

The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Union of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where it is printed daily, except Mondays, examinations and vacation periods. Entered as second class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1979. Subscription price is \$5.00 for the college year.

Complete Leased Wire Service of United Press

ROBERT MORRISON _____ Editor
WESTY FENHAGEN _____ Managing Editor
BILL HIGHT _____ Associate Editor
BETTIE GAITHER _____ Business Manager
CLIFFORD HEMINGWAY _____ Circulation Manager

FOR THIS ISSUE:

RAY CONNER _____ Night Editor
BILL WOESTENDIEK _____ Night Sports Editor

Editorially Speaking

WHAT IS AMERICA UP TO?

President Truman's Army Day speech has been described as armament for peace. In light of present U. S. Foreign Policy, it appears to be just the opposite. It is a call to defend Yankee imperialism by armed might.

The American people are asked to extend the draft and send their sons to learn the arts of war as part of their education in the new atomic era. As Senator Claude Pepper (Fla.) said in the Senate last week:

"Indeed, those who used to argue that the safeguards of our security were the two oceans (like Senator Vandenberg—D. K.) now urge us to span all oceans and move from pole to pole and continent to continent in desperate quest for an illusory military security, while other nations, inevitably apprehensive over all these things, ask, 'What is America up to? Is it just security they seek?'"

The retention of our troops in friendly countries, the unilateral handling of Japan by MacArthur, the support of collaborationists in the Philippines, our refusal to back France on Spain, our grab for bases in the Pacific, our seeming reluctance to grant the Soviet Union a loan, our backing Britain in the Security Council in her refusal to have the actions of her troops in Greece and Indonesia investigated, our silence on the question of India, our support of the reactionary Kuomintang in China, and our oil-inspired policy toward Iran all add up to a policy which the American people cannot support politically, and certainly not militarily.

Why can't our government take the initiative, as she did when steered by the great Franklin Roosevelt, to weld the unity of the United Nations? Why can't our government take the initiative, as it almost did under Woodrow Wilson, for real peace: internationalization of the atom bomb and world disarmament? Why must we support the old, war-breeding, unstable forces of feudalism and imperialism? Why, instead, do we wave the atom "big stick," arm for war, and in general try to hold back the tremendous forces for democratic change, so that these forces become explosive?

Any serious student of history must know that Truman's call to arms is a call to war, whether the President wants war or not. The world has yet to witness an arms race that ended in peace. The world has yet to witness the phenomenon of real security by a nation that lives at the expense of others and maintains its dominance by force of arms.—D. K.

CIRCULATION COMPLAINTS

As Linda Nobles, member of the Publications Union Board, declared "The PU Board's face is red," and Fred Flagler admitted that the PU Board has failed to do the best job, Clifford Hemingway, circulation manager of the DTH tried to revamp his staff today and stop the hundreds of complaints which are pouring into the editorial offices.

Whether or not the PU Board has solved the circulation problem or not we don't know, but the editor and managing editor of the DTH are ready (if everything else fails) to take over circulation. We think that we can very modestly guarantee better circulation than the campus has been having. If the PU Board can't supervise adequate circulation of the paper, we're willing to try, although we'd rather it not be necessary.

One way that we can insure better circulation and learn just where the circulation manager is falling down is by receiving complaints. Shoot them in, folks, everybody's doing it. Here's a convenient form:

PU Board Complaint Department
Care of Daily Tar Heel Editor
Drawer 1080
Dear Bob:

I have not been receiving adequate delivery of the DTH.
My address is:

Sincerely,

Showdown With Franco Seen In Near Future

Manny Margolis

The United Nations Security Council, which only recently emerged out of the Iranian frying pan, seems headed shortly for the fire of Spain. The sniping of American, British, and French diplomatic notes having been found wanting, a new diplomatic offensive is being opened against the Franco regime. This offensive, if successful, will train the big guns of United Nations machinery against the Spanish dictatorship.

Last Saturday, the Polish embassy in London announced that Poland's delegate in the Security Council, Dr. Oscar Lange, had been instructed to raise the Spanish issue before the Council on the grounds that "the present regime in Spain is endangering international peace." Dr. Lange will propose that the other Council members follow the lead taken by his government and that of Roumania in severing diplomatic relations with the Spanish government.

What this means for the UN is a definite showdown policy. In the recent Iranian case, Secretary of State Byrnes fought—justifiably and successfully—in defense of sheer moral right. This right included, in its various phases, the right of small nations to self-determination. It remains to be seen whether Mr. Byrnes and the other Security Council members will be capable of translating and applying this moral right where "occupied" Spain is concerned.

