

# The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-eighth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. Richard Overstreet, Chairman.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff, and all reprints or quotations must specify thus.

FEBRUARY 15, 1961

VOLUME LXIX, NUMBER 98

## The Commissioner Makes A Decision And Manages To Avoid The Problem

The game of cat and mouse that has been taking place in the Atlantic Coast Conference reached its peak yesterday when Commissioner James Weaver announced the suspension, for the rest of the year, of Duke's sophomore star Art Heyman and Carolina's brilliant backcourt players Don Walsh and Larry Brown.

This action is a little akin to the kind of reasoning that leads people to mumble, after a convicted murderer has been strapped in the electric chair, that murders will no longer be committed. Does Mr. Weaver really believe that he, through invoking the conference rule book, has put an end to fist fights on the basketball court? If he does, he has put quite a pie in the sky, and wishing will not bring it down.

We do not believe that punishing any of these players was necessary. They are, in a sense, unwitting victims of a circumstance be-

yond their control—an intercollegiate rivalry that long ago left the area of gentility and entered fanaticism.

The problem, of course, is student and audience participation in on-court affairs—this, needless to say, Mr. Weaver did absolutely nothing about. His myopic inability to see beyond the latest fight has hurt the conference, because what we are looking for is not temporary action on temporary problems but permanent action on permanent problems. The problem at hand is that of the conduct of crowds at A.C.C. events, yet nothing has been done about it.

The Atlantic Coast Conference must have leadership that is progressive and fearless; the problems of big-time athletics are too complex and disturbing to be handled in petty ways. It is to be hoped that this sorry decision will be the last in a string of inept, insufficient actions originating in Greensboro.

## The 80% Rule—Is This Justice?

Now that the grades have all been turned in and the fall semester is safely tucked away into the past, the time has come for compiling fraternity averages and finding out how many of the U.N.C. houses have failed to make the eighty per cent "C" or above necessary to keep from going on probation.

If what we have heard is any indication, a great many fraternities will fail to achieve this rather stringent requirement. And, the odds are, they will be unable to meet the quota this spring, thus losing their rushing privileges for next fall.

So next fall they cannot rush. Again, they fail to meet the requirement, and so on until they have been unable to acquire any new members and the chapters are forced to close. Academic justice will then have been meted out, and the fraternities will have suffered at its hands.

This is all fine, except that it is an indication of the effect that one of the most hypocritical rules in the University is going to have in the future. Nothing but damage will arise from the rule, because it is totally incapable of meeting with all aspects of a very complex problem.

Yes, it is true that fraternities are not making any effort to meet their academic responsibilities. Yet, it is true that by no means should fraternities be satisfied with equal-

ling the all-men's average. Yes, it is true that an academic crackdown is due.

But what about encouraging the misguided gentlemen on the faculty committee concerned with fraternities to wake up to one of the Chapel Hill facts of life: the University, with its cramped eating and sleeping space, cannot afford to lose a single house if it expects to have a bed in town for every student.

Yes, if fraternities are going to be punished in this manner, many of them are going to be forced to disband their chapters. But the University will not let this happen, because it needs the space they provide to house and feed its students.

Consequently, the faculty committee will find itself in a trap of its own making, and its tumble will be completely deserved. It will have fallen into this trap for a very clear reason: the members of this committee think that discipline is to be imposed from the outside rather than the inside, and they do not have the insight to realize that the role of the faculty member with his students is not to condemn but to encourage. Obviously, few of them are taking Dr. Henry Clark's words about fraternity advisors to heart.

The time has come, if it did not come a long, long time ago, for faculty, administration and fraternity members to realize that a complex problem of individual discipline is at hand, not a problem that can be handled by sideswipes from a group of men that does not seem to have any genuine concern for either the boys in fraternities or for the University itself.

An important member of the administration told us recently that the University is heading in the direction of "academic craziness," and there is much to be said for this observation. The faculty is betraying itself, in openly confessing that it holds to the ethos of the "C." It might do well to decide whether this is what is most important.

And in the meantime, the Interfraternity Council, the most spineless organization in the student body, might well kick back its heels and protest this hypocritical injustice—in strong uncompromising terms.

