

# To New Chancellor Aycok: Faith Is Mighty Important

The University community and the student body should be proud to have such a young, energetic and respected chancellor as William Aycok.

While our choice was someone else, we can see in Aycok the excellent qualities that made him so acceptable to the people who chose the new chancellor—he is young, well-liked, determined and should be a good interpreter of the University to the people who love and support it.

Unfortunately, when William Aycok takes over the job of chancellor next fall he will inherit far more than the portrait-lined office on the first floor of South Building. He will get a handful of worries. We suppose he already is quite familiar with most of those worries, such as the need for higher instructors' pay and the continued freedom of the mind, and he is qualified for tangling with the obstacles that rise up in front of those eternal needs.

But there are some other problems that need attention and that always do not receive complete consideration in South Building. They are largely students' problems, and the students need the new chancellor's trust and assistance before those problems can be solved. We will list a few of them:



THE NEW CHANCELLOR  
...handful of worries

and the Woman's College—brother and sister members of the so-called "Consolidated" University—in recent years. We deserve the same treatment here.

1. The need for housing. Here is something that can easily be overlooked when one is fighting in the State General Assembly for millions of dollars in appropriations. But it is a continuing problem (Gordon Gray listed it year after year in his President's Reports) and one that will not get better after a while.

Someone, obviously the chancellor, must convince the state's money-handlers that enrollment here probably won't taper off. Someone must tell the legislators that married students are not a rarity or a passing fancy. Someone must engrave on the state's mind the fact that 21.1 percent of the students at the University here are married students, and that many students do not come to this University because they cannot find adequate housing for themselves and their families.

The new chancellor must be ready to argue with private interests who oppose state housing projects, and he must not bend under the pressure that is bound to come.

2. New student union. As indicated in the report of the Visiting Committee of the Board of Trustees, proponents of a new student union building are not likely to get their wish anytime soon. Now the Graham Memorial building holds a very small percentage of the students who pay student union fees.

While some people may consider a student union building a luxury, they should remember that massive new buildings have been erected at both N. C. State College

3. Out-of-state tuition. A year or so ago, the legislative policy-makers of the University decided they needed more money to run the institution, so they raised out-of-state students' tuition by \$350.

This has resulted in a lessening of student quality and can cause a trend toward isolationism in the student body. This week the Visiting Committee of the Board of Trustees recognized the mistake to some extent; it recommended that tuition for out-of-state graduate students be restored to the normal North Carolina rate.

The reason: The Visiting Committee felt "a rich source of prospective teachers, scholars and researchers is being lost to the University and the state."

By the same token, a rich source of student leaders and the healthy influence of people from other parts of the country is being lost to the part of the student body which comes from North Carolina.

4. Athletics. This would be the most difficult request to answer, for in probably no other field at the University is there such a powerful influence to maintain the status quo. But the University, through the guidance of the new chancellor, should not be content to satisfy the rules of the various athletic conferences to which it belongs.

Although in many ways the University is even more strict than the conferences, its goal should be set even higher. There should be no possible way for the University to get involved in the type of scandal that N. C. State College is still wallowing in right now; there should be no chance of another "Vince Olen" case or any other hints of wrongdoing such as those which have come to the surface in recent years.

5. The most important, and most critical, problem now existing here for the students is student freedom. For student freedom was born because certain South Building officials felt it should be there.

As long as there are men in South Building who believe in student freedom, that freedom shall exist—if the students themselves exercise their freedom with a great deal of responsibility.

In the past there have been cases of the students' neglecting their responsibility, but in almost every instance the people in South Building have reached deep down into their souls and come up with a faith in the students that the responsibility will return. It has returned, practically every time.

So we ask the new chancellor to have faith in the student body, to stick by the students' freedom the way he will stick by his, and to never forget that, while there are often cases of irresponsibility, there are invariably those students who learn responsibility, who will exercise it, who will teach others the passionate need for a hyphen between two ideal-words, freedom and responsibility.

That faith from South Building to the campus is what we need most. We could ask nothing more important of Chancellor Aycok.

# 'Student Workers At Lenoir Hall' Want Fair Break From Management

Editor:

We were glad to see that The Daily Tar Heel editorial staff has taken interest in the wage situation of self-help students (Feb. 19), but we would like to clarify with the editor matters concerning the bill before the legislature that a committee be appointed to investigate the situation of existing conditions for Lenoir Hall workers.

You stated the fact that we are paid in food. As you can see, a raise in salary would only mean more food daily. The workers do not want this. They want to be paid cash, and would be satisfied with the \$.75 right now if they could get cash.

The administrators feel that they have stuck their heads out now with the \$.75 wage. This is more than most college campuses pay and little could be done to accomplish a change in this policy.