Thus far, there have been two conflicting points of view in dealing with the Spanish situation. The first of these was reflected in the recent Anglo-American plea to the Spanish people on March 4th to oust Franco by peaceable means. This attempt was a miserable failure. The second of these, or the Franco-Russian approach, was reflected in the decision of the French government last February to close its frontier with Spain, which was followed several days later by a request of the Big Three to raise the question in the Security Council of

severing diplomatic and economic relations with Spain. At that time, Great Britain flatly rejected the plan and the U. S. followed suit.

As an indication of how the rank-and-file of the Labor Party in Great Britain feel toward their government's Churchillian policy toward Spain, United Press quotes a London Dispatch stating that the "Labor Party is in virtually open revolt against the foreign policies of Foreign Minister Ernest Bevin." It is reported that more than 90% of local Labor Party Chapters have registered formal complaints against Bevin's policies, as being untrue to Socialist policy.

But what the British people unfortunately do not realize is that British trade dictates British foreign policy, and that, for example, British trade with Spain has been reaching new heights, while concomitantly, her trade with the Soviet Union has been rapidly deteriorating.

How much the United States has been doing with Spain is a matter for conjecture, though it is an open secret in State Department circles that it has been very extensive since the end of hostilities in Europe. In the pending showdown in the Security Council, the United States will wield the balance of power between the British and Russian attitudes. It remains to be seen whether the (Francisco) Franco-British entente or the Franco-Soviet intent to destroy it, prevails in the Security Council.

Now Hear This

Thompson Tells Half Truths, Not 'Just Another Opinion'

By Jack Lackey

Roy Thompson's article in last Sunday's Daily Tar Heel was called "Just Another Opinion," but I'm quite sure that if Roy did write it that it really wasn't just another opinion. Roy has been trying to get back the political control of the campus ever since the UCP left him out in the cold early last fall. This column of his must be the first step in some sort of comeback campaign that Roy and his friends are cooking up. Before long they will undoubtedly come up with a new political party with which to try to dominate the campus. It won't have Roy or any of his more obvious friends at the head of it, but look closely, students, and you will see his shining face in the reflection it casts.

Roy is not a liar—but he is a clever writer. Half truths are his specialty. In his article he said that Bill McKenzie, Dick Ford, Joe Mallard, Bill Stubbs and Connie Hendren had all been nominated and elected by the University Party and that they all had resigned. There is little wrong with this except that, although Miss Hendren turned over her Mag to guest editors for two issues, she never did resign and is now busy putting out this month's edition.

What Roy Didn't Mention

What Roy didn't mention was that he was later chairman of the old student party which put up the following losing candidates: Bill Crisp and Jim Traynum for president and vice president respectively; Dougald MacMillan for secretary-treasurer; Betsy Couch for head cheerleader, and Stan Colbert for editor of the Mag. The last three of these candidates have left school. If they had been elected they too would have had to resign their positions.

Why did these people have to leave their positions? The answer is simple—most of them were drafted, while at least one of them had to leave because of

his doctor's orders. There is nothing wrong with these circumstances. The past year has been very unsettled for all students. Political parties are not psychic. They can't be expected to foresee the future health of their candidates or be able to prophecy the future whims of Mr. Hershey's draft boards.

Roy was also very critical of what he called the University Party's monopoly of student government on the campus. Here too he did not quite tell the whole truth. No matter how much some students would desire such a monopoly it seems obvious to anyone looking at the facts that it doesn't exist at this time. The last campus-wide election was held last November. The UP was able to elect only half its candidates. That the opposing party, the UCP, did not elect more than they did was due mainly to the efforts of this same Roy Thompson. He wasn't able to control the UCP so he ran his own hand-picked candidate for president of the student body and pretty well ruined the chances of the UCP man. The UCP still was able to put half of his candidates into office. Does this look like a UP monopoly?

Thompson Fraternity Man

Thompson is a fraternity man himself. Perhaps he would rather have the students forget this fact. Bitter feeling between fraternity men and non-fraternity men is never desirable except to tin horn politicians who use it to get themselves into the power they seem to desire so very, very much. Although no one would deny that the University Party consists mainly of fraternities and sororities it is an interesting point that there are at least seven fraternities and sororities who are not members of it. And still the biggest point that Roy failed to mention is that the dormitories that he felt were being so discriminated against are welcome to send delegates to the UP and to vote in its party meeting just the same as any fraternity. That there are only two dorms now represented in the UP is due mainly to the efforts of Roy and his friends. Whenever the UP tries to get a dorm to send a delegate these self appointed guardians of the students' interests think of many, many reasons why they shouldn't do it. What they don't tell them is that they are afraid that if their sheep learn the truth they might not be so susceptible to the venomous anti-fraternity feeling that they are trying to spread.