## "Anyhow, There's A Definite Disarmament Gap"



## Letters to The Editor

### Reflections On Sascapows, DTH Liberalism, Honor System

#### Sascapows Sing Condemned

To The Editor:

Friday morning's article by Laurie Holdes is ostensibly an approach to the problem of race relations—a grave problem calling for thoughtful attention. Whatever the faults of the article may be, there is certainly nothing thoughtful about it, and the point bogs down in a maelstrom of pseudo-verses that make Edgar Guest look like Byron or Shelley. The only merit that can be detected in the entire piece is its brevity.

Mr. Holder's lyrical feats reach their zenith in the couplet: "I'm a bigot born, I'm a bigot bred, and when I die I'm a bigot dead." Here is a frenzy of wit which no one, I hope, can duplicate.

But, in the midst of all these fancy shrieks, there is one point that calls for an answer. That is the rhyme in which she credits the Ku Klux Klan with the murder of Emmett Louis Till, the celebrated Negro martyr who tried to force himself on a white man's wife in her grocery store. His advances to the white woman were interrupted by his friends, who pulled him away when he grabbed the lady's arm and yelled, "Baby, how about a date? Don't be scared of me, I've had white women before." The murder—which sensible people can only deplore—was committed by the victim's husband and a family friend. Neither was a member or supporter of the Ku Klux Klan.

Wade Wellman

#### DTH Foggy In Liberalism?

To The Editor:

It would appear from your February 8 editorial on campus conservatism that a little gleam of the obvious is at last beginning to pierce the Liberal fog which generally pervades the ivory towers of the DTH and the other campus cabals of Liberal zeal.

Your editorial is the typical reaction of the doctrinaire Liberal to any serious opposition: the invoking of empty clichés (future, forward movement), bugaboos (McCarthyism), and personal attacks (individual agrandizement, smugness, ethos of the dollar bill), in place of any attempt to come to intelligent grips with ideas.

Your astonishment is not unexpected and your apprehensions well-founded. The stranglehold of the intolerant Liberal orthodoxy over American campuses is being pried a little loose.

There are rising students with the intellectual vigor to push aside some of the meaningless

shibboleths, to defy the hypocritical scholastic doctrinaires who scream academic freedom and squelch out relentlessly all classroom opposition, to take a rational perspective of the course of events unhampered by the exigencies of the prevailing credo of inflexible and missionary assumptions.

You do well to arm yourselves with all the venom of the usual Liberal inability to deal with opposition and non-conformity rationally. Yours may be the beginning of the end of the heretofore powerful voice of intolerant zeal.

It may be that soon from the apathy of the campus there will rise a little of the serious political consciousness which has until recently been unevitable because all the outlets for it have been tightly clutched in the hands of strident pseudo-intellectuals whose fuzzy (if zealous) mentalities have not yet been penetrated by the fundamental lessons that there may possibly be two reasonably good sides to any question and those who disagree with them are not necessarily merely sick.

Those of us who want to see the guide of reason rather than doctrine hope this will be so. The political thinking of American students can not much longer be dominated by vicious zealots who believe they are tolerant, limited thinkers who think they are innovators, conformists who think they are original, and an irrational mentality that sees some virtue in preferring Castro to Batista or Nasser to Franco and that actually believes it is pioneering new frontiers when the only real answers it has to any problem is the thread-bare approach of: spend more money, create more bureaucrats, and give more power to the state.

America needs youth with an intelligent perspective on realities in the light of their heritage, not an increasingly unquestioning devotion to a particular set of seemingly expedient approaches.

Clyde Wilson

#### Our Innocent Minds Cope With Radicalism

To The Editor:

The mother of a schoolmate of my high school days was recently asked if her son was still attending UNC. She said that he was. "That's too bad, they'll either make a Communist or an atheist, one, out of him," was the response of her questioner. "They" are. I think that this is a disgraceful state of affairs. I felt that you should know of it: I feel that you should do all that you

can to fight this and all other forms of wild radicalism that may appear on the campus of my home state's leading university. The innocent minds of our state's and nation's youth must be protected from the forces of evil.

