

Adlai's Trip Can Flip.. Phooey, We'll Take Dewey

Unsuccessful presidential aspirant Adlai Stevenson lost the election but "gained a grandson."

On the University campus, he has now lost some of his most loyal supporters.

Why? Simple. The Carolina Forum, speaker procuring agency, has diligently attempted for many, many disgusting moons to procure the fluent oratorical services of Aspiring Adlai—but to no avail.

Now still Aspiring Adlai has decided to visit the campus to speak, supposedly to assist in the efforts of the N. C. Citizens' Committee for Better Schools. We submit it's for the benefit and forwarding of Oratorical Adlai's third shot at the presidency—a Southern feather in his political cap.

Did Esoteric Adlai notify frustrated Carolina Forumers he'd be knocking around for a few days. Nope, to be blunt, he did not.

And furthermore, former "I Idolize Adlai" men won't even get a chance to listen to the gentleman from Illinois due to a scheduled

speaking engagement while classes are in session Saturday morning. On behalf of the former Adlai Idolizers, we submit this bit of typewriter tomfoolery:

We liked Adlai's esoteric oratory.

Even when condemned to presidential mortuary.

But now his campus trip for reasons political.

Has left us in a fog of proportions so elliptical.

Our faith in him is shook.

We feel like we've been took. So to him we say big "phooey." Over him we'll even take Tom Dewey.

Still Aspiring Adlai may gain political support by his obviously political trip to the University.

But he'd better appreciate that grandson, for his friends among frustrated Carolina Forumers and interested students who'd like to hear him speak are numbered.

His trip can go flip.

WISE AND OTHERWISE: Rogue's Gallery Of The Ingrate Americana...

By Whit Whitfield

Only once in a generation do truly great men like John Kasper and Governor Orval Faubus arise in American history. How fortunate can one country be?

To start our comments, let us take John Kasper, self-styled Yankee rabble-rouser, and giant among men. He is destined to go down in history as one of the truly great men of all time. There can be no doubt about this. Words do not come well enough to describe this staunch defender of "White Supremacy" and demagogue of a thousand southern idiots who follow him. (And there must be at least a thousand people in the South who are that stupid, although Kasper and I both wonder if there are really that many).

Just take a look at what he is doing for the South. Not since the days of the Civil War has the South received so much publicity, both nationally and internationally. This is great for southern business. His tireless efforts in forming White Citizens Councils and in bringing back the spirit of the Ku Klux Klan are a source of wonder. Where does a man get such strength? He is truly without peer in his realm of endeavor.

Word has it that he is being considered for a Nobel Prize, but we are inclined to believe that a new category would have to be added to include his kind.

Now let us praise another great—Governor Orval Faubus of Arkansas. Few men have risen from the oblivion of the executive mansion of the State of Arkansas to national prominence so rapidly and with so much publicity. As a matter of fact, no one has.

His grandstand play was no doubt used to garner him some voters in the next gubernatorial race. Little did he know that he would almost have to get his state to secede from the union and declare war on the United States to get out of this pinch. Now, what will he do without his troops? Capital publicity stunt I would say, and darn good politics too. He did manage to save the True South a bit of trouble however. Now that he has lost out, our sister southern states will be very hesitant about seceding again. He has probably helped us avert another Civil War.

That he has made the South look more backward than ever is only too evident. That he has given Soviet Russia a wealth of propaganda to use against us is also too evident. We do hope that he wins back the voters which he previously alienated, for it would be ridiculous for him to lose the next election after getting in all of this mess, just to protect the people of his state from "violence." And now the brave fool is fighting for the rights of the governor of a sovereign state and states rights, neither of which have existed since 1865. What a brave man he is! How can we help but admire him?

Much more could be said about these two gentlemen, but space will hardly permit. For that matter, neither will the laws of propriety or the courts.

J-School's Material: How About Bottles?

We would like to suggest that the School of Journalism furnish a bottle of fresh milk, appropriately nipped, to each student taking journalism courses.

And we suggest that in lieu of titles like dean, associate professor, et cetera, titles like Associate Diaper Dispenser, Supreme Safety Pin Procurer and so forth be substituted.

The reason is, of course, the materialistic attitude which the school has taken regarding class attendance regulations.

We hailed the institution of a new cut system last year which allowed each school, department and instructor to regulate attendance according to individual discretion.

We felt at this time that student with acceptable averages

would be allowed cuts up to 25 per cent.

But Journalism School officials and certain other instructors apparently still feel they must play the old school marm and rap mature college students' knuckles unless they attend every class meeting except three.

We feel the school is being unjustly dictatorial and maternalistic.