UP Not Perfect

The University Party is very far from being perfect. It does, however, reflect the viewpoints of many students. It is only too willing to reflect the feelings of a larger portion of the student body than it now does. Its chief reason for existing is to set forth a program of action and to nominate candidates who will carry out that program if elected. That the UP has done this to the satisfaction of the student body is evidenced by the fact that while other parties come and go with the machinations of their ambitious leaders, year in and year

See HALF TRUTHS, page 4

The Ram Sees --- By An Old Goat

Clad in brilliant neck-ties, shading from burgundy to tomato, Sigma Nu's sallied forth Sunday evening. Their colors of flame busily flirted with gleams of corresponding warmth in feminine eyes. Thus was Red Necktie Day born. Next on the Sigma Nu list of innovations soon to be inaugurated is Sweat Shirt Day.

"Becoming Idiots"

Featured on the front page of the Sunday Greensboro Daily News were six radiant Carolina coeds. Caledonia, Barbara Boyd, Barbara Pennington, Patty Harry, Jean Huske, and Taddy Shipp, photographed in a setting of blossoming dogwood, all vied with the perennial charm of Mother Nature, garbed in April glory.

"Trivia"

Memorable events in Thirsty Pannill's Friday evening number several, not the least being "a shampoo on draught." "But after all beer rinses," maintains coiffure expert Beverly Lee, "give hair an added lustre, an added sheen" . . . Lost, strayed, or stolen, Tommy Peterson's car . . . "Kiss-off," smeared in red: Bob Little dates (tenses, present, past and future?) Jos Landvoigt . . . Specialty entertainers at Terrace View Sunday evening were Lou Callum and Barbara Jean Hardy, swirling and twirling to the rhythmic abandon of Tampico . . . W O R T H L E S S, ending on a high treble, was a

recurrent reminder to Carl Worsley that Frances Walker did not conder his frequent kindling-carrying-expeditions at the Sigma Nu meadow party of particularly deserving value . . . Bath-ers, seeking Ole Sol atop protected roofs, have found that soot combines with sun to turn all innocent victims blacker and blacker . . . As of week-end last Herb Bodman's zoom vehicle was tagged destination, Pinehurst. Its passengers were four: Herb himself, Doris Layton; and Jesse Nalle, Jane Robinson . . . Coed eyes at Carolina are fastened in admiring accord on the "saintly" features of Louie Nicoud! Address, Delta Psi House . . . With or without his shoes Joe Miller has reserved for himself the title "abhorer of womanhood at Carolina" . . . Flirtation walk at Tinnie's Meadow Saturday evening found Ed Carson chief pace setter there. "Love Lifted Me"; what lifted you? asks Bill Hight . . . Speaking off the record, Rameses wishes to state that Blanche Jacobi and Ray Silbiger are a recent addition to Cupid's list of twosomes . . . One never knows when one deals in futures, but Alex Veasy, Junior class president, is not just speculating but investing in later dates with his class secretary, Janet Johnston . . . "Effinger" got off to an early week-end start with his 1941 technique under pledge "Cherub" Webb's constant observation . . . Another old scholar, Erwin Jones scored

the season's outstanding match-making success. Erstwhile paratrooping major, Marshall McDonald owes Brother Jones an eternal debt of gratitude . . . Sam "Bones" Fallin's siesta on a comfy couch in the ATO abode lasted from sordid Saturday until blue Monday. What, another "Lost Weekend"? (Credit line: Gene Johnstone.)

Party Sprinkling

"Happy Birthday is what we want to say, on this your grandest, greatest day." So read the telegram from Delta Kappa Epsilon to Chi Omega on her fifty-first founder's day celebration. Over coffee cups and ice cream Prexy Satterfield presented the annual X and horseshoe awards. A loving cup, symbolic of Chi O's appreciation, was presented to Model pledge Fran Drennen while Jane Bentley's scholastic achievement as a pledge received worthy recognition. A cigarette case in gold was presented "in absentia" to Tish Andrews, the girl who's done the most for Chi Omega . . . At Hogan's Lake Saturday night DKE's bundled their 94th year along its way. Nor were these celebrants alone in their merry-making. Also occupying partying sites at Hogan's were the Monogram Club, the Chi Psi's and Sigma Chi's. (Postscript to the Sigma Chi party: Seventy strong in one small conveyance prompted the tune "Don't Fence Me In" as sardine-packed Sigma Chi's wended their way back to the Hill.)