

# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Union of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where it is printed daily, except Mondays, examinations and vacation periods. Entered as second class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price is \$5.00 for the college year.

Complete Leased Wire Service of United Press

ROBERT MORRISON Editor  
WESTY FENHAGEN Managing Editor  
BILL HIGHT Associate Editor  
BETTIE GAITHER Business Manager  
CLIFFORD HEMINGWAY Circulation Manager

ASSISTANT EDITORS: Fred Jacobson, Ray Conner.  
EDITORIAL STAFF: Dick Koral, Dick Stern, Dorothy Marshall, Gloria Gautier, Corinne Gaskin.  
NEWS EDITORS: Bob Levin, Jack Lackey.  
COPY EDITOR: Bill Lamkin.

### FOR THIS ISSUE:

WESTY FENHAGEN Night Editor  
HOWARD MERRY Assistant Editor  
BOB GOLDWATER Night Sports Editor

## Editorially Speaking

### WOOLLEN GYM PLAY BOYS AGAIN MISS BOAT

The University of North Carolina has been blessed with faculty members who have ranked high in their fields nationally and even internationally. Many alumni have made names for themselves and the University. Unfortunately most of the doing that has been done has been on the part of the individual. Most have received little incentive to do better. In case they did, all well and good, and in case they didn't it didn't seem to make a whole lot of difference. How we have been able to keep so many prominent rankers in our midst at Carolina is a mystery.

Many cases of faculty members moving out from the University to seek advancement have been noted. It is a definite fact that we can't expect to retain all of the best men because then the University would not be contributing its part as a center of educational advancement. It is natural for the advancement to take place. What we are arguing about though is this . . . that too many times THE BEST have been casually overlooked . . . attempts have been made to keep them in their place (not giving them a chance to do better.)

It is true that the University officials did not totally overlook the possibility of retaining Coach Ben Carnevale as head basketball coach. The situation was sort of tough for the boys who have to make the final decrees, because there is always the fear of stepping on toes.

No doubt several men now on the athletic staff would like the job. Some have been here long before Carnevale accidentally took the reins as head coach of the basketball team in 1944-45. But what is more important there are some around on the pay roll of the University who aren't worth the ink they sign their names with when compared to Ben Carnevale.

This Carnevale has done a lot for the University. The fact that his basketball team accomplished what it has in the past two seasons is just as important to many people as the publishing of an excellent research finding by some faculty member. It should not be overlooked.

Unfortunately the students could do little about keeping Carnevale. The people who really wanted him had their hands tied by more than one set of strings. Nevertheless, it is simply foolish to lose a man who has contributed so much to the University in such a small time.

School spirit never will buy a loaf of bread and of course Carnevale had to look out for himself. In other words the whole trouble was money. The University said that it couldn't afford to retain Carnevale because other offers were far too high in comparison. Let it be said here and now that . . . the University couldn't afford to lose Carnevale.

This applies not only to Carnevale but other faculty members as well. We have let a lot of the best slip through our fingers simply because we couldn't afford to pay. Any farmer will tell you that a tractor is better than four mules. And here we conclude with, weed out the mediocre and at least make an attempt to retain the best ones.

He is gone now and the day will no doubt come when some will wake up to the fact he should have been retained as coach.—F. F.

### COMPROMISE NEEDED!

Both student political parties, in their platforms last April, promised the student body a constitution. "I won't vote for the constitution if the legislature includes this particular clause" or words to the equivalent were spoken by responsible individuals at the legislature's session Thursday night. One student said that he would throw a bloc of his fraternity brothers against the constitution if a certain article was included by the legislature's convention. Now is the time for all good parties to carry out their platforms and come to the aid of a constitution. There is now a group of individuals who want to scrap the whole document if every part of it does not conform to their individual specifications. There is no doubt that every student on the campus can find something in the constitution which he doesn't like, and if it becomes fashionable to oppose the whole document because of some part, we won't have a constitution, which most students seem to want.

The legislature's convention and the student body must realize now that the greatest threat to our constitution is coming from those who want the constitution most, but are unwilling to sacrifice a few of their personal views in a grand compromise which will benefit all.—R. M.

