

The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Union of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where it is printed daily, except Mondays, examinations and vacation periods. Entered as second class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price is \$5.00 for the college year.

Complete Leased Wire Service of United Press

ROBERT MORRISON	Editor
WESTY FENHAGEN	Managing Editor
BILL HIGHT	Associate Editor
CARROLL POPLIN and BILL WOESTENDIEK	Co-Sports Editors
BILL SELIG	Business Manager
CLIFFORD HEMINGWAY	Circulation Manager

FOR THIS ISSUE:

JACK LACKEY	Night Editor
HOWARD MERRY	Night Sports Editor

ABOUT PETE PULLY

At Carolina we have an Honor System. This Honor System is more valuable than all of our marble columns, more valuable than all of the volumes in our library, and more valuable than all the factual knowledge which we can acquire. Abiding by this Honor System is not always an easy thing. Cowards can not live under the Honor System, for often demands are made which require more than ordinary courage.

Sometimes (often, in fact) a student can finish four years at Carolina without facing the test; often a student can blind himself to those definite evils which he sees, and fail to report them; perhaps there are some people who can accept personal honor without demanding universal honor, but fortunately these persons do not dominate our ethical structure, or that structure (and civilization with it) would crumble.

The question was raised Thursday night at the Student Party caucus as to whether or not Pully is an honest man. All evidence, except the latest, points squarely to the fact that Pully abides by the Honor System.

Chuck Heath, chairman of the Student Party, maintains that Pully promised the Student Party that he would run on their ticket for the presidency, and not on the ticket of any other party if he ran at all. There are a large number of reliable witnesses to testify that Pully made this agreement.

At a rather dramatic moment Thursday night, a member of the party rose to the floor and stated that there was a rumor that Pully had accepted the University Party nomination for presidency of the student body. Heath re-stated the promise which Pully had made to the Student Party, and saying, "Pully is an honorable man," denied the rumor.

Shortly thereafter the student legislature (of which Pully is a member) adjourned, and Pully appeared in the lobby to announce to Heath that he had accepted the University Party nomination, and that he felt that it was right that he should run on the University Party in preference to the Student Party. The Student Party rose up in a fit of anger, and speeches against Pully's integrity rolled from the rostrum.

Pully seems to maintain that he had not specifically agreed to refuse the University Party nomination, for at the time Pully had no belief that there was the remotest possibility of his nomination by the University Party.

The situation approaches logomachy. There is a thin and subtle difference between the statement "I will run on the Student Party ticket if I run at all" and the statement "I will run on the Student Party ticket and not on the University Party ticket."

After a great deal of debate and conflicting opinions, Pully has decided to run on the University Party ticket. (This report was verified as page two went to press; the matter is still quite liquid, however, and may be reversed.) A wholesale attack may be launched against him. Heath is undeniably correct in all of his statements, and except for a very tricky piece of phraseology, the fact that Pully made a mistake is evident.

The campus will have to decide as to whether Pully's mistake was serious enough to disqualify him as president of the student body. The mistake, if made in the ordinary matters which confront men everyday, would have little or no consequences, but this mistake was made in a matter which vitally concerns five thousand or more men and women here at the University of North Carolina.

We want to say emphatically that from our personal acquaintance with Pete Pully we have found him to be very honest and very capable. We want to say that Heath and the Student Party are perhaps over-emphasizing a point, but they are certainly right in the statements they have made. In regard to University Party Chairman Allan Pannill's refutation of the Student Party charge, we think that he was sincere in regard to the information which he had, but that he was not fully acquainted with the facts.

The Student Party may push the matter of Pully's inconsistency.

Any student who is interested can read Pully's statement and the other statements printed on these pages.

Leaders in student government know that Pully has an excellent record, and Thursday's action was perhaps the first thing he has done which could be interpreted as the slightest infringement of the Honor System.

The campus will decide.—R. M.

OPEN OUTDOOR POOL

To the Athletic Department:
Let's hurry up and open the outdoor pool. The weather has been warm enough for weeks, and the students want to swim in the sun.

