

The Daily Tar Heel

on the Carolina
FRONT
by Chuck Hauser

The official student newspaper of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where it is published by the Publications Board daily during the regular sessions of the University at Colonial Press, Inc., except Sat., Sun., Monday, examinations and vacation periods and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8 per year, \$3 per quarter. Member of the Associated Press, which is exclusively entitled to the use for republication of all news and features herein. Opinions expressed by columnists are not necessarily those of this newspaper.

Editor: ROY PARKER, JR.
Managing Editor: CHUCK HAUSER
Associate Editor: DON MAYNARD
Executive News Editor: ROLFE NEILL
Sports Editor: ZANE ROBBINS
Business Manager: ED WILLIAMS

Advertising Manager: Neil Cadlee, Ad. Mgr.
Advertising Manager: Oliver Watkins, Office Mgr.
Advertising Manager: Shasta Bryant, Circ. Mgr.
Advertising Manager: Tom McCall, Subs. Mgr.

News staff: Walt Dear, Harvie Ritch, Fletcher Hollingsworth, Joan Palmer, Pat Morse, Peggy Keith, Peggy Anderson.
Sports staff: Bill Peacock, Biff Roberts, Art Greenbaum, Ken Barton, Leo Northart, Ed Starnes, Bill Hughes, Jack Claiborne, Angelo Veselicanno.
Society staff: Franny Sweat, Lu Overton, Lou Daniel, Tink Gobbel, Helen Boone.

Business staff: Marie Costello, Marie Withers, Hubert Breeze, Bruce Marger, Bill Faulkner, Joyce Evans, Beverly Serr, Jim Schenck, Jane Mayre, Jane Goodman, Betty Lou Jones, Stanley Sturm, Wally Horton.
For This Issue Night Editor, Rolfe Neill

Vote Today!

Vote today, dammit, or you will deserve what you get.

On Bull And Elections

When the political winds began to blow, The Daily Tar Heel promised to "make known those things and candidates which it considers best for the welfare of the student body." That is what it will now attempt to do.

First, it will back only two candidates in this column. They are Larry Botto, seeking to return to the Student Council where he served as a distinguished and useful chairman this year, and Bunny Davis, unopposed for the vice-presidency of the student body. Both are men whose services and good thinking are needed by student government. They are head and shoulders above any other candidate for any other office, and it is our extreme regret that Botto is not running for the presidency of the student body.

Secondly, it will point out that, of the present candidates for president, only one is not a product of necessity or of purely political shenanigans. As everyone knows, Ben James is the most experienced of the candidates, Henry Bowers is the most politically moral, and Dick Penagar is the most inexperienced. But there is a decision for every voter to decide for himself, not one for The Daily Tar Heel.

Only one thing to say about the race for the editorship of this paper. If the candidates and/or their backers behave after they are elected as they have been behaving during the campaign, then The Daily Tar Heel and, more important, the student body, will have been dealt a cruel blow. There has been a record of petty bickering, false promising, and outright untruth. It has seemed to become a political fashion to curse and revile The Daily Tar Heel during campaigns. That was the main feature of the election last spring. It has been the principle tactic of some of the present editorial candidates.

Most of the screaming comes from candidates who claim the paper plays favorites in its news columns. And during the past couple of years, that criticism has been aimed at Chuck Hauser, who seems to be the favorite scapegoat of certain groups.

The editor of this paper claims here and now that this season's news coverage of politicians has been, if anything, too fair. Of all the "campaign statements" brought up by candidates, not one has merited the space it got. Most of it is pure bull, and the candidates and their backers know it to be.

Now as for the candidates for editor of this paper. Firstly, Don Maynard is the most experienced man in the race and he is running on the record of that experience. Walt Dear, as has been truthfully said, is not experienced enough to take over such a job and those who back him know that to be the truth. They know that he is a last-ditch candidate selected after the writer of this editorial was declared ineligible. Frank Allston is, next to Maynard, the most experienced, but could easily be swayed by certain elements that are not for the best interests of the paper. Glenn Harden is perhaps the most intelligent of the candidates.

As for the campaigns of the editorial candidates, they have indicated outright stupidity and they have expected the student body to accept that stupidity. They have made claims the fulfillment of which is out of their hands. They have bickered and scapegoated without a truthful leg to stand on. In other words, they have shown a shocking lack of that small amount of maturity which is necessary in the person whose writings are very nearly taken as the word of the students of the University. Theirs is to be a job that requires keen understanding and a modicum of level-headed, fair thinking. They and their backers have shown no such maturity.

