## The Sweet Fragrance Of Orange Blossoms

soms is definitely in the air.

We should like to wholeheartedly congratulate University footballers for their conquest of the Blue Devil charges from Durham in vesterday's encounter,

ing spirit. University footballers the trouncing of South Carolina's overcame a 13-0 lead to defeat the Gamecocks, these facts and figures orange-intoxicated forces of Bill should offer a darn convincing arg-Murray-21-13.

The victory marks the first time since 1951 that the Carolina Blue and White has conquered the Duke Devils, And it gives the University team a 6-3 season's record to a 6-1-3 record tabulated by the Dukers this fall.

while Dukers barely eked out a soms is definitely in the air.

The fragrance of orange blos- 7-0 victory last week, And Clemson outplayed the Devils throughout the contest. Their loss came only as a result of three fumbles within the Duke 15-yard line.

Also, we defeated Navy, 13-7. while Dukers merely fought to a 6-6 tie. Coupled with the defeat Displaying an admirable fight- of highly-considered Miami and union to the bowl selections com-

> And the peal of the Victory Bell has never sounded so sweet.

The bowl selections committee is supposed to select the "most representative team." We contend that, while we have definitely run hot and cold, our overall record-The University definitely has a including the sole triumph recordsolid argument to bring before the ed over Navy this season - qualibowl selection committee next fics University footballers for a command performance in Miami.

We defeated Clemson, 26-o. The fragrance of orange blos-

#### GUEST EDITORIAL:

## UCLA Daily Bruin Says Extra-Curriculars Good

A good deal of discussion has also been held at UCLA concerning the growing amount of student activity in relation to the more intellectual side of our training. The amount of money allocated to float building in the recent Homecoming parade was upped by \$50 over the limit of 1956. The Homecoming Week, Men's Week, athletic events, the great variety of lies, films, lectures, concerts and many smaller functions numberload of study.

### The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Sunday, Monday and examination and vacation periods and summer terms. Entered as second class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the Act of March 8, 1870. Subscription rates: mailed, \$4 per year, \$2.50 a semester; delivered, \$6 a year \$3.50 a semester.

NEIL BASS

ALYS VOORHEES

Editor

Managing Editor

| News Editor         | BILL CHESHIRE                       |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Asst. News Editor _ | PATSY MILLER                        |
| sports Editor       | BILL KING                           |
| Asst. Sports Editor | DAVE WIBLE                          |
| Business Manager _  | JOHN WHITAKER                       |
| Advertising Manage  | r _ FRED KATZIN                     |
| Librarian           | GLENDA FOWLER                       |
|                     | WALKER BLANTON,<br>TER, LEWIS RUSH. |
| Wire Editor         | PAUL RULE                           |
| Subscription Mgr    | AVERY THOMAS                        |
| 0. 1. (0.10)        | MARON MARON                         |

Feature Editor \_\_\_\_ MARY M. MASON EDIT STAFF - Whit Whitfield, Nancy Hill, Gary Nichols, Curtis Gans, Al Walker, Harry Kirschner, Gail God-

NEWS STAFF-Davis Young, Ann Frye, Dale Whitfield, Mary Moore Mason, Stanford Fisher, Edith MacKinnon, Pringle Pipkin, Mary Leggett Browning. Ruth Whitley, Sarah Adams, Marion Hays, Parker Maddry.

SPORTS STAFF-Erwin Fuller, Mac Mahaffy, Al Walters, Ed Rowland, Ken Friendman, Donnie Moore, Neil Lehrman, Elliott Cooper, Carl Keller, Jim Purks, Rusty Hammond.

PHOTOGRAPHERS - Norman Kantor, Buddy Spoon.

Night Editor ALTON CLAYTOR ALTON CLAYTOR Proof Reader .

As long as colleges and univer- Yet in spite of the fact that these sities have been in existence there functions would keep a person very has been the problem of what part busy full-time in Just attending, the extra-curricular activities each individual activity has had a should play in the academic life, good, healthy attendance, and has - a problem which has never been been steadily growing through the solved. Therefore the amount of years out of proportion to the popactivities on campus is a decision ulation growth at UCLA. This can which is left up to the individual only lead us to the conclusion that students here are becoming more activity-minded due either to an mprovement in this area or to a growing mode among the student

On the other hand, extracurricular business should not dominare the university scene. Supposedly, the central idea behind an institution of higher learning is to prepare the developing intellect for the obligations and responsidances, the elections of student of- bilities to be assumed by a future ficers, Fall Drive, Greek Week, ral- leader in society. This goal could be hampered a good dead by a cumbersome activity schedule. As an ing in the lumdreds have combin- alternative to the dryer art of ed into a massive activity program study, a function-filled college life which would prohibit any student would seem an enjoyable escape to from participating in most of them the student of a more exuberant and still maintain an average work nature, who would be satisfied to barely pass through college. There are those who argue that young people of this ilk should not be allowed in an institution of high-

> This brings up the problem of whether intellectual training IS the only aim of a university, to the clear subordination of any events which may interfere. In other extreme we have the arguement that the intellectual intercourse and the social functions are the only training retained by college graduates, with the exception of technical professions, and that this training is most valuable in the preparation of a successful

No one can logically contest the statement that the aim of college is the preparation of the intellect. or that the extra-curricular activities are of benefit to the student. The only discord which arises as a rule, is to what degree each should participate in a campus.

