

Press and Carolinian.

THE PRESS AND CAROLINIAN is issued every Thursday by The Hickory Printing Company.

Entered at the Post Office in Hickory, North Carolina, as second class matter.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION:
CASH IN ADVANCE.

One Copy, One Year..... \$1.50
One Copy, Six Months..... .75
One Copy, Three Months..... .50



BY H. A. MURRILL.

"Once I heard it said, does one
Begin a lie, his tongue the truckling used,
The doors of hell with knockings ring for him;
But does he worse—takes he a pen to write
A lie that it may live, why then of choice
He sits already on a devil's bench,
And plots a trade to suit his company."

National Democratic Ticket.



FOR PRESIDENT:
GROVER CLEVELAND, of N. Y.

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT:
ADLAI E. STEVENSON, of Ill.

State Democratic Ticket.

FOR GOVERNOR:
ELIAS CARR,
of Edgecombe.

FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR:
R. A. DOUGHTON,
of Alleghany.

FOR SECRETARY OF STATE:
OCTAVIUS COKE,
of Wake.

FOR TREASURER:
DONALD W. BAIN,
of Wake.

FOR AUDITOR:
R. M. FURMAN,
of Buncombe.

FOR SUPERINTENDENT PUBLIC INSTRUCTION:
JOHN C. SCARBOROUGH,
of Johnston.

FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL:
FRANK I. OSBORNE,
of Mecklenburg.

FOR JUDGE TWELFTH DISTRICT:
GEO. A. SHUFORD.

PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS FOR THE STATE
AT LARGE:
C. B. AYCOCK, of Wayne.
R. B. GLENN, of Forsyth.

The Mercury asks if Mr. Hall said he could buy the third party leader in this country with a plug of tobacco. No, Mr. Hall did not. He said he could live a month on a plug of tobacco. That settled we want to say we would not give half a plug for the leader.

LEAZER WITHDRAWS.

Mr. A. Leazer, who two weeks ago announced himself a candidate for congress, has withdrawn from the contest. In so doing he has laid aside all selfish ends for the good of the democratic party—an act that does credit to himself and will be appreciated by all democrats and especially so by the supporters of Mr. Henderson, whose way is now clear and who will undoubtedly be renominated.

The PRESS AND CAROLINIAN did not think a change advisable and not being able to support Mr. Leazer told him so.

REMARKABLE INSTANCE OF MORAL TURPITUDE.

J. B. White, president of Carbarus county alliance and one of the speakers at its recent meeting, is reported by the Concord Standard as having said:

"I was sent to Raleigh as a delegate to the state democratic convention. I went and tried to listen and understand, when Maj. Robbins, leader of the democrats, read the platform; I thought we could not have expected such a good one. But when I looked into the face of Maj. Robbins, I saw the expression. 'We have no idea of standing to it, but we have got them.' I voted to adopt it, I do not try to think that I am going to vote it, I am not bound by it. Am I bound to stand by it?" (Many voices, "No!")

Better than he expected, voted for it, but is not bound by it because he didn't like the look in Maj. Robbins' eye.

Mr. White is reported as having said further:

"While in Raleigh I heard Col. Polk say that many western men had asked him, 'Will the south stand by us?' We have got to stand together from county up. I am not afraid of negro rule. My nearest neighbor is a negro; we both farm and have a common interest. It does not matter about the color of the skin."

This being Mr. White's opinion, his abandonment of the democratic party is not surprising. It is not the sort of a party to suit a man of his views.

But he is a curious citizen who cares nothing about the color of a man's skin but is controlled in his political affiliation and moral action by the expression on a man's face.—[Charlotte Observer.]

IT WILL REQUIRE WORK.

In some portions of the state the democrats are manifesting very little concern in the state election. This is due in a measure, we think, to an underestimation of the strength of the third party.

It is imperative that democrats all over the state should go to work enthusiastically, for while there is little danger of defeat to the state house officials, there is danger that in a number of counties the third party people may elect members of the legislature.

The democratic committee should see to it that speakers are put in the field and clubs organized. There are plenty of good democratic speakers who will stump the various counties. If they do not persuade those who have left the party to return to its fold they can do great good in urging others not to leave it.

A great many good democrats have been influenced to give their support to the third party under the impression that their material and financial condition would be improved. It is an easy matter to demonstrate that they are mistaken, even if they are loth to believe it.

THE PARTIES' RECORD AS TO SILVER.