One other aspect of this campaign deserves the attention of the student body. That is the fact that the University Party has finally put its predominately pro-fraternity feeling in its platform. And although the statement itself is badly worded, it is at least there. The Daily Tar Heel is sorry in one way that the UP did put such a thing in its platform. It means that the party rejects all but fraternity support, and the combined vote of the fraternity men is not enough to win a campus-wide election against a militant political organization. That means that the Student Party, as long as it is filled with willing workers, will be able to win campus-wide elections. And the Student Party this year has shown a lack of concern for anything but winning the election. It has nominated inept candidates for top jobs and blandly campaigned for them. Some of its members have used untruth, and unethical political tactics. Such is not good when it is done by those whose party seems to have won a majority of the campus-wide vote by default.

These are things that the campus should consider when it goes to the polls today. The Daily Tar Heel hopes that today will be a turning point in UNC student government, a day on which the student body finally exerts its voting power for the right. It is high time.

Only 823 votes were cast by a total of 1128 students... Ed note: And that's just a lousy 73 per cent.

From The Editor's Mailbox

Abandon SEC

Editor:
May I suggest that the SEC be abandoned and the scheduled performances called off for this year until our student body can behave in a manner befitting ladies and gentlemen.

The loss of prestige that the University suffered at the performance of Oscar Levant is irremediable. I know that the small minority of evidently ill bred and uncouth students here is small. But does the world know it? We were honored that Mr. Levant consented to play at all after the uncalled for remarks and hissing.

Perhaps a compulsory course in "audience manners" should be taught. It is needed. Perhaps if coats and ties were required and the no smoking rule rigidly enforced the atmosphere would be more conducive to good manners. Something must be done before the same disgrace is repeated again.

Tom McMillan, Jr.

'Black Sheep'

Editor:
Every family, every group has them. Carolina is by no means an exception. They show their traits in public, where they should have enough self respect to cover them. Consider, for instance, Oscar Levant's performance last Tuesday night. Everyone is anticipating a great performance, and indeed it was a great one. But one or two of our "Black Sheep" Carolina gentlemen turned Mr. Levant against the whole audience by letting a cat on stage. Then, when Mr. Levant became angered, a voice came from the audience, "Why don't you come down off your high horse?"

Why doesn't the guy who said that realize that whatever hisor

Mr. Levant is riding on, he created it through years of hard work and should have the privilege of riding it? Doubtless it will be a long time before Oscar Levant appears under the sponsorship of the SEC again. Why must it always be the few who create a bad name for the many? The antagonist, in my opinion, deserves a good swift kick in the seat of his pants for doing such a thing.

Herb Pendergraft

Beats Grahamism

Editor:
I would like to synthesize part of the logic of the article by Ed McLeod (April 5) and to comment on it.

1. The staff of the YMCA was opposed to the sponsorship of Billy Graham.

2. I (McLeod) went along with the non-sponsorship but now see the light.

3. The staff of the YMCA is not Christian but is humanistic.

The emphasis of the staff of the YMCA is not "conversion" in the usual sense. Many people believe that a professional Christian should be an "inspired" person overflowing the grace of God on everyone and convincing them that they should spend more of their time worshipping Jesus Christ.

Overawing and exhorting a person to accept the "right" creeds can avail a person little if the person cannot apply the creeds to his life. The approach of helping students to think through the deeper problems of life, of thinking of all problems in relation to one's central beliefs, and of applying one's Christianity in concrete situations is the approach of the staff of the YMCA. It is democratic and effective. It beats Billy Grahamism any day.

Allan Milledge
Vice-President of the YMCA

Not Guilty

by Barry Farber

Dear Women of America, I love you. Just the same I think you ought to be spanked and sent to bed without supper because you're the most conceited, pampered, spoiled, yet beautiful women in the world.

Mother Nature and the 19th Amendment have given you so much power you've managed to secure a strangle-hold on the American male. Science has given you oily grease for dry hair, dry grease for oily hair, and hourglass gowns for beerglass figures. The billion-dollar cosmetic industry keeps you constantly bathed in a radiant aura of incandescent glamour. Armed with everything from falsies to chlorophyll you brazenly trap young men into a life of martial blitz with odds at three to one the ship of matrimony will run aground on the sands of Reno. Why? You're the best fed, the best clothed, the wealthiest, and the luckiest

women on earth but you're no match for your European sisters when it comes to the ancient art of making a home more than just a refueling depot.