## By-Lines Hello, Fanny

By Bill Lyman

On the Likely Perverseness of Women When They Have a Situation under Control; and the General Cussedness of Telephone Operators:

"Long distance."  
"Long distance? I wanta make a person-to-person call to Lynchburg, Va., please."

"All right. Who are you calling, please?"

"Miss Fanny Boswell, Randolph-Macon College."

"Fanny?"

"Yes, Boswell."

"How do you spell the last name?"

"B-O-S-W-E-L-L."

"Murgatroyd?"

"No, Boswell. Boswell, Boswell."

"Thank you. Just a moment please."

(Long pause.)

"Hello, Chapel Hill? Your party is ready now. What is your name please?"

"Bill Smith."

"How do you spell that last name please?"

"How do you spell the last name? You spell it M-U-R-G-A-T-R-O-Y-D."

"Oh, Smith, eh? What number are you calling from please?"

"2397."

"Deposit seventy-five, plus tax, please."

"Listen, lady, I hate to be personal, but just how much is the tax?"

"One moment please." (Pause.)

"The tax is twenty cents."

"Thus making it 95c in all?"

"One moment please. Seventy-five plus twenty . . . fivenzerofive, twonsevenznine. That'll be 95c in all."

"Gee, thanks."

Clunk, clunk, clunk. Clink, clink.

"Go ahead, Chapel Hill."

"Hello, Fanny?"

"Bill! You angel!"

"Listen, Fanny, excuse me for calling you this late, but I have just this minute gotten, er, out of the infirmary, and didn't know I was, er, gonna get out so soon. The German Club is throwing a helluva dance here this weekend and I'd like to know if you can come?"

"Oh, you dahling! You perfect dahling!"

"Then you can come?"

"Well . . . yes and no."

"What do you mean, Fanny?"

"Well, you see it's this way, Bill. I already have a date for it and am leaving for Chapel Hill in the morning."

"You — already — have — a date?"

"You're so right. I already have a date."

"Well!"

"However, I wish I were going with you. I'd rather go with you than anybody."

"Aw-w-w. I bet you tell that to all the boys."

"I do, dearie, but it still makes you feel good! Tee hee. Bye honey."

## Writer Blames Students for Discrepancies Found in Functions of Student Government

By Bookie Jabine

"The Student Body of the university is self-governing." The above is quoted from the University of North Carolina Record, for the Session, 1945-46. Liberal self-government as practiced on the campus is a heritage found in few other institutions. The University lays down no code of strict rules to direct a student what to do and what not to do. In fact, by way of popular elections, the student chooses a code of rules for himself.

In recent months, as always, there has been much talk—and talk alone—of certain discrepancies in our student government.

Many realize that these flaws exist, but take little or no interest in way they exist or how they may be abolished.

Are the campus leaders at fault? Is the student legislature failing to do its job? Are other university organizations blind to the facts? No, it is the student who passes off campus elections and politics as utter foolishness.

The students run this university, no matter how many fail to realize it. They have an opportunity in the near future to take action. By their vote, they can undo any blunders that exist. By

their vote, they shall select their way of campus life for the coming session.

These votes, however, must be intelligent votes. They must be born of a reasonable amount of thought concerning conflicting issues. They can not be the "well, Joe says he's a good man" type of ballot. Neither can they be the "what do I care about politics" type. An election based on such irresponsible thinking cannot be expected to provide an efficient way of life at Carolina.

On the other hand, a thinking

See WRITER Page 3

### Readers See:

## 'Double Talk' In Columns On Foreign Policy

Dear Editor:

Two editorials on foreign relations, both by the same editor, appeared in the April 9th and April 13th Daily Tar Heels. A comparison of these two editorials reveals interesting possibilities as to the writers underlying beliefs. The first editorial castigates American foreign policy as nationalistic and imperialist, leading to an arms race and war. The bases for the criticism are first, that America is pursuing her ends by unilateral action, refusing to cooperate with other powers for "real peace", failing to act through the UNO toward collective security and world disarmament, and second, that our policy supports illiberal forces in a number of countries.

