

The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-eighth year of editorial freedom, unbampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. Richard Overstreet, Chairman.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff, and all reprints or quotations must specify this.

FEBRUARY 2, 1961

VOLUME LXIX, NUMBER 87

"All Right — Everybody Up — Let's Go"



Graham Walker

System Defended By Council Leader

Mr. Yardley, in his attack of the Honor System, stated that "... no individual is sufficiently prepared in the law ... to pass judgment on his fellow beings." No, we are not in law school; but the law under attack seems to be the Honor System, which is "part of the University's educational philosophy."

Students do take part in the governing process. A broad, generalized statement was made that they do not. Statistics of all three councils should have been investigated before this statement was given. Of the past twenty violators of the two campus Codes who have appeared before the Women's Council, only one has been reported by a faculty member. To me, this proves the effectiveness of the dual system.

The punishments of offenders established by the council are aimed to balance justice with mercy, striving to fulfill the council's responsibility to the campus of enforcing the rules under which we all live, and, at the same time, remembering the individual, trying to aid her in the learning process and in assuming a sense of personal responsibility.

Our cases are not made public; however, the council does not hide behind a mantle of campus ignorance in determining a penalty. The defendant may have a public trial if she so desires. If a violator does not wish her offense to become campus news, each council member certainly respects her wish and is on her honor not to disclose any facts about the case.

No student court has the power to expel a violator. As each student is "... acquiring the education which will, at least in part, prepare him for the life he is on the threshold of fulfilling ..." what could be more important than an aim at developing to its fullest individual character, moral integrity and honesty? We must have these goals before us and not just say we are too young and inexperienced to strive to achieve these goals. At what age do you suggest we begin to develop our integrity? A monitoring system certainly would give no impetus for assuming responsibility. It would be a matter of pampering students, removing from them the responsibility of facing the true facts about life—that everything is not rosy and honest—and removing from them the stimulus for each to determine within himself what is important, valuable, and true.

"Inasmuch as obtaining a 'C' average is held to be considerably more important than conducting oneself with honor ..." was a comment made. This statement may reflect the attitude of some students, unfortunately, but

not all of them. A person-to-person poll should be made to determine the facts before such a derogatory remark is made to encompass the entire student body. The facts need to be presented before a just attack will be received.

Mr. Yardley has given the campus many thought-provoking statements. Each student now must determine his own feelings for our Honor System; each student must think and not just exist in a complacent state of apathy or of oblivion.

I believe in the Honor System, its philosophy, its purpose, and its effectiveness. Yes, the Honor System does need strengthening; it is not perfect, but it is the most vital component in the life of each Carolina student.

Graham Walker,
Chairman,
Women's Honor Council

Readers Join Controversy, Evaluate Honor & System

To: The Editor:

The recent series of editorials in the D.T.H. calling for a re-evaluation of the Honor System is very much in order, and the questions which it raises might well be pondered by students and Administration as well. We should acknowledge the unpleasant fact that Honor Code violations are not infrequent on this campus, and that these violations are not on any sort of decline.

They have happened within the direct experience of a surprising proportion of the students here, and a majority of the offenses have gone unreported. The Honor System has failed. Why? Because many of our students come from high schools where it is no great discredit to get away with academic dishonesty, and conditions here are not always the most conducive to the reformation of the weak. Because this is a large university, conducting its pursuits in an age of moral values badly blurred by psychological chicanery and moral relativism. The truth is that people have ceased to operate according to the code of values under which the Honor System was formulated.

Which is to be stronger, our Southern Honor or our Southern tendency to see things as we would like them to be? If this university is to continue in good conscience and true honesty, we must reform both our policies in the examination room and our organization of the Court along realistic lines: mentors in the examinations, and mature, responsible men and women in the court.

It is an unpopular thing to attack the Honor System: it is tantamount, in the minds of many, to attacking Home, Motherhood, or Honor itself. We all value honor; now let us undertake to put a value on honesty.

Daniel Garrison

We need the Honor System. We need to learn honor and how to live with our fellow men. We need changes in our Honor System, not its destruction. We see its illness; we ask all students to help us find the remedy.

Swag Grimsley

To: The Editor:
It is not my intention to make a rebuttal to your editorials on the Honor System. I hope to pre-

N. C. Editorials Salute William D. Carmichael

ASHEVILLE CITIZEN
It's hard to realize that Billy Carmichael is dead—and harder to reconcile the fact. The Billy Carmichaels are too vital, too active, too indestructible to die at 61.

