sanskrit in trouble

by charlie peek

The Rogues 'n' Rascals was denied a request for an additional \$2,241 last week by the Student Legislature.

According to co-editor Peggy Caldwell, the money was requested in order to meet printing costs for the 1971-'72 edition of the annual. The staff was asked to print 3700 annuals this year instead of 2700 as originally planned.

In other action Sanskrit, UNCC's literary magazine, suffered a revocation of its charter and its funds were frozen until a new constitution was approved by the Judiciary Committee.

During debate over the allocation to the annual, questions were raised by Judicial Committee Chairman William Winn, over the

justification of having co-editors for the publication and over the salaries that the editors and the business manager drew.

Each co-editor receives \$500 salary, and the business manager \$400.

Miss Caldwell assured Mr. Winn that the salaries had already been set by the Publications Board and the SGA's hands were tied concerning that matter.

She said that this allocation would decide whether or not UNCC would have a first-rate annual.

Two substitute motions constructed to reduce the size of the request and to prevent use of it for salaries were defeated.

After more lengthy debate, which included charges concerning misuse and imbalancing of funds by the Publications Board, the motion was brought to the final vote.

The motion for allocation was defeated by a vote of 12-8.

When asked about plans for financing the annual after this action, co-editors Caldwell and Melanie Wilson said "they would just have to take the money that they had and try to produce the publication."

Business Manager Garry Scotty said that they would re-submit the budget in another form.

Judiciary Committee Chairman Winn said that the Sanskrit was currently "under investigation" and that in the meantime their constitution would be nullified, thereby freezing their funds.

Mr. Winn would not elaborate on the investigation, saying that he would make a complete statement at a later date.

The second issue of this year's Sanskrit was ready to be laid out when editor Michael Dobson received word that his funds were to be cut-off as of Wednesday, Oct. 20.

Dobson said that, for the present, he would stop all work on the publication.

Mr. Winn asked for a Presidential intervention in the rewriting of the publication's constitution.

by michael mcculley

Co-ed living in near future

A loose-knit group of students, working with the Housing Office's support and guidance, have begun the groundwork for introducing co-ed living at UNCC. The students have also submitted to the Chancellor a structure of housing priorities for future dormitory assignments.

Called the "Housing Priorities Committee," the student membership varies from five to ten and is chaired by Cindy Holmes, Nursing Representative, SGA. At their initial meetings in September, the group drafted from proposals of Ed Wayson, SGA Veep, a set of five housing priorities:

"Priority 1 — continuing students who live in the dorms. January 22 being the last date for application.

"Priority 2 — incoming freshmen and transfer students who live out of commuting distance. February 5 being the last date for application. Sixty percent (60%) of the available dorm spaces would be reserved for this priority.

"Priority 3 — continuing students who have never lived in the dorms but are out of commuting distance. February 12 being the last date for application. Ten percent (10%) of the available dorm spaces would be reserved for this priority.

"Priority 4 — continuing students who live in commuting distance. February 19 being the last date for application. Ten percent (10%) of the available dorm spaces would be reserved for this priority.

"Priority 5 — incoming students who live in commuting distance. March 3 being the last date for applications. Fifteen percent (15%) of the available dorm spaces would be reserved for this priority.

"The remaining spaces (5%) would be reserved for all students who did not gain dorm space on a first-come, first-serve basis. All quotas are based on a sliding-percentage basis. For example, if the 60% quota is not filled in Priority 2, the remaining spaces would slide down to Priority 3 and would be available to those students."

In a meeting of the Committee on Oct. 14, the results of an inspection trip to Duke University's co-ed dorms were discussed. SGA President Stan Patterson was present and indicated his full support "of the efforts to bring co-ed living to UNCC."

Sally Garr, a semi-leader of the group, was the most enthusiastic exponent of the co-ed living concept. "At Duke, they had a real sense of community. There was a great deal of 'spirit' in everything they did together."

Much of the discussion centered on the "type" of living arrangement. Duke, it was reported, has both suite and floor situations. Most of the students indicated a preference for the "suite" design for co-ed living.

"Sure, you have to mature faster," Sally Garr said, "but the real sense of community we saw there was impressive."

Humphrey Cummings asked about "problems they encountered" and was told there were none of any consequence. "There was some co-habitation, but those few instances occur everywhere, including here," replied Sally Garr.

Assistant Dean of Students Lon Weston stated that he was "skeptical" that the Administration will go along with a proposal of co-ed living for UNCC's dorms. The Committee's time for acting on the matter was decidedly limited, however.

Weston advised that Dean of Students Dennis Rash had asked for research on student opinion of the co-ed living idea by Oct. 22. Weston suggested some type of "Gallop Poll" and the meeting turned to selecting questions for the poll.

Some of the problems yet to be resolved by the students are crucial to the proposal's success or failure. Even the name is questioned. "Alternative living was suggested rather than 'co-ed living' by Sanford Hall Administrator, Marian Beane. "Many students who were in the

dorms this summer say, 'We know about co-ed living. We had that this summer.' What we're talking about is quite different from just living in the same dorm," she said.

The Committee's priorities and information on co-ed living opinions, probably to include a proposal for structuring the system at UNCC, will have to be forwarded to the Dean of Students and then to Vice Chancellor Cone for approval.

When asked who has ultimate approval authority for co-ed living at UNCC, Lon Weston replied, "The Chancellor."

The Committee will be meeting again to further consider these and other housing matters and Cindy Holmes stated that "all students who wish to help in this work would be more than welcome."

Housing Applications for the Spring Semester are now available in the Housing Office. Anyone interested in moving in to the Residence Halls during the coming semester, should pick up an application in the Housing Office immediately.

Public apologies

The Journal erred last week in reporting Vicki Hinson became Chief Justice of the Student Supreme Court by leading the justice voting. Hinson was elected "acting" Chief Justice by other justices after the election. We apologize to Vicki for this factual error.

Also, the UNCC group, Vietnam Veterans Against The War, had not planned a protest inside the Coliseum for the Nixon-Graham event; in a photo caption, the Journal, acting from other sources, printed they did. Larry Shapiro, one of the organizers of the groups, stated that the group had no plans to demonstrate inside the Coliseum; that they left their signs, banners and leaflets outside when they tried to enter with valid tickets; and that the group wanted nothing more than to be inside to hear the speakers.

We apologize for the error in reporting this information.

The Journal's policy is the printing of public apologies on the same page as the page containing the error, if in the judgment of the Editor, the error did or could have a grave effect on the story or item as a whole. We attempt to report truthfully, but when we fail, we will admit our errors.

—The Editor



Journal photo/alsop

Veterans who were not allowed to see their own President, who not even allowed in, tried in vain to persuade the "clean-cut" patriots that it was their duty and right to protest Nixon's War.