The April 13th editorial justifies a present negation of the following principles, "Thou shalt not walk out of UNO meetings", "Thou shalt not keep troops in another country", "Thou shalt not hold secret conclaves", in the light of present realities and "the greatest good for the greatest number". In other words, this editorial declares that conditions prevailing in the world today justify unilateral action and refusal to act through the UNO. Whose action is being justified? Is it America's? The first editorial condemns the "retention of our troops in friendly countries"; the second says that the principle "Thou shalt not keep troops in another country" does not apply to our present day world. Does the writer mean to contradict himself? Or does he mean to justify the action of Russia in keeping troops in another country while condemning that action by America? Does he support unilateral action by Russia and condemn unilateral action by America? An appraisal of American foreign policy founded on any such hidden principle is dangerously misleading and hopelessly unreliable.

Wallace C. Murchison  
Winston Broadfoot

## Letters To The Editor

### Hep Joe Cites Slips . . .

Dear Editor:

I always read a music column with interest, and Brad McCuen's is no exception. Although his articles make me wince, I always give a man credit for trying . . . trying that is.

Today he says that "soon bands like the Duke's will stop playing dance dates and limit themselves to concerts." This is possible but not probable, and I wonder how many bands he thinks there are like the Duke's.

Brad informs us that Claude Thornhill is going to play for our May Frolics. Mr. Thornhill is one of my favorite musicians and one of the foremost stylists of dance music today. I commend the committee who seeks new and refreshing music, but don't you think they should wait until he has a band before they sign him? According to Down Beat Magazine Claude boy won't have a band until the latter part of this month. "Dis meks me wunda too."

Mr. McCuen's statement about Coleman Hawkins' legendary fame on alto sax was almost right. It's legend because Hawk has been resting on his big fat "laurels" for five years; but leave us face it, Brad, "The Bean" plays tenor, not alto sax.

Sincerely,

Mike Loftus.

### Lazy Man's Holiday . . .

Dear Editor:

At last week's convocation Dr. Hardin Craig implied that Carolina's student body was lazy. Laziness, he proclaimed, is the cause for students' failing to produce grade A work. I hasten to the defense of the male students and say that laziness is not the cause for the death of accomplishments hereabouts. Instead, lack of time in which to produce is to blame!

Listed below are a number of small tasks that require a good bit of time over a period of a week. If all these non-essential duties were put end to end, the male student could produce an amount of work that would amaze even Dr. Craig.

Task	Time Daily	Time Weekly
Shaving (daily)	15 min.	1 hr. 45 min.
Brushing teeth (daily)	3 min.	21 min.
Shower (daily)	20 min.	2 hrs. 20 min.
Coffee (1 cup daily)	15 min.	1 hr. 45 min.
Coke (sm. 1 daily)	5 min.	35 min.
Haircuts (1 weekly)	20 min.	20 min.
Beer (1 daily)	30 min.	3 hrs. 30 min.
Reading DTH (6 days weekly)	45 min.	4 hrs. 30 min.
Dating local coeds (thrice weekly)	720 min.	12 hrs.
Movie (once weekly solo)	90 min.	1 hr. 30 min.
Writing home (once weekly)	10 min.	10 min.

GRAND WEEKLY TOTAL . . . 28 hrs. 46 min.

The above sample demonstrates that 28 hours and 46 minutes could be saved weekly. Figures given were computed at a minimum (especially relative to consumption of alcoholics and dating of coeds) and are intended to serve as a guide for the individual student. Any male member of the student body who has the urge to bring his grades up to par could devise his own time saving table thus affording himself additional hours in which to study.

Once the quaint and old-fashioned conventions are cast aside on this campus and this method put into practice, Carolina will become a haven for B-odorous, unshaven—but highly intellectual young men.

Yours sincerely,

Lawrence M. (Foxhole) Ferguson, Jr.

LPL ABNER

Tea for Two

By Al Capp



Get your Copy of the New "SPELLBOUND" Album at Ab's Bookshop