Sincerely,
The Daily Tar Heel

Statement By Pully

A great deal has been said and printed in the last day or two. Some of it has been true and some of it untrue. In order to do what in my mind, would be the best possible solution to many misunderstandings and to help protect a Student Government System which is more important than any possible nominee or whole slates of nominees I wish to make this statement.

Some weeks ago a representative of the Student Party asked me to consider running for an office in the coming elections. In replying I told him that I had not even considered such a possibility but that I would check on the length of time I must be here in school to finish and at the same time check on my academic work and with my family in order to give a definite answer. My answer was held up chiefly because my Mother was out of the state and I could not contact her except on long distance in Arkansas. In the meantime Chuck Heath of the Student Party asked if I would say that I would run only on the Student Party slate. To this I answered, that I had not been approached by any other parties so naturally, if I received his party's nomination I would run on his party ticket if I ran at all.

After checking of the items aforementioned I told the Student Party that I could not possibly run. However they urged me to reconsider and, to me, the matter was dead. Numerous members of the Student Party continued to approach me in an effort to get me to change my mind about running for some office. Taking this interest to be a genuine one and of this I am sure that they were, I again contacted my family and others who could help me make an intelligent and fair answer, to both the people in the Student Party and to myself.

Last Sunday my family came through Chapel Hill to help me make a decision and at that time I was told to make the decision I personally thought best.

I apologize for bringing personal relations into this but it was a most important factor to me.

Finally I made a second decision and this one was to run if I were tendered the nomination. Both parties, so far as I know,

knew that I would accept a nomination.

It is true that I felt obligated to the Student Party because they had shown a sincere interest in my possibility for being a candidate for office. In fact it is my understanding that I was presented along with four or five other candidates before their steering committee as a possible nominee for President of the Student Body. The party at no time promised me a definite nomination for it would have been foolish on their part to commit themselves.

Thursday afternoon of this week the University Party offered me a nomination of President of the Student Body. Thursday night I was assured by the Student Party that my nomination was a definite thing. During the Student Party meeting and before the Student Party had reached any decision by voting I spoke with Chuck Heath and Allan Pannill together in Memorial Hall. I knew that a third and final decision must be made. I made it at that time. It was to accept the nomination of the University Party. This step was not taken lightly because I knew of the good faith which had been expressed so often by members of both parties and I knew regardless of what my answer was, somebody was going to be very upset and possibly bitter.

I believe that Chuck Heath has been hurt and unjustly so. He has fostered me before his group for some time and he has interpreted my third decision as a "stab in the back." I am sure that Chuck is sincere in his beliefs.

If I were a politician, I am sure this entire affair in which two opposing parties were seeking to nominate the same person would have been handled much better. I am not a politician so I am sure that I have made many enemies unavoidably.

To those who have expressed pleasure at my running I say, Thank You. To those who have been hurt, disgusted, and embittered I say that I regret most sincerely that I have caused this in you.

I have never written to the Tar Heel before but I thought that the campus was due a statement of this nature.

Pete Pully

Letters To The Editor

Court Justice Odd to Weaver

Dear Editor:

It certainly looks like the leaders in this growing village in which we go to school are going to get their faces washed. They have been sitting around just a little too long. They wait until the fire is burned out before they put on water. Then it's a little late. A number of them will soon have some very pertinent questions to answer.

If I might, I would like to insert two items here that they and the University officials also might ponder over:

1—Why is a man being allowed to live in a University-owned house who has no visible means of support?—except bootlegging!—other than two daughters working as waitresses!

Now my intention is not to harm the man whatsoever, because a good bootlegger here and there seems to be a necessity in our American economic system. But I do know that the police are familiar with him and his illicit business, and as employees of the University, they should have long ago reported him to the proper authorities—assuming that they are ignorant of the fact???

2—How can a "Kangaroo

Court"—a high sounding name for such a degenerated Recorder's Court as we have here—legally show discrimination among the people who serve the inmates under its jurisdiction?