But Billy Carmichael is dead. And the University of North Carolina loses a distinguished son and servant, as the state loses a valuable citizen.

As a student at Chapel Hill, and later as controller, then vice-president and finance officer, Billy dedicated his waking moments to advancement of the university and improvement of its various branches.

He was not an educationist, in the stuffy sense of the term. He was, in truth, a brilliant, able man who made a successful career on Wall Street before he returned to the service of the University in 1940.

Billy was a charmer. A talented speaker who knew how to employ wit as an effective instrument and logic as a persuasive force. He often could and did convince legislative committees that the college walls would tumble unless the budget requests were granted.

Ironically, he dies on the eve of what may be the University's major battle for funds to enrich its program. But he leaves a public image that will long be influential in Chapel Hill and in North Carolina.

We'll miss Billy Carmichael. We mourn the death of a friend.

DURHAM HERALD

William D. Carmichael Jr., to whom death came unexpectedly Friday morning, was one of the most beloved Tar Heels of his generation. There is a double reason: first, his own genial, friendly personality; secondly, his wholehearted and indefatigable service to the Consolidated University of North Carolina.

Billy Carmichael possessed a unique charm which made it a delight to be in his company. That charm was no less winsome when he addressed a civic club or a Carolina alumni gathering than it was in private conversation. He could draw men to him and inspire their confidence to an extent few others could. That quality explains his remarkable success in getting support for the three institutions which make up the Consolidated University.

Long before his death, Billy Carmichael had become a part of the tradition which is North Carolina's. His notable basketball playing as a university student, his outstanding career in both advertising and the brokerage business in New York, the metropolis which has attracted so many Tar Heels with its promise of opportunity, then his return home to devote his best years to his beloved university, have often been related and will be often told again. The entertaining stories, of which he seemed to have a never-failing store, will be quoted at many a fireside and across many a luncheon table.

The university's loss, the state's loss, Chapel Hill's loss are all great in the passing of Billy Carmichael in his prime. But the memory of his service will become both a cherished tradition and a high mark at which those who follow him in service to the university may aim.

GREENSBORO DAILY NEWS

"It was not so much the president and the trustees of the University of North Carolina who brought Billy back to Chapel Hill," wrote Frank Graham. "It was the love of the university which ever reaches out across all the miles and the years to hold her sons and daughters closer to her great heart. It was rather the historic halls of other centuries, the rock walls and playing fields, the ancient heritage and youthful hopes of alma mater which drew him with the pull of the company of the loyal fellowship of light, liberty and learning, as one of the most precious and powerful expressions of the human spirit."

That was an earlier Frank Graham tribute to the man who died in Chapel Hill yesterday morning.

Frank Graham was one kind of dynamo; Billy Carmichael was another. Both had a common purpose.

Billy Carmichael, as one of his friends remarked, could think of more things to do, get more people to help to do them and take less credit for himself "than any man I know."

(and Planetarium) at Chapel Hill emerged on his initiative. He was one of the sparkplugs behind the nuclear reactor and Reynolds Coliseum at State College. He was a catalytic agent for the four-year medical school and division of health affairs, the education television station and a multiplicity of benefactions beyond bread and butter needs which will ornament the Greater University for years.

Beyond that the man who deserted Wall Street for Davie Popular exemplified a cavalier Tar Heel spirit nobody in his time quite matched.

As university whirlwind, raconteur, behind-the-scenes persuader and pleader in the halls of the General Assembly and Johnny on the spot wherever the university's needs called him, Billy Carmichael had no equal. In a word, he is irreplaceable.

His old friend Albert Coates had the definitive word on this gay-hearted Julius Caesar, Niccolò Machiavelli and St. Francis of Assisi combined:

He defies classification ... (this) man who can and does make a living for himself and the university by writing script, setting stages, playing parts; selecting type, choosing colors, mending his fingers into printer's ink and turning out brochures with deckle edges; sweeping dust and sometimes dirt out of long-forgotten corners, putting bricks in gravel walks, tidying borders, planting shrubs, touching in many places the hem of the garment of every institution in his care; and coming as close as any man I know to making money sing as well as talk in things of beauty which are joys forever.

That was Billy Carmichael. North Carolina mourns his departure. But the Southern Part of Heaven welcomes his arrival with the same joyous spirit he best personified.

WINSTON-SALEM JOURNAL

Of the many deserved words of tribute being said about W. D. (Billy) Carmichael Jr., who died in Chapel Hill Friday morning, these from Governor Sanford are particularly expressive of the man and his contribution:

"He was a hard-headed businessman who demonstrated by his fights for the university that education is the soundest investment a state can make."