Along this line, we reprint an editorial from the Furman Hornet:

The new regulation allowing unlimited cuts to those persons attaining an acceptable average on all their work at Furman prior to their junior year is perhaps one of the more progressive steps taken by the University in some time.

To some members of the administration, it is a stepping stone to relaxing an undesired paternal hold over the student body. For years students have cried longingly for more responsibility. This is the most advanced movement in that direction yet.

Responsibility is a term often misused. To some it means work, hard work, making of decisions, and lack of security. To others it means freedom from restraint, a challenge, a chance to use imagination. Whatever it means, the new system is good—good in the respect that it means responsibility, the function of a student to do what is best for him.

To have the ability to recognize and accept responsibility is a trait unfamiliar to many college students. Many abuse such freedom... others enjoy it.

Although the new regulation will affect only a small portion of the student body, it is hoped that those affected will not abuse the privilege, and thus allow a more relaxed system in the future.

The addition of responsibility to the Furman curriculum is welcomed.

Vets Spared, Many 'Fanks

It has been clarified that veterans with valid excuses are not required to take physical education.

We have long felt that mature men returning to the campus from military service—many with familial responsibilities—should be spared the ignominious chore of physical education.

Now, through the combined efforts of the Physical Education Department and the General College, veterans may be spared this chore.

Thus they should take full advantage of the opportunity.

The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Sunday, Monday and examination and vacation periods and summer terms. Entered as second class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the Act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: mailed, \$4 per year, \$2.50 a semester; delivered, \$6 a year, \$3.50 a semester.

Editor NEIL BASS
Coed Editor ALYS VOORHEES
Asst. News Editor PATSY MILLER
Sports Editor BILL KING
Business Mgr. JOHN WHITAKER
Advertising Manager FRED KATZIN

NEWS STAFF—Edith MacKinnon, Patsy Miller, Sue Atchison, Mary Moore Mason.

BUSINESS STAFF—Walker Blanton, Lewis Rush.

EDITORIAL STAFF—Whit Whitfield.

SPORTS STAFF—Dave Wible, Jim Crownover.

Circulation Manager SID SHUFORD

Staff Photographer BILL KING

Asst. Spts. Editor DAVE WIBLE

Proof Reader BILL KING

Night Editor BILL KING

"Oh, Say, Can You See?"



HERSLOCK
©1957 THE WASHINGTON POST CO.

FROM THE DAILY TEXAN:

Academic Freedom Is Stiffler? Reverberrations From Texas

And so the Texas Tech incident moves into another round—with blow-by-blow exchanges becoming more and more heated.

Among the latest developments are resolutions from the Tech faculty charging that the Tech Board of Directors violated principles of academic freedom and accreditation standards on faculty tenure in July when they ousted three Tech professors—Dr. Abernethy, Greenberg, and Stensland—in secret session without hearing.

Southern Association of College and Secondary Schools has said it will begin an investigation September 23, and the American Association of University Professors has indicated it also may make a probe.

Earlier, the Tech Board meeting August 17—a month after the trouble first started, voting 3-1 again denied the professors an open hearing.

No matter who is to blame, it is gratifying to see the concern and sincere interest which has been expressed from all parts of the country—from fellow professors and educators and students, from politicians and farmers and businessmen—all concerned when violations of academic freedom supposedly occur.

It would have been a sad day, indeed, had their dismissal for rea-

sons unstated been met with a passive resistance from fear of endangering job or position.

As from the start, there are still too many unanswered questions.

Why weren't reasons given for the ouster? The professors have requested a public hearing, and it would seem if only the trio's teaching were involved and reasons for dismissals were well founded, that only the professors would stand to lose from such a hearing.

The Lubbock newspaper openly said that the removals resulted from political and social pressure—Abernethy was a leader in the Democrats of Texas, the liberal wing of the Democratic Party, and Greenberg had publicly stated he favored integration.

Other unofficial reasons have come to the fore following an informal meeting between the three professors and two Board officers:

- 1) Charges that Abernethy, former regional director of the Wage Stabilization Board, receives a large outside income from work as a mediator in labor-management disputes.
- 2) Two petitions (signed by about five Tech students) asking for the removal of Dr. Greenberg.
- 3) Letters from students, alumni and others critical of the profes-

sor.

Abolition of the Adult Education Program (and thus the job of Dr. Per Stensland) as an economy measure.

Influence of some of these reasons may be discredited—and attributed to disgruntled students; almost every professor has his share.

Others have speculated, in the case of Stensland, that the adult program (sponsored by funds from the Ford Foundation) was entirely too liberal for the Conservative Board.

A less widely circulated reason has been advanced that the case in some way involves the Tech administration and the Board wishes to save Tech's face.

