

**All-University Tennis Teams Begin to Play**

The third annual all-University tennis doubles tournament will begin Wednesday of Next Week. All men who have not made their letter in varsity tennis or participated in a varsity or freshman tennis match this fall are eligible to enter this tournament. All men who intend to enter must register at the Intramural Office, 205 South Building, sometime before Wednesday. Last year 24 teams entered and there was plenty of competition, so pick out your partner at once.

**SPECIAL MEETING OF PITT COUNTY BOYS**

All boys from Pitt County are asked to meet in the Club room on the second floor of the Y. M. C. A. tonight for the purpose of organizing a County Club. At a meeting of the boys held last Friday night, the election of officers was postponed because of the absence of so many of the boys.

The Pitt County Club was inactive last year, but heretofore it has been one of the liveliest county clubs on the Hill. There are about 40 boys here from Pitt county and it is expected that there will be a large number present at the meeting tonight which will begin promptly at 8:30. Light refreshments will be served.

Not always can flowers, pearls, poetry, protestations, nor even home in another heart, content the awful soul that dwells in clay. It arouses itself at last from these endearments, as toys, and puts on the harness, and aspires to vast and universal aims.—Emerson.

Following the news of last week to the effect that the atom is divisible comes a dispatch from Chicago to the effect that akirts are to be shorter and tighter this autumn.—New York World.

**John Ward Men's Shoes**

**\$9**

Permanent Display at **STETSON "D"** Klutz Building

**\$7**

**John Ward Men's Shoes**

Scores in New York, Brooklyn, Newark and Philadelphia. \* Address for Mail Orders, 345 Hudson St., New York City.

**Open Forum**

As was stated in Saturday's TAR HEEL the editorial force is glad to have students express their opinions through the columns of the OPEN FORUM section of the paper. But, after today's issue, all open forum letters must be limited to a length of 200 words. The letters must be typewritten on typewriter paper, double spaced, and the writer's name signed in full; if the writer does not want to publish his name, indicate the fact and the initials or a pseudonym will be used. Not more than five or six letters will be printed in one edition.

**Editor of TAR HEEL:**

To my mind the recent controversy over the story "Slaves" has brought to light a serious fault in the administration of the student publications on the campus. That fault is "to whom are the Editors of the student publications responsible for the reading matter that appears in their respective publications?" In what body of men, either student or faculty, is authority vested to determine whether or not a student publication has printed material that should not appear in a student publication? By "student publication" I mean those that are members of the Publications Union.

In the opinion of some, the Publications Board, since they have control of the publications, is the proper body to deal with abuses of the Editorial privilege. However I have made a close examination of the constitution of the Publications Union in connection with one of the Faculty Advisers to the board, and neither of us could find any clause that would give the Board that authority, their authority being confined chiefly to the business end of the publications.

Theoretically, the Editors are responsible to the student body as they are directly elected by them by ballot. In the "Slaves" episode the Student Council, as the representatives of the student body in charge of student conduct and government, saw fit to take the discipline of the Editor of the Magazine and his assistant Editor into their own hands and mete out to them a most severe sentence. They were accorded the same treatment, as if they had been found guilty of drinking or gambling. I am convinced that the Student Council overstepped their authority as these men could only be removed from office by the same instrument that put them in office, by the student body at the polls or by the Faculty. They had violated no University ruling and their offence, if any, was outside the sphere controlled by the Student Council.

The University is too large and too complex for a group of

eight men to hold disciplinary power over all of its organizations. The Pan-Hellenic Council deals with all matters relating to fraternities, and succeeds. Let us have a group, appointed by the President or elected by the students, composed jointly of the Faculty and students, that shall have as their province, the discipline of Editors that, in their opinion, have abused their privilege as an Editor, in publishing in their columns matter that is not compatible with the best interests of the student body and with the University. The spirit of Mencken seems to be in the ascendancy on the campus and this is the only method I know that will be able to cope with it fairly and successfully.

J. C. F.

**Editor of TAR HEEL:**

Ordinarily we would not be interested in participating in the controversy that has arisen due to the recent actions taken by the Student Council. However, a letter printed in the last issue of the Tar Heel by Mr. A. S. Kartus has aroused us to the point of indignation and we feel that the student body is deserving of an explanation other than the delusive and noticeably prejudiced letter of Mr. Kartus.

We do not wish to question the right of Mr. Kartus to defend his friend and fellow law student (which he would probably do even in criminal court). As for that matter, the authors of this article do not question the character and scholastic ability of the man who so violated the regulations of the University as to merit the sentence served upon him because we ourselves, are close friends of the student who was suspended. We do oppose the statement that Mr. Chappell was serving in the capacity of an officer shortly after midnight October 10th. We know that Mr. Chappell was not on the scene when the student was taken into custody by the police employed to preserve order in the Town of Chapel Hill and furthermore, that Mr. Chappell had previously warned the student through his room-mate, asking him, as a friend, to retire to his room in order to avoid further trouble.

