

U N C Library

# THE TAR HEEL.

OFFICIAL ORGAN OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION.

## ANOTHER VICTORY FOR US.

### The Norfolk Athletic Association no Match for the Brawny Tar Heels.

While the interest in last Saturday's game was not very great because we were not playing a college team, everybody is very glad we won, for the result is a new evidence of the prowess of Carolina's team. The following is what Coach Brown has to say of the game:

"From the first it was evident that the Norfolk team was outclassed. In but two instances was it able to hold the North Carolina team for downs and when it had the ball could not gain consistently. Walsh, the old V. P. I. guard, opened a hole once through which a Norfolk back gained five or six yards. Gill, the Norfolk quarter, another V. P. I. man, gained twenty yards on a fake kick. He also carried the ball back on the kick-off for twenty yards. The Norfolk team could gain in no other attempts.

"The backs who started the game, Carpenter, Roberson and Newton, rarely failed to gain easily. Webber and Story also carried the ball well. Jacocks used better judgment in picking the weak points in the opponents' defence and his work in carrying the ball was fine.

"Stewart and Seagle had an easy time with their men and repeatedly broke through and stopped plays behind the line, but Maness had a harder time with his more experienced opponent.

"The team work has improved since the South Carolina game. The linemen and backs pulled and carried the runner better than in any previous game. The backs picked their men, forming some strong interference.

"Altogether the work of the team was very good. The play was fast and smooth in the first half but slow in the second because of the time taken out by the Norfolk team."

Special to Charlotte Observer.

GREENSBORO, Oct. 22.—The University of North Carolina met the Norfolk Athletic Association football team here this afternoon at Cone Athletic Park and simply demolished them by a score of 41 to 0. The annihilation of the Virginians began from the time the referee's whistle blew and continued, with brief interruptions, until the time-keepers yelled, "Time-out." The Tar Heels excelled the boys from Norfolk in every department, in attack, in defence, in team work, in kicking and individually. So speedy were the Tar Heels' assaults, concentrated with such rapidity of execution, and so varied were they that the boys from Norfolk were not only at sea in trying to stop them when they did gauge them, but were baffled in trying to fathom the attack. Carolina's tremendous strength in the rush line,

a remarkable fleetness of foot on the part of the backs, mechanical team play, coupled with electrical snap and dash and physical condition, produced by Coach Brown, that was above the usual, served to carry the day for the White and Blue. Carolina fumbled less than any time this season and her team work was superb. The Norfolk aggregation has heavy and fast material, but is sadly in need of good coaching and training. They showed up miserably, both offensively and defensively. They appeared to want staying strength. Carpenter and Newton played the game for the Tar Heels, but the whole White and Blue team showed up remarkably well.

### GAME IN DETAIL.

Carpenter kicked off for Carolina and Webber went over in less than two minutes for the first touch down. Barry kicked goal, 6 to 0. Carpenter kicked off, ball advanced two yards, Norfolk loses on downs on 38-yard mark. Carpenter pushes Jacocks through the line like a battering ram for a touch down. Stewart misses goal, score 11 to 0. Carpenter kicks pig skin 20 yards beyond Norfolk's goal. Ball brought to 25-yard line. Virginia fumbles; Carpenter carries ball 40 yards, Webber 15 yards and Carpenter, after skirting Norfolk's left wing, goes over for touch down. Barry kicks goal. Score, 17 to 0. Carpenter kicks 10 yards beyond goal line, leather brought to the 25-yard mark. Norfolk loses on downs. Newton bucks line for 25 yards. Carolina loses on downs. Carpenter pushes Norfolk man back for loss of 15 yards. Carpenter pulls Roberson down the lot for 35 yards for a touch down. Barry kicks goal, score 23 to 0.

The second half opened up with Bear in Carpenter's place, no other change. Norfolk kicked off to Carolina's 25-yard mark, Jacocks advances ball 42 yards, Newton hurdles the line for 15 yards, Story skirts left end for a gain, and Roberson goes over for a touch down. Barry kicks goal, score 35 to 0. Norfolk kicks off. Jacocks advanced over 40 yards. Carolina penalized 10 yards for off-side. Jacocks run 45 yards for a touch down. Barry kicked goal. Final score, 41 to 0.

### NORFOLK COULD NOT SCORE IN CAROLINA.

The Norfolk Landmark has the following to say about the game:

Owing to the coaching of Brown, who helped to bring out the crack V. P. I. team last year, and lack of training by the visitors, the University of North Carolina team defeated the Norfolk football eleven in a well played game at Cone Athletic Park, Greensboro, this afternoon by a score of 41 to 0.