You may think you've got it pretty rough getting up at the crack of noon and sweating over a hot bridge table all day. Let me introduce you to Ingrid, a typical Norwegian coed, blond hair, blue eyes, and so tall she's snowcapped. Rouge and lipstick aren't exactly included in the Marshall Plan and the only nylon she's ever seen was in the ripcord of war-surplus parachutes. Ingrid works 14 hours a day and for vacations she stacks sandbags along the Russian border. Never let anybody tell you our boys go for Scandinavian women because they're "easy pickups." Before your American girls had broken your first fingernails, Ingrid had killed three over-anxious German officers with the business end of a Nor-

wegian pitchfork.

Ingrid's lack of poise and "social graces" would cause her to be frowned upon in sorority circles. Yet she had a knack of looking me squarely in the eye whenever I spoke and she gave me her undivided attention as if she actually understood what I was saying. When I finally broke down and bought her a dried herring head to chew on, her eyes sparkled like the midnight sun and she couldn't have been any happier had I given her a diamond necklace the size of a ham hock. Her warm smile radiates a spirit which hypocrisy and the dollar bill have all but swept from our North American Continent. When Ingrid gets married she'll make a bleak, windswept fjord seem like the Garden of Eden.

So, girls, that's why the frauleins and mademoiselles are giving you so much competition. They give while you take, they laugh while you blush, and they cooperate while you compete. I state this strictly as an impartial observer because I've never exactly "made out" on either continent. I guess the outstanding difference between me and Gregory Peck is that Peck has a short nose and long wavy hair while I have short hair and along wavy nose. You might say I've got a winning smile but a losing face and, to be perfectly frank, the average female finds me about as charming as a gila monster. I don't have an inferiority complex. I'm just inferior.

You may think Farber's just bitter toward American women because he's romantically frustrated. You're absolutely right. You boys with steady girl friends will doubtless join them in denouncing this sneak attack upon our nation's beloved femininity. That's why the battle between the sexes will never be won. There's too much fraternization with the enemy.

So I'll close with a word of advice to all unattached young men. Boys, make love to every woman you meet. I don't care if she's American, Dutch, Indonesian, or Manganese. Remember that! Make love to every woman you meet. If you get a return of only five percent on your outlay it's a good investment.

Presidential Memo

by John Sanders

Gordon Gray has proven that he has the three most essential ingredients of real leadership—courage, conviction, and honesty. No one who sat through the turbulent meeting of the Board of Trustees last week can doubt that fact.

The question before the Board was the admission of Negroes to graduate and professional schools where none are provided them by the state, a policy which had been recommended by the Executive Committee of the Board. When Gray rose in support of the resolution, debate had raged for nearly an hour. Tempers were high.

Impelled by unreasoning fear and hatred, two or three members of the Board had virtually accused Gray of pressing the issue at the behest of sinister outside influences. John Clark made virulent attacks on the non-segregation policy of the Army, instituted under Gray as Secretary. He raised the bogey of Northern interference by accusing David K. Niles, former White House assistant, of directing a staff of 85 lawyers in a concentrated onslaught on the segregation barriers of Southern universities. Clark even charged that Gray was a diabolical design to railroad the Negro admissions proposal through the Executive Committee and the Board.

Gray's face was literally purple with anger when he began to speak. Though his delivery was as always calm and deliberate, he could not entirely suppress the indignation and outrage which he justly felt. His words were forceful and their aim was true. Refuting with vigor the implications made by Clark and others, Gray showed himself to be the pawn of no man or group, but rather his own master, and answerable only to his conscience.

The alternatives before the body Gray stated with logic and clarity. His support of the Executive Committee's proposal he asserted without equivocation and without compromise. While he accepted full responsibility for all things properly within his province, the President demanded that the trustees take action on this issue, as it clearly could only be decided by the Board. A decision was made imperative, he pointed out, by the fact that the University attorneys must by today answer in federal District Court the charge of exclusion of Negroes from the Medical School on grounds of racial discrimination.

"I will not go before a federal court and say, 'I don't know what University policy is on admitting Negroes,'" he stated bluntly, "nor will I be held in contempt of court for not answering."

Going further, Gray warned that if the resolution were passed, the Administration would carry it out in absolute good faith. Neither he nor any other official of the University would "cover up" for the Board, he asserted.