Duty to Carolina? We admit that the Student Council owes to every fellow student due consideration but, on the other hand, does not every Carolina man owe to his Alma Mater the respect and loyalty becoming of a gentleman? Shall the Carolina student body so shirk the ideals and responsibility that each Carolina man is expected to assume when he enters the University, that Mr. Kartus may have the audacity to make a dogmatic statement questioning the action of the Student Council, whose sole purpose is to uphold those ideals?

We feel that Mr. Kartus is sadly lacking in information on the facts of the case but regardless of his ignorance, has taken upon himself the capacity of a critic which should only be assumed by a man who is thoroughly acquainted with every aspect of his subject and capable of giving an unbiased and unprejudiced opinion instead of a false and misleading conception unworthy of fairminded Carolina students.

Z. J. W. and J. S. R.

**To the Editor:**

In view of the much discussed defection to Carolina institutions and the unthoughtful criticism they have been subjected to, I am induced to give my idea of the value and place of criticism.

The wisdom of criticizing our athletic teams through the instrumentality of our university papers has never been apparent to me. It would seem that the student or organization thus expressing himself is putting himself in the place of a man, un mindful of the prestige of his family, taking a purely family matter before the public. Of course we do not have perfect athletic teams, but

we believe they are among the best to be found anywhere and we are jealous of their reputation. In preference to having anything that would tend to hurt the team go before the public, I am sure the coaches would be willing to "listen" to a little advice from an irresponsible person.

There is undoubtedly a place for a critical newspaper on the campus, however. If the criticism is good, offered in the right spirit, and is directed in the right direction, there is no mistaking its helpfulness. Intelligent criticism makes us cosmopolitan, and in becoming thus is our only hope of rising above intolerance, bias and prejudice. If we are to be rational beings we should not waste time and thought in the narrow shibboleths of any faction or school.

Someone has said that it is a great sin to slay a fair thought, but in justification of criticism, is it not equally as great a sin to not slay a bad one? It is obvious that an intelligent critic must be of a philosopher's temperament, recognizing no position as final and loving truth none the less because it is unattainable. It is best to forget the person always and criticize the idea, for in this way one can avoid invective. Some of us become impervious to reason once we take our stand on anything. "It is much easier to be critical than to be correct," says Disraeli.

In the legislatures where the voice of peoples is heard, one usually finds three distinct parties representing attitudes, viz., radicals, conservatives, and middle men. Of these the middle men are more numerous as a rule, and act as a check to the extremists. From the arguments and criticisms of the extremists they cull what is best, unite it with their own beliefs, and thereby use every man's wisdom in determining what is wisest for all. Toleration has been preached for ages and where it has flourished most, there has been the most advancement. Are our country's newspapers not so divided, and who will say that each division does not make contributions to the same judgment of what is best for all?

E. O. LEWIS.

**Editor of the TAR HEEL:**

Since the recent action of the Student Council concerning the Editor and the Assistant Editor of the Carolina Magazine took place, there has been much discussion among the students both pro and con concerning the action of the Council. Some seem to think that the Council was justified; others seem to think that the action was too drastic; while still others seem to think that the Council went beyond its jurisdiction.

Now let us analyze the above views. From the general trend of talk on the campus, I find that the majority of the students are backing the Council. Why? For the simple reason that the article which has caused so much commotion is, not in keeping with their sense of decency and propriety. As for the penalty, I see nothing too drastic. The Heads of the Magazine were given the opportunity to resign. Since they made such a dismal failure of getting out a Magazine that represents Carolina thought and opinion, they should have resigned gladly. What was there in the Carolina Magazine that could be termed Carolina thought and opinion? There were three articles by Carolina students. Breard's article was the only one that had any real thought in it. Note I am not classing the contributions of outsiders as Carolina thought and opinion. Cardwell's poem, if it could be called such, is void of thought. As for Fowler's story, I will let you judge for yourselves. Did the Student Council exceed its powers? No. It is clearly evident that some action was needed. Who was to give the action, if it was not the students acting through their duly chosen representatives? The problem in hand is clearly a student problem, and our representatives were justified in dealing with it as such. The prestige of Student Government on this campus demands that we look after our own problems. Since it does, we should back the Council to the last man. The prestige of the Student Council will suffer greatly if its decision is reversed by the faculty. Let us keep this in mind while we are discussing this affair.

Wm. T. ALEXANDER, III.

**To the Editor:**

It is with hearty approval, I think, that the majority of the student body endorses the action of the Student Council in regard to the request that those responsible for that bit of filth appearing in the recent issue of the Magazine end their connection with it.