The answer, of course, depends on the individual. No one is required either to attend a university or to participate in its activities. Therefore the new student has to abide by the academic standards set by the institution of his choice, and the activity participation is left to his discretion. The amount of functions on campus is entirely decided by the student body, for without our attendance these events would fold as being of no use. If one can meet the academic requirements of UCLA and still partake heavily of the extra-curricular, more power to him.

#### NEWS AND OBSERVER:

If all editors were subject to recall every time anybody disagreed with them, there'd be a lot of exeditors, or a lot of dull, flabby editorial pages,



#### READERS' REPOSITORY:

## Reign Of Terror: Blood Flows Like Water?

The reign of terror is on. The guillotine clicks like castonettes and blood flows like water in the waiting for the head to drop, your of staff members who signed a

Some people just can't live a happy life unless they are fighting for or against a cause. You must have happened to be standing there when these "Banner Bearers" were looking around for a cause and consequently many blows are falling upon your head. It is a shame that these self rightous individuals coudn't focus their attention on something a little more in need of correction such as: Better housing for the squirrels, or dry powder for "Silent Sam." Projects like these would, at least, not be detrimental. Maybe these go-getters would be willing to serve on a Journalistic Council which could approve or throw out each editorial according to their "ethics" and hold a daily vote of confidence on the editor. This method of administration would, in no time, produce the tintype, spineless, conforming editor that they disire; an editor devoid of personal opinions or at-

When they are bearing your severed head through the streets of Chapel Hill on a long staff, keep smiling; At least you'll be above

L'IL ABNER

LOOKIT HIM RUN HIS

INNERCENT 154-YAR-

OLE HEART OUT!

Because of recent developments in the recall election of the editor of the Daily Tar Heel, I must streets. The knitting ladies are withdraw my name from the list

> letter to Neil Bass. This letter, which appeared on the editorial page of The Daily Tar Heel, said, in effect, that the signers did not support the recall, but upheld Editor Bass' right to

remain in that position. I am strongly in favor of the recall election and must thertfore publically withdraw my name from that letter.

As secretary of the Student Party. 1 feel that it is necessary for me to clearly state what took place at the Monday night meeting of the Student Party at which the party nominated Doug Eisele to run for editorship of The Daily Tar Heel. This information is being taken from the minutes which I recorded during the meeting.

First of all I believe that it is Barry Winston stated before the party that if we wanted to sup-G. L. H. port Doug Eisele he would with- would make a statement, Mr.

LI'L DO HE REELIZE

SNOWBALL'S CHANCE!

TH' DOGPATCH LADIES'

HE HAIN'T GOT A

BROTHERHOOD -

ment was made after the party tended to run for the office in the had found that none of the three announced candidates met the qualifications they were seeking. Perhaps many people are won-

dering why a political party should nominate and endorse candidates tor, for such position as editor of the Daily Tar Heel. This was thoroughly discussed by various memresponsibility of campus political parties to endorse for public office individuals who are qualified for the position under consideration. Furthermore, it is the parties' responsibility to both seek and provide qualified candidates for all positions of public trust. In the event that candidates running for an office are determined to be unqualified for a position, then it becomes even more the responsibility of the parties to provide the campus with good candidates.

Keeping this in mind the three candidates were discussed by various members. As the discussion progressed it became evident that none of the candidates possessed the qualifications the students felt were desirable. A motion was made and passed that the floor be re-opened for nominations. Gary Greer nominated Dong Eisele. When asked whether he knew whether Mr. Eisele would run, would see whether Mr. Eisele ers.

-TH' MOST RUTHLESS

DRAG HIM BACK-

UNION IN TH'U.S.A., DONE

VOTED T'KETCH HIM, AN'

his studies. He did not in actual words decline the nomination, but it was withdrawn by the nomina-

closed when Mr. Winston requested the floor. A motion was made bers, pointing out that it is the , and passed that the rules be suspended and that Mr. Winston be extended permission to address the party. It was at this time approximately 11:20 p.m. that Mr. Winston announced that because he was interested in a better "Tar Heel" and if the party wanted Doug Eisele he would withdraw from the race The floor was again reopened

for nomintaions and Doug Eisele's name was again submitted. After the nominations were again closed the four nominations were voted upon, and Mr. Eisele received a majority of the votes.