But, something could be done about the way students are paid at Lenoir Hall. Lenoir workers can only receive their pay in food. Yes, food... if they wish to save up money to pay other expenses, they can't, and it is impossible to meet bills with credit in food at Lenoir Hall.

Even if this were accepted, it couldn't be done, for Lenoir Hall officials say that if the workers don't use their daily wage for food on the day that they earn it, that they can't have it the next day or ever. They cannot carry food from Lenoir Hall.

The only way they can feel that they got their money's worth at the end of the day, if they have filled their bodies in food capacity and they have food credit left, is to buy food, and, because they can't eat it, leave it on the table. This is a very childish but natural thing to do. If there is another job on campus that pays like this, we would like to hear about it—no, we wouldn't, for two wrongs do not make a right.

A petition by student workers for a change in this policy was unsuccessful. The management had logical facts (which might be questionable) concerning the unworkable nature of a change. It was said that Lenoir would have to raise prices if the workers were paid in cash. The reason would be that they aren't making any profit and they barely have enough money to operate on.

Notice the new china dishes they just bought, yes bought. We're sure that no one gave them to Lenoir Hall. Doesn't it seem a bit strange that an establishment operating on a narrow margin ups and changes dishes just like that? They claim state officials made them do this for sanitary reasons.

Well, doesn't something require that young adults 18 years old and up receive cash wages for working part time? If not, it is time something was done about the situation at Lenoir Hall.

We hope the bill passes and that the committee can come across new discoveries that the student workers did not find. All

level of other student workers on campus. This is the best that any Lenoir Hall worker could hope for—to be paid in cash and budget his own money. We are sure that his budget would not look like this: income daily, \$1.90; expenditures daily, food, \$1.90.

Thanks to Whit Whitfield and the Student Party for the bill, we want to be paid in cash, and then we would be on the

and to The Daily Tar Heel for supporting it. We trust we have your future support as the findings of a committee may progress.

The workers, and we are sure, the Lenoir Hall management would like to hear the views of other students and student workers on campus. According to the management we have only to find a way that they can pay us in cash. They would like to

but they say that they really can't afford to. Let's see if we can find something to help the management to fulfill this desire.

I know that they would be happy, and the workers are all for it. Fellow students, write your views to the paper and let us hear from you. We are sure that you would like to help the workers at Lenoir Hall get a break. Student Workers at Lenoir Hall



THE CHARLOTTE NEWS:

# Esthetic Battle Is Raging

The most refreshing thing about the aesthetic free-for-all raging in Chapel Hill over the architecture of the new art building is that it is indeed a free-for-all—fought with enormous conviction and elaborate zeal.

Too often, when universities and artists become solvent they lose their old cussedness and vitality and become merely citadels of civility. This will never do. It stifles expression and free expression is what makes great art, whether it be in architecture or pot-making.

We reject Jacques Barzun's theory—that art exists solely out of the impartial benevolence of the world's assorted Babbitts. "Has it ever occurred to you," he says, "that the very things

you're interested in—art, science, new ideas of any kind—would never have been widely distributed had it not been for the tradesmen's interest in the commodity and lack of interest in what it's about? Leave it to the philosophers and artists, and each fanatical sect will suppress all the rest on the grounds of principle. Your trader is your only impartial man."

Impartiality can't save bad art nor can it do much to help good art. A nice soul-satisfying rum-pus can at least flush some of the badness out into the open where it can be recognized and condemned.

Conflict cannot hurt architecture, either. Most of the world's great architects — Sulli-

van, Wright, Le Corbusier, van der Rohe—thrived on it.

The only touch of irony in the Chapel Hill rhubarb is the fact that it is being conducted amid probably the greatest collection of architectural monstrosities this side of the Chicago World's Fair of 1893.

No amount of ex post facto lamentation can standardize a campus which is already an amiable hodge-podge of many architectural modes. But there is virtue in almost any attempt to avoid further clashing of style. If the new art building must be Georgian let it be good Georgian. But, let's at least try to have its design correspond somewhat to the real needs of the people and paraphernalia that will occupy it. Anything less is dishonest.

# The Chancellor: Here's His Job

William Aycok, the new chancellor of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, will have a big job. Here is the University's definition of Aycok's job:

## GENERAL RELATIONSHIPS AND DUTIES OF THE CHANCELLORS

The chancellor of each of the several institutions shall be responsible to the president for the administration of the several schools, divisions and departments comprising his institution, and shall make an annual report (and such other reports as shall be called for) on the state of the institution under his control. He shall be the advisor to the president for his institution in matters of inter-institutional administration.