In the south the democratic party is criticised for not having passed a free silver bill through the congress which is just now about to adjourn. A party should never be ashamed of its record and the Observer never has any concealment to make about the acts of the democratic party. The New York Press, violently republican, has tabulated the votes on the silver question in the two houses of the present congress, on the two occasions on which it has been up for action (tabulated them accurately, we concede,) and this what the figures from the record show:

Record in the house of representatives March 24, 1892, on the Bland free silver bill:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Democratic vote for free silver | 130 |
| Democratic vote against free silver | 81 |
| Democratic majority for free silver | 49 |
| Republican vote for free silver | 11 |
| Republican vote against free silver | 67 |
| Republican majority against silver | 56 |

Record in the senate July on the Stewart free silver bill:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| Democrats for free silver | 30 |
| Democrats against free silver | 10 |
| Democratic majority for free silver | 20 |
| Republicans for free silver | 14 |
| Republicans against free silver | 31 |
| Republican majority against silver | 17 |

Record in the house July 13 on the Stewart silver bill:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|
| Democratic vote for free silver | 117 |
| Democratic vote against free silver | 94 |
| Democratic majority for free silver | 23 |
| Republican vote for free silver | 9 |
| Republican vote against free silver | 60 |
| Republican majority against silver | 51 |

The Press boastfully points to the foregoing as "the currency record of the democrats and republicans" and adds:

If the republicans had not voted in the house July 13 the Stewart bill, with all its menace to business interests, would have passed. But for republican votes in the house on March 24 the Bland bill would have passed. The democrats voted by an emphatic majority for both these crazy and destructive measures.

The free coinage idea is not popular with the constituency to which the Press ministers; and if it may cite figures to show that "if the republicans had not voted in the house the Stewart bill . . . would have passed," we may cite its figures and its statement to establish the corollary that if the people want a free silver bill enacted into a law, they should send none other than democrats to congress.

It is amiss to add that all of the North Carolina congressmen, even including the colored man from the second district, Cheatman, voted last week for the Stewart bill as they had done in March for the Bland bill.

Our farmers justly complain of high taxes. The greatest burden of taxation we have is the indirect taxation of the tariff. Yet the people's party ignores the tariff issue.

Highest of all in Leavening Power.—Latest U. S. Gov't Report.

Royal Baking Powder

ABSOLUTELY PURE

"TURNED OUT RIGHT."

We are glad our little squib "pleased" the Landmark.

We did not intend to be so presuming as to offer counsel to so able a paper as the Landmark and would feel highly honored to be called in such a capacity. Neither did we question the loyalty of that paper to our party; nor do we doubt its right to do as it pleases. Even a third party man has that right.

In any contest between two good men for an office—one of the two is better for his party. In a hand party fight one is better suited or situated to lead his party to victory, and under these circumstances it is the duty of the leading papers in the district to lay aside all personal feeling and policy ideas and choose for the welfare of the party. The people expect it. After the nomination has been made it is too late.

There are no free fights this year and while the "hands off" rule may be policy it jeopardizes our chances for success.

If, in convention, the strongest party man is chosen, all is well, but if by any blunder or finesse the weaker of the two candidates should become the nominee, some blame must rest upon the paper that kept "hands off."

The question under discussion, however, has "turned out all right" and the Landmark acknowledges, immediately under the article meant to chastise us, that it is best. Our brother has twice won and we are constrained to believe that the editor of the Landmark has the magic power to draw aside the dark curtain and peep into the future. While we do not understand this prescience, we hope the editor will rest easy in the assurance that we are not alarmed. There are many things we do not understand. Human nature is one of them, but it has never sufficiently alarmed us to prevent the PRESS AND CAROLINIAN from saying what it thinks.

The farmers of the south call for more money. They would have more money if the iniquitous high tariff taxes were lifted from them, as proposed by the democratic platform.

The anti-option bill has been laid away till the next session of congress.

A force bill would put the south back 25 years. Defeat Harrison.

Monday was a proud day for Catawba democracy. The court house at Newton was well filled with about 500 democrats and some third party devotees. Everything in the convention pointed to the fact that the county is well organized and ready for work. The ticket could not have been improved and in every instance it "downs" the third party boomerang. Let every man stand firm and do his duty and in November Squire Yoder will render the verdict of death at the hands of the voters of Catawba.

OFFICIAL RECORD.

"No republican can ever, under any circumstances, have any part or lot with the hungry, rebellious man-hating, woman selling gang corporate under the name of democracy, a name so full of stench and poison that it should be blotted from the vocabulary of civilized man and handed over to the barbarism that it so fitly now and in all past has represented."

The above are Mr. Weaver's own words—officially quoted from the Record. He says he has nothing to take back. Can any honest man support him?

WEAVER'S RECORD.

On 7th page of this issue will be found a part of third-party-Weaver's infamous record. We want everybody to read and tell his neighbor about it so that all may know what a scoundrel and vagabond-tramp is leading the third party. It seems that that party has tried to find the basest of all men to lead it. All of his utterances, to be in keeping with the man, are the words of vile, degraded, slanderous, lying political-tramp. This record that we print is not "rot" or "bosh," it is all true and stands on the pages of the official Congressional Record against him and we have that official document and will be glad to show it to any who wish to be convinced.

Read it! read it!! and let every man in Catawba county that respects his home and his family answer. Will you vote for a man that accuses you of being a "wife-seller?" Answer, men of Catawba and North Carolina. Let the men who are on the same ticket answer. How can men who fought for liberty and home so far forget themselves. Turn sinner, turn.

The American citizen who cannot think of something or somebody to boycott must flock by himself these days.