A few, of the same mind as the author, declaring it to be "hot stuff", oppose the removal of these men, desiring that the publication be brought to such a low level. Others believe something should be done, but not by the student council. "Let the Publications Union Board handle it," they demand. If these would recollect that one Breard is head of the board, and is also one of the promoters of the

**PICKWICK THEATRE**

"Almost a Part of Carolina"

**SHOWS DAILY**  
3:00, 4:45  
6:45, 8:30

**REGULAR ADMISSION**  
10 and 25c

**THURSDAY, OCTOBER 20**  
Constance Talmage in  
**DUCHESS OF BUFFALO**  
Mack Sennett Comedy—"Hubby's Quiet Little Game"

**FRIDAY, OCTOBER 21**  
RAYMOND GRIFFITH in  
**YOU'D BE SURPRISED**  
Witwer Comedy—"Where There's a Bill"

"Faun", and has taken a stand for promotion of expressions of disloyalty and unneeded criticism of the school and activities, they would realize that much action, indeed, is expected from that source.

C. W. Bazemore, reputed to have ambitions towards authorship, comes out, probably from an attitude of "Birds of a feather flock together" and wishing to make a dazzling display of his knowledge by his use of big words, which is decidedly poor taste, would defend the persons in question, advocating the use of outside material, saying that the editor must get out a magazine, monthly. By whom, or what, may I ask, is it required? True, using outside material betters the magazine, but if that were used altogether, the editor could not put it on par with numerous magazines on the market. If it can not be a decent magazine, using student contributions, its purpose fails, and it would be best, I contend, for it to cease to be. It can not be denied that an extremely extensive campaign has been made to get the students interested. A whole article in the TAR HEEL, and another in the Magazine itself, both concerning the subject. What more could possibly be done?

It is interesting, and amusing, to note that those two are also in charge of the "Faun" which word I believe should be "Fawn". I wonder what they call their sheet of "intelligent opinion". Even with their disgusting egotism, surely they do not term it a newspaper. Or do they class such drivel as literature? A speaker, not long ago, said that this place will make a man or a fool. The "staff" of the "Faun," seems to be excellent proof of half that statement; the latter half. To my own knowledge one of that number, quite brilliant in high school, entered the University six years ago, taking a course which the average student completes in four years. In what should have been his senior year, in addition to his course, he splurged into editorship of some magazine, making a complete failure of both. It is likely that all of them were once possessed of aspirations to soon have the school at their feet, and having failed, make light of others trying to gain honors, which sounds suspiciously like a confession, reminding one that once upon a time there was a fox who didn't like sour grapes. A group, such as that, assumes the task of giving "intelligent opinions."

From reading the "Faun," one gets the impression, to put it lightly, that the publishers are dissatisfied with Carolina. If so, by what bonds are

they held here. Would it not be better for all if the Student Council would ask that Starr and Fowler not only sever connection with the Magazine, but that they, with the others of the "Faun," take themselves and their "intelligent opinions" elsewhere, where they are more needed and desired?

J. J. P.

**TAR HEELS vs. MARYLAND AT COLLEGE PARK SAT.**  
(Continued from page one)

No major injuries resulted from the recent Duke game, and an injury to Foard's shoulder has been the only worrisome casualty of this week's scrimmages.

Coach Collins and his staff are working tirelessly to remove flaws in the Tar Heel plays, and to perfect a smooth working offense.

The Tar Heel players are determined to return from the contest victors, and promise an exhibition of fight that has been unexcelled by a Carolina team in many years.



**A KODAK**

Belongs in the kit

The fun you have on your hunting trip or outing will come back to you from the pictures you make.

See the Kodaks here—they're only \$5 up.

Careful finishing

**Foister's**

**GEO. F. MESSNER** Wm. H. ROWE

Everything on campus in past four years heated by us

**Carolina Heating & Engineering Co.**

HEATING, VENTILATING AND POWER PIPING

Durham, N. C.

Phone 1466

**BUDD-PIPER ROOFING COMPANY**

DURHAM, N. C.

Roofing and Sheet metal Work

**During Our Removal Sale**

We have the following special values to offer:

NoFade Aetna and Phillips-Jones White Genuine Broadcloth Shirts	\$1.45
\$1.50 White Broadcloth Shirts	\$1.00
Laundry Proof Pajamas	\$1.45
TOPCOATS in the latest styles and patterns, regular price \$34.50, Sale Price	\$22.50
\$27.50 Topcoats, Sale Price	\$19.95

**S. BERMAN**

**SPECIAL**

For This Week

ONE 1-2 POUND CAN PRINCE ALBERT TOBACCO AND ONE "JIMMY" PIPE 59c.

**The Book Exchange**