He shall present to the president all administrative, fiscal and other matters of his institution to be considered by the board or its executive committee at any of their meetings or by committees of the board.

He shall recommend to the president all appointments for terms of more than one year, promotions within, and removals from the faculty and other positions, administrative or otherwise, in the institutions, and all increments in salaries and leaves of absence for members of the academic, administrative or other staffs.

He shall be responsible to the president for enforcing the decisions, actions, policies and regulations of the board and of the faculties for the operation of his institution.

He shall be the official medium of communication between all deans, heads or chairmen of departments, directors, and all other administrative officers, faculty members, students and employees.

The chancellor shall be a member of all faculties and other academic bodies of the institution over which he presides and shall have the right to preside over the deliberations of the legislative body of the faculties of his institution. All projects, programs, and institutional reports which form any part of the activity of his institution are subject to his approval.

When not otherwise specifically defined by board action, and subject to review by the president, he shall have the right to define the scope of authority of faculties, councils, committees and officers of his institution. Subject to review by the president, he may take the initiative in all matters of student discipline and in the regulation of student organizations and institutions whose actions may affect the welfare or policy of his institution.

The chancellor with his faculty shall be charged with the responsibility of maintaining the educational policies of his institution, subject to the endorsement of the president and the final approval of the board.

The chancellor shall assist the president in his relationships with and presentations to the Board of Trustees, the General Assembly, the Advisory Budget Commission, Budget Bureau officials and the public.

# Non-Bureaucrats Have Done Good Job

Stan Shaw

The other day we said that Graham Memorial was the official residence of the Junior Bureaucrats Club. Since then we have had reason to change our mind. We have recently been engaged in a little busy work. (A term which we learned at the NSA Congress last summer.)

While partaking of this busy work we have found out that the Official Student Constitution of the University of North Carolina contains exactly 6,944 words. If you haven't understood the term busy work this example should clear up the difficulty.

Then we learned that the Student Legislature has mandated the president of the student body to make a complete overhaul of this constitution. In the overhaul the constitution will be simplified and cut down to a document containing principles and drawing up the framework of student government.

This is clearly a piece of non-bureaucratic work. A real bureaucrat would never simplify anything. If a change were to be made he would add, delimit, expound and generally confuse the document. This would be done, ostensibly, for the same of exactness.

It would spell out duties so that everyone could see exactly what was to be done. In the process it would also stifle initiative, but this is a low price to pay—in the mind of the bureaucrat.

We shall not say that we know that a good job will be done, or that conditions will be improved, by any changes brought about. It is possible to simplify too much, and in a sense lose part of the continuity that is necessary for any government, but it appears to be a step in a direction more closely allied to what could be called right.

In any event it cannot be called bureaucracy. And so with this in mind we shall be happy to say that not all student politicians are bureaucrats. We hope that the campus can see more of this kind of work in the future months.

## YOU Said It:

# Arise, Panty Raiders!

The eager young "femme fatale" who has written of her desire for us chaotic UNC males to organize must be very anxious for the success of the next panty pillage.

What we troopers need, as she has so well stated, is a Napoleon Lower Quad. Rise leader, the campus awaits you, Corsicair Colosus.

Soldiers of Carolina, march, you have nothing to lose but your past regrets! Ecrazee les femmes!

Julian L. Sessoms

# The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Monday and examination and vacation periods and summer terms. Entered as second class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the Act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: mailed, \$4 per year, \$2.50 a semester; delivered, \$6 a year, \$3.50 a semester.

Editor — FRED POWLEDGE

Managing Editor — CHARLIE SLOAN

News Editor — NANCY HILL

Sports Editor — LARRY CHEEK

Business Manager — BILL BOB PEEL

Advertising Manager — FRED KATZIN

EDITORIAL STAFF — Woody Sears, Frank Crother, David Mundy.

NEWS STAFF—Clarke Jones, Pringle Pipkin, Edith MacKinnon, Wally Kuralt, Mary Alys Voorhees, Graham Snyder, Neil Bas, Peg Humphrey, Phyllis Maulsby, Ben Taylor, Walter Schruttek, H-Joost Polak, Patsy Miller.

BUSINESS STAFF—Rosa Moore, Johnny Whitaker, Dick Leavitt.

SPORTS STAFF: Dave Wible, Stewart Bird, Ron Milligan.

Subscription Manager — Dale Staley

Circulation Manager — Charlie Holt

Assistant Sports Editor — Bill King

Staff Photographer — Norman Kantor

Librarian — Sue Gishner

Night Editor — Graham Snyder

Night News Editor — Ben Taylor

## L'il Abner



## Pogo



## By A! Capp



## By Walt Kelly



## By A! Capp