Billy Carmichael was indeed a competent fighter for the growth and improvement of the University of North Carolina, whether in budget battles in the state's legislative halls or in the offices of foundations and prospective private donors. As the university's vice-president and financial officer, he played a vital role in winning the gains made by the university during the past 20 years. In terms of buildings alone, those gains have been vast, as visitors to the three campuses of the Greater University can easily observe. Mr. Carmichael did not limit himself to buildings, but worked for all the needs of the university. Higher salaries for professors, scholarships and fellowships were frequently the direct results of his efforts.

As a businessman, he was "hard-headed" in the best sense of the word—hard-headed enough to recognize that personal success, measured in terms of dollars alone, can be less than satisfying. He gave up a notably lucrative career as a Wall Street financier in 1940 to take his post at the university. His starting salary at Chapel Hill was perhaps one-tenth of what he had made in New York. It took a hard head to achieve his striking financial success, and an even harder one to leave it behind. But he knew investments, and he knew that education was, in Governor Sanford's words, a sound investment.

Both his impressive background and his personal charm suited him well for his role at the university. While not a scholarly sort of man, his interests were wide and enthusiastic. He was "good company," with a well-earned reputation as a tale-teller. These things, as well as his knowledge of finance, made him a great salesman for higher education.

Billy Carmichael was a graduate of the university, receiving his degree in commerce in 1921. Perhaps he would like no tribute better than to be called a worthy son of the institution which he served so loyally and well. His own life was ample proof that education is the soundest investment the state can make.

On One Who Has Served Well

The appointment of Fred Weaver to the post of secretary of the Consolidated University of North Carolina will undoubtedly be a boon to that administrative organization, but it is a real loss to the students of the Chapel Hill branch of the C.U.N.C.

Weaver's tenure as Dean of Student Affairs at Chapel Hill has been marked by progress and inspiration in the area of student life. To be sure, he met the usual amount of student criticism and griping, but this would probably go on no matter who sat in the hot seat of that position.

Primarily, Weaver has strengthened and secured the reign of student freedom that has been so important to the University. He has demonstrated, time and again, a willingness to let students try to work out their own problems and to do and speak as they see fit.

Occasionally, the student body has betrayed this trust. On other occasions and in other ways it has

shown itself unable to meet the challenges of self-government and free expression. But the opportunity has always been there, and the censorship moves came only rarely, and invariably in minor cases.

We are sure that the Consolidated University will benefit tremendously from the acquisition of Weaver's talents. His drive, enthusiasm and intellectual inquisitiveness will stand him in good stead as he embarks on a difficult task.

The loss of William D. Carmichael Jr., will make Weaver's role in the Consolidated University all the more important during the battle of the budget and the other affairs of a new year. We know that he will prove invaluable.

It is to be hoped, as well, that Weaver's successor will benefit from the previous application of his talents. The job of Dean of Student Affairs is one that is growing in scope and influence daily; a firm mind and strong convictions will be needed to meet the challenges of a growing student population.

The new Dean of Student Affairs will be met with a number of problems that Weaver handled with considerable skill: the role and freedom of *The Daily Tar Heel*, the power of student government and the student judicial system, and student activities, among many such matters. But there are other matters, ones which have not been handled with as much skill, particularly student-faculty relations. These will be the property of the next Dean of Student Affairs.

To Fred Weaver we wish success and extend our thanks for a job well done. To his unnamed successor we extend the hopes of a student body that wants to continue the record of the past and improve upon it.

The Daily Tar Heel

JONATHAN YARDLEY
Editor
WAYNE KING, MARY STEWART BAKER
Associate Editors
MARGARET ANN RHYMES
Managing Editor
EDWARD NEAL RINES
Assistant To The Editor
HENRY MAYER, LLOYD LITTLE
News Editors
SURAN LEWIS
Feature Editor
FRANK SLUSSER
Sports Editor
HARRY W. LLOYD
Asst. Sports Editor
JOHN JUSTICE, DAVIS YOUNG
Contributing Editors
TM BURWELL
Business Manager
RICHARD WEINER
Advertising Manager
JOHN JESTER
Circulation Manager
CHARLES WHEEDIE
Subscription Manager
The *DAILY TAR HEEL* is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant with the act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4 per semester, \$7 per year.
The *DAILY TAR HEEL* is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.
Published by the Colonial Press, Chapel Hill, N. C.