I hope you will see fit to print these very vital facts concerning what took place durin gthe meeting, because I feel they obviously point out the fact that the withdrawal of Mr. Winston was not decided after the party meeting instead, Mr. Winston publicly announced his withdrawal before the party and in the presence of he said that he did not but he several "Daily Tar Heel" report-

BETTY HUFFMAN

# by Al Capp -TO TH' MUDDY ARMS O'-USH! MOONBEAM McSWINE !!

# POGO by Walt Kelly POG SHIP ... ROCKIN' BONE QUIET! THE BOAT. WE GOT SALVAGE RIGHTS

## Recall Analysis For The Voters

PRO OR GON: 1111 10gano

By Curtis Gans

I am supporting Neil Bass in the recall election Tuesday.

I am supporting Bass; not because I agree with all his editorial views, because I don't; not because I like the way he says many of his views, because I don't; not because I think he is the most efficient and best manager of the paper in general and the editorial page in particular, because I don't. In fact, of the four editors I have worked under in the past three years, the present editor rates third or fourth Indeed, if and when I run for the editorship in the Spring, I will do so on the basis of an intensive revamping of the paper's present structure.

I did not vote for Bass last Spring, I support him with vigor now.

I am for the present editor because: 1) I doubt the validity of the recal! petition itself, 2) I think that freedom of the press is a live issue and was made such, not by the present editor, but by the perpetators of the petition, 3) I feel very strongly the hand of intervention by the executive department of Student Government in an area where the University constitution restrains executive action, and 4) I do not believe that either of the candidates opposing Bass are as competent as Bass.

Perhaps the only two legitimate reasons for recall are if an editor publishes a libelous or obscene story, or if the paper fails to come out as contracted - six days a week excepting exams and holidays All other offenses are secondary. If people believe the editor is not a capable writer, they had plenty of time to check three years of writing prior to last Spring's election. If there is a belief current, that the editor cannot take criticism and that comments underneath the writings of other people are childish, then the electorate had plenty of time to check the disposition of the present edi tor through his roommates. If there is a belief that the editor cannot handle the technical aspects of the paper, then the electorate should have seen fit to ask members of the Tar Heel staff prior to last Spring's election about the capabilities of the present editor in that field. Moreover, a bi-partisan selection board last Spring deemed the present editor capable in that field, and the capability of the present editor in many of the technical fields is demonstrable.

Thus, if libel or failure to put out a paper is the issue, then the claim of Student Body President Evans that Mr. Bass called Mr. Tatum a "ruthless monster" must be a false claim. For "ruthless monster" is not libelous, as "parasitic monster", one of the generating circumstances of the recall two years ago, was not libelous. The second claim by Mr. Evans that Bass editorialized in the Stevenson article is not libel either, and I hardly think that Mr. Stevenson would sue for being called "slightly heavier" than his campaign pictures.

There then remains a question of how many people of the 1097 that signed the petition knew anything of the law of libel, and how many more signed because of the Bass anti-Tatum editorials. For if people signed because of the editorials, then the issue is one of freedom of the press, and whether anyone is sacrosanct from editorial scru-

I was in Cobb dormitory the night the petition was being passed around, prior to the first mention in the Tar Heel of "freedom of the press". Carrying around the petition in that dorm were at least four football players, I asked them what was incompetence to them, and the best answer they could give was, "I didn't like what he said about Tatum." I asked one of them what was libel, if, as we agreed this was the only satisfactory grounds for recall, and he could not tell me. I was later in the room of one of the leaders of the petitioners. who announced to me that none of the 150 people who signed the recall petition that he carried around had said a word about. Tatum. The truth of his pronouncement was somewhat tarnished when a fellow resident of that dormitory came in The petitioner asked the resident if he had signed the petition. And the resident answered that he had, because "I didn't like what he (Bass) said

I doubt seriously if there are two hundred students on campus who know the law of libel, and whether there are one hundred who know the specific line in which Mr. Bass may or may not have transgressed the law of libel. Since this is the only real issue that can force a recall, the petition itself is extremely dubious.

Dubious also was the time picked to carry the petition around, right after the Tatum editorials. The petitioners must have realized that they would not have gotten enough signatures any other way. If the president of the student body was a man of integrity, he would be the first one to question the validity of the petition and the amount of knowledge the student body had about the law of libel.

But President Evans is no such man. I was up in his office prior to the petition applying for a position on the newly created Newspaper Research Roard and he talked not only my qualifications but he talked recall. He told me that what was necessarv to start a recall was a competent opposition candidate, and asked me if I had any willingness to run. I declined. The fact is that this was before the petition got started, and would lead one to believe, indeed I do, that Mr. Evans had very much to do with recall.

And a later incident served to prove that this was not such an altruistic interest. Three weeks age Mr. Evans met with a petitioning group, before completion of the signing of the petition, and urged the netition's completion. In doing this, he talked of the paper as being among other things "the chronicler of student government." This suggests to me that Mr. Evans was more worried about the adverse criticism about him going down in the names of history perhaps, than whether the campus had a good newspaper. Indeed his placing Doug Eisele's name into nomination at one of the votes in the Student Party meeting of last Monday is clear indication of his self-interest rather than interest of the namer, for I will later try to show that (Continued On Page 3)