Even then, it is hard to see how a group of reasonable men, fully aware of the consequences of their action (possible loss of accreditation), could repeatedly deny the right to public hearing—if their decision was made without haste or prejudice and based on adequate information.

Surely the name of Texas Tech could not have had to suffer any more in light of all the facts than it has in the light of no facts.

We await the probe of the AAUP and Association, and hope that they may make headway toward a workable solution to the tangle which has been produced.

RANDOM RAMBLINGS:

Summerism And WC Tee-Heeism

One of the more colorful expressions which I've heard around this campus is usually said by "students" just before and just after a holiday, week-end or summer. The punctuation at the end depends on whether it's before or after a lay-off from "studies." Here it is:

"Have a good summer?"—said at the beginning of the fall semester with a rising inflection and a question mark at the end.

"Have a good summer?"—Said at the end of school in May as you drive off in a convertible headed for home with a descending note at the end of the sentence and a period to denote either a command or just a statement. I've never been able to figure out if it is mandatory to have a good summer or not.

"Have a good holiday," "Have a good weekend," with either question marks or periods after them are both equally colorful and quite useful of their appropriate times. It saves much thinking on the part of the greeter to have a ready-made greeting to blurt out at the slightest provocation. None of those old saying like, "Hi, how's it going?" for a Carolina man.

I have a friend who frowns on these greetings about as much as I do, and so whenever we meet we generally try to make up original and colorful salutations such as "Hot enough for you?" if it's hot, and "Wet enough for you?" if it's wet, and other silly things like that. Anything to amuse ourselves.

My case rests as far as that is concerned.

There certainly were a flock of girls over from Greensboro for the game. About five minutes before game time, upwards of 20 busses come rollin' through the gates by Woollen and parked down on the intramural field and the women started pourin' out of them like crazy. I was selling programs rather I was standing there with programs for sale, when they came bounding off the busses, and I ain't never seen such a crop in my life. Big ones, fat ones, pretty ones, and some other kinds.

Most of them were having a little trouble with their heels and everything, but on the whole, I was duly impressed by the way they handled themselves.

One of the things I overheard:

"Which way is the woods?" one giggling lady said to her five young women companions, all of whom were walking fast towards it.

"Oh, I mean which way to the stadium?"

"Tee, hee, tee, hee."

"Up in the woods, silly."

"Tee, hee, tee, hee."

Ah yes, it is another fine crop at old W.C. this year.

The football game didn't impress me too much and neither did the cheerleaders, although one thing I did notice; all the Carolina fans seem to be a lot more willing and eager to cheer in the last quarter, and by this time the cheerleaders are all worn out and so is the team. Of course, all we have to do is sit up in the stands and drink, whereas both the cheerleaders and the team have to exercise more than their elbow. If there was some way we could all get together in the fourth quarter, the games certainly would be more enjoyable.

The Card Section did its usual fine job except for that one purple on row K which always kept popping up.

DAVID LAWRENCE:

Self-Styled Libs Are Inconsistent

WASHINGTON—"Book burning," interference with free speech, censorship of radio and television so that American history and old folksongs are inaccurately presented to the people—all this goes on in freedom-loving America nowadays without much protest from the so-called "liberals" or their "civil liberties" organizations.

Strange inconsistencies emerge. Thus, Senators Humphrey of Minnesota and Douglas of Illinois Democrats, have joined in suggesting that President Eisenhower should "personally take those colored children by the hand and lead them into school" at Little Rock, Ark.

But did any of the so-called "liberals" ever suggest or would they venture to recommend that the President of the United States take workingmen by the hand and lead them into plants and factories where their right to work—a basic constitutional right—is denied them?

NOR HAS THE governor of any state come forth with such a solution for the problem created when labor unionism does what Congress is forbidden to do—abridge the freedom of the press under the color of law. "Collective bargaining" rights and picketing powers are, of course, derived from federal law. Yet none of the so-called "liberals" has risen to protest the closing down of newspaper plants in many cities through the establishing of picket lines which labor unions that are not themselves parties to the dispute refuse to cross. This is a concerted action that deprives many thousands of workers of their opportunity and right to work and just as effectually denies freedom of the press as if Congress had ordered it.

The California Federation of Labor is so stirred up over the Little Rock situation that it has just urged impeachment of President Eisenhower for not taking forceful action of some kind to get the colored children into the high school in Little Rock. But that same organization would hardly favor any forceful measures to enable honest, law-abiding white or colored workingmen to enter a plant when there's a strike.

FOR MANY YEARS now, the unions have denounced any use of the National Guard to protect citizens in labor dispute. Yet today there are calls for the government here to "federalize" the National Guard and use such troops to "enforce" desegregation.

L'I ABNER



by Al Capp

POGO



by Walt Kelly