On April 23 a cab driver was fined \$50 and costs, and his license was suspended for 30 days for passing on a curve.

On the same day another man was fined \$5 and costs for speeding, passing on the wrong side, and narrowly missing some pedestrians.

In stating the penalty for this cab driver the judge told the court that hereafter any "cabbie" caught speeding would pay the above (former) penalties.

What kind of justice do you call that? And all that here in this little village of Chapel Hill where we have to live.

Sincerely,

Max Weaver

Editor's Note: Max Weaver is a married student (two kids), who has lived in Chapel Hill all his life; CHHS class of 1935, I think; was a cab driver for several years before entering school (UNC) last fall or this winter. His brother-in-law, or uncle, or cousin, Charles Bartlett, is owner of Carolina Cab.

Graham Wants Poll

Dear Editor,

We hear about many things through your paper by means of the "Letters" column which are very pertinent to the life we, as students lead on this campus. They are as a rule interesting. But they are also, as a rule, the result of what one person has thought up and labeled as his "opinion" of whatever the issue happens to be at that time. I don't mean to say that these opinions are always bad or are they something we could do without. I heartily believe in expressing one's self. However I think this campus is badly in need of some hard, ironed out facts and statistics about the things that are going on.

What I am intimating is some-

thing like the Gallup Poll. It would be very helpful to everyone involved, I am sure, to know exactly what the student body is thinking. Bare numbers are sometimes very revealing. I am certain that it is not likely that many students have the slightest idea HOW MANY think the honor system is good, or HOW MANY think the curriculum needs changes, etc. (I merely use those as examples to illustrate my point.) It might be well worth a try, and I think there also might be some rather startling results.

Sincerely,

Gerald Graham

Editor's Note: The Daily Tar Heel is now planning a "professor popularity poll." It will probably be conducted next week.

Democracy and Labor

Dear Editor,

All the world is moving forward!

All but the Phi Assembly, that is!

Durham Herald article of April 29 reads as follows:

"United States Will Back Labor Unions In Germany and Japan. Major General John Hildring, Assistant Secretary of State, said today that promotion of labor unions will be a basic part of United States occupation policy in both Germany and Japan as the best means for teaching democracy."

Oh, yes, democracy is good enough for the Germans and the Japanese, but don't dare suggest it for Americans—that is, to the 18 members and visitors of the Phi Assembly who recently resolved that labor unions were a detriment to the nation and to the South.

Sincerely yours,

Martin A. Watkins

Hassell Hits Koral

To the Editor:

I take exception to that portion of the editorial by Dick Koral appearing in DTH issue of 30 April entitled "Majority Problems — Minority Participation," quoted below. It is too bad that an otherwise commendable editorial should be ruined by such illconsidered and illogical argument.

The statement that a person who does not participate in "extra-curricular activities" will graduate a bore, unfit to participate during his life with the intellectuals of the country, condemned to the stultifying company of the poker players, . . . the respectable drunkards, is so absurd any comment would give it more dignity than it deserves. This statement defeated the entire purpose of the article and beclouded the issue.

Frank S. Hassell, Jr.

Dementia Domain

Edited by Ray Conner

Women's faults are many;
Men have only two—
Everything they say
And everything they do.
(Ed. note: That's so true!)

Mother: "What took you so long to say good-night to that fellow?"

Daughter: "But, Mother, if a boy takes you to a movie, the least you can do is to kiss him good-night."

Mother: "But I thought you went to El Morocco."

Daughter: "Yes, Mother."



Hell, we lost the outline.

Squire Perkins: "Nell, after I die, I wish you would marry Deacon Brown."
Nell: "Why so, Hiram?"
Squire: "Well, the Deacon trimmed me on a horse deal once."
Teacher: "Johnny, how would you punctuate the sentence—'Mary went in swimming and lost her bathing suit?'"
Johnny: "I'd make a dash after Mary."
—The Rebel