The chief support for Gray and the resolution came from Major L. P. McLendon, Greensboro attorney, who delivered a half-hour speech of great power and eloquence. After almost three hours of vigorous debate, the issue was resolved by a 61-13 vote for the admission. Until the actual roll call was underway and the trend apparent, the measure appeared quite likely to fail.

The outcome was largely a tribute to Gray. He could have sat on the sidelines and left the fight to others. But he did not do so, and it was primarily because he took an affirmative stand, even at the cost of popularity in some quarters, that the result was as it was.

It is a good thing that this test came for Mr. Gray at this early stage in his career here. No question can now be left in the minds of the students, the faculty, the trustees, or the people of North Carolina that he is a man of conviction and an executive of courage. He has amply proved himself in that regard.

The effect of Gray's stand will reach far beyond the issue decided on last Wednesday. We now have unmistakable evidence that there is a strong hand on the tiller of the University, holding determinedly to a fixed course of progress. I trust that he will never lack for the full support of which he has shown himself to be worthy.

Mailbox Annex

Reply To Mr. Pace

Editor:
I wish to reply to a letter by Mr. Pace, printed in The Daily Tar Heel under the title, "On a Petition." In his letter Mr. Pace states the following:
"If everyone would just sign a petition for peace, then all the problems of the world would disappear. At least, that's what Bill Robertson tells us."

A diligent search by the most careful reader will fail to uncover the fact that Bill Robertson told anybody any such thing. On the contrary, my letter implied that problems will remain after hundreds of millions of people have signed the petition for a FIVE POWER PACT OF PEACE. What I did indicate was that such a concrete expression

of their will for peace by hundreds of millions of little people, including Bob Pace and Bill Robertson, will create a more favorable atmosphere for the working out of these problems IN A PEACEFUL WAY.

It is clear from the contents of his letter that Mr. Pace maintains a faith in the goodness and intelligence of man. There is a difference between us as to how this goodness and intelligence can be fully realized. However, I am confident that this difference does not preclude the possibility of our participating together in the urgently necessary struggle to achieve lasting peace throughout the world.

Bill Robertson

Crossword Puzzle

- ACROSS
1. Also
4. Type of lettuce
7. The theatrical profession
12. Deliberate indignity
14. Go by automobile
15. Provided
16. Relieve
17. Positive
18. Novel
19. Abound
21. Type measures
22. Stumble
23. Since
24. Always poetic
25. Cluster of wool fibers
26. Strike with the open hand
33. Symbol for neon
34. One who asks
37. Flat parts of stairs
39. Adept
40. Pique
41. Snow hatchet
42. Insect's ear
43. Succulent plant
47. Bass born
50. Teamster's command
52. Jump
54. Sailor
55. Exchange
57. Set of three
60. This
61. Support for a wire
63. Make correction in
64. Affirmative
65. High card
66. DOW N
67. Corrupt
68. Volunteer

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66

Solution of Yesterday's Puzzle

- 1. About
- 2. Garment
- 3. Attack
- 4. Piece of good fortune
- 5. Little Scotch
- 6. Harsh
- 7. Make amends
- 8. Unchecked
- 9. Force of good
- 10. Before
- 11. Adept
- 12. Adept
- 13. Adept
- 14. Adept
- 15. Adept
- 16. Adept
- 17. Adept
- 18. Adept
- 19. Adept
- 20. Adept
- 21. Adept
- 22. Adept
- 23. Adept
- 24. Adept
- 25. Adept
- 26. Adept
- 27. Adept
- 28. Adept
- 29. Adept
- 30. Adept
- 31. Adept
- 32. Adept
- 33. Adept
- 34. Adept
- 35. Adept
- 36. Adept
- 37. Adept
- 38. Adept
- 39. Adept
- 40. Adept
- 41. Adept
- 42. Adept
- 43. Adept
- 44. Adept
- 45. Adept
- 46. Adept
- 47. Adept
- 48. Adept
- 49. Adept
- 50. Adept
- 51. Adept
- 52. Adept
- 53. Adept
- 54. Adept
- 55. Adept
- 56. Adept
- 57. Adept
- 58. Adept
- 59. Adept
- 60. Adept
- 61. Adept
- 62. Adept
- 63. Adept
- 64. Adept
- 65. Adept
- 66. Adept

On Campus

From the Albion Pleiad, Albion College, Michigan:
"The results of the voting conducted in chapel this week concerning the Student Council constitution amendment indicate either an unfortunate lack of interest among student voters or a fallacy in the method of balloting."
"Only 823 votes were cast by a total of 1128 students..."
Ed note: And that's just a lousy 73 per cent.