The spirited, plucky game put up by the visitors proved to be quite a surprise to the Carolinians, who, as soon as they saw what they were

up against, got down to work, and in a game, which showed plainly their splendid football form, outplayed their opponents, who, but for lack of training and team work, would have made a much better showing.

Though Carolina scored heavily against them, this is no discredit to the Norfolkians, who made several excellent plays, the work of Barry and Webber being remarkably clever and able. Carpenter and Bear at half, for the Carolinians, played a fast, snappy game, as did Stewart at center and Newton, who hurdled several times, but was downed after having gained many yards. Gill, quarterback; Woodward, full back; Walsh, guard, and Upsher, end, of the Norfolk team, were in the game from start to finish, and executed a number of good plays which showed that they are no novices at the business.

Carolina had her crack team in the field, and considering this and the fact that the Norfolkians lacked the necessary training that comes from long, steady practice, their showing is all the more creditable.

The Norfolk boys are more than satisfied with their trip. They left for home to-night, having had a very enjoyable visit, and expressed themselves delighted with the cordial treatment which they received here.

North Carolina and Georgetown will meet on the gridiron at LaFayette Park, Norfolk, Saturday, November 5th. The North Carolinians expect to carry a large crowd of rooters from "Down Home" for this game, who, with the large contingent of Carolinians resident there, will cheer them on to victory.

### THE LINE UP:

N. C.	NORFOLK.
Stewart (Capt.).....C.....	Harrison.
Seagle.....L. G.....	Johnson.
Maness.....R. G.....	Walsh.
Story.....R. T.....	Fineashe.
Webber.....L. T.....	Jones.
Barry.....L. E.....	Curtis.
Townsend.....	R. E.....
Wright.....	Upsher.
Jacocks.....Q.....	Gill.
Newton.....R. H. R. W.	Fineashe.
Bear.....	L. H.....
Carpenter.....	Walker.
Roberson.....	F. B.....
	Woodward.

### Addresses by Members of the Faculty Before the North Carolina State Historical Society.

President Venable and Professor C. Alphonso Smith addressed the State Historical Society at its meeting in Raleigh on October 15. Dr. Venable spoke on "The University in its Relation to the History of Carolina." The subject of Dr. Smith's address was "The Average American." The speeches were reproduced in full by the Raleigh papers. Dr. Smith was the retiring president of the society.

Teacher: Johnny, what can you tell me about the Anglo-Saxon race? Johnny: I don't know anything about it. I was at the ball game.—The Case Tech.

## A KICK.

The following letter was received a few days ago:

Fairfield, Fla.,  
Oct. 19, 1904.

To the Editors of THE TAR HEEL:

As an alumnus of the University of North Carolina I still take a great deal of interest in the well-fare of my Alma Mater, and, though no longer a resident of North Carolina, my college enthusiasm has not waned in the least. Feeling that I am not alone in my position I will take liberty of making a general kick at the way some matters are carried on in reference to the Alumni, non-resident, I mean.

Although the law requires that a child shall respect and obey its parent, it also requires that the parent shall have some interest in its offspring. The laws of human nature are to some extent based on affection between mother and child, even though the child may become weaned, and live many miles distant from its parent. Now while I am not in any shape to be of any financial assistance to my Alma Mater, still in every way that is possible I try to be of some service to her yet, though my suckling days are over, i. e. in trying to get boys of ability and purpose to go there, and supporting her causes wherever possible, often I regret to say to my loss. Not that the loss is intrinsically much but a fellow hates to be downed.

The kick I wish to make is this—why is it necessary for a non-resident alumnus to have to renew by special letter his subscription to THE TAR HEEL, when his name has been on the list of subscribers for seven years, or the Magazine, when he would be glad to subscribe to same if he ever had a notification of its beginning publication? Again why is it necessary for him to have to literally beg on bended knee for a copy of the annual, and then be refused for reasons unknown? It of course may not be true that other non-residents may have had this experience, but there is certainly one who only gets information from his Alma Mater by a process something like extracting eye-teeth.

A Non-resident Alumnus.

The "kick" is a very reasonable one; it is, moreover, against a condition which we hope to alter. There are two circumstances responsible for this state of affairs: first, lack of continuity in the management of the paper, and second, the little interest shown by the students in the TAR HEEL. Each of these points is worth considering.

The "Kicker" asks that his name be put on the list of subscribers with a note that it is to stay there forever. This can be done easily but there is not much guarantee that the list and note will be seen two years from now. The paper has no centre, no base which is permanent. The accounts as kept are largely in the nature of personal

Continued on 4th page.