More seriously, congratulations and thank you for the excellent articles and editorials of January 7 and 8 on the attempt to end racial discrimination at the Carolina Theatre. My above-mentioned friend sent me copies of those two issues. I wish you all success in this and all similar endeavors that you may undertake.

David Kronenfeld

#### Hangover From Honor System Controversy

To The Editor:

I could not undertake to defend our Honor System. Without question, there have been grave miscarriages of justice as a result of the faults of this system. You suggested in your editorials that the trouble with the system is the immaturity of the students charged with upholding this system. This is indeed a grave charge, and one that cannot be discounted.

It might be enlightening to take a hypothetical time trip and observe the operation of the revised Honor System suggested in your editorial of January 13.

(Scene: apartment of a law student, Harry Mason. Mason and Charles Darrow are sitting in front of a card table spread with law books, papers, and beer cans. Harry is the student attorney for Billy Budd, a student accused of infraction of the Honor Code; Darrow is a member of the Attorney General's staff.) Darrow: New let me get this straight, Mason. You want me to waive my rights to win this case, and let you take it by default? Mason: I realize that I won the last case, but old Tortwhistle told me that he didn't think much of the way I presented my case, and you know as well as I do that I'm flunking his course now. If you start throwing that oratorical talent of yours around, you know he'll never notice how good a brief I present, much less my technique for cross-examining witnesses.

Darrow: But I had counted on the publicity from this win to help me when I run for attorney general next month.

Mason: Look, your next case is that one where the culprit is defending himself, and that means more publicity. Suppose I get Holmes, the student judge, and Marshall, he's faculty because he is a third year law student, to hold out for your side. They're

## Carolina Student Writes About 'Moo' Complaint

To The Editor:

This Sunday I was disturbed to read an article on the editorial page of the Tar Heel by a State student entitled "State Student Criticizes UNC 'Moos'". In it the student criticized the Carolina custom of "Mooring" State College as a cheer at athletic contests. I will not summarize the article; I will leave that to the reader. However I say that the State student was wrong, that he has missed the point of the cheer and along with it the whole value of education.

Education is too great a thing to departmentalize and arbitrarily say that one branch of it is any more valuable than another. We are all in this world and we all contribute to it in one way or another. We, as students, are dedicated to the man, to progress. Whether that progress be material or non-material it is a contribution of equal import. These material and non-material functions are complementary and one cannot progress effectively without the other. We need not only our engineers and agriculturists but we need also our statesmen and our scientists.

Progress is the genius of many things; automation is an advance, but it is not progress until the social adjustment necessary is effected. This is not only the work of the engineer but it requires the work of the statesman as well. Without this adjustment,

automation could only create confusion, maladjustment and many labor problems.

Now as to the relative excellence of the two institutions, there is really no real basis of comparison. State College has nationally known and respected agricultural, engineering and design schools. Carolina has nationally known and respected chemistry, German, Political Science, English, Law, Business Administration, and Journalism departments and schools. Both institutions of learning have high national standing among Colleges and Universities. There is no reason to envy or disrespect each other nor is there any way to compare an institution where learning is based on material pursuits to one whose pursuits are basically non-material.

As to the actual cheer itself—it is a manifestation of rivalry based on respect. If we did not respect each other, chances are that we would not be stimulated to say, yell or cheer much of anything. But as we do, Carolina fans yell "Moo" at the top of their lungs and State fires back "Beat Whiskey Hill" and other variations at their rival.

During my freshman year I was at State. I well remember sitting in the State Band section of the stands at the State-Carolina game in the Fall of 1958 and yelling these very chants when State beat Carolina 21-14.

So after all let us not kid ourselves. These things are tokens of respect and rivalry that we bother to yell at all proves this. They are not to be viewed in the emotional light of anything derogatory. After all what do you call your best friend? — "Jolly good fellow?" Hell no! Chances are you call him a variety of oaths not very applicable to mixed company.

The same principle applies here, but it is more relegated to a youthful spirit of competitive rivalry than to mere friendship. To take them otherwise — or worse to take offense to them — is to interpret them wrongly. Take them in the spirit they are given and we will do likewise. To take them otherwise is only to fool yourself.

Steve Lindell

## REFLECTIONS

"Name withheld by request" is one of the most obnoxious phrases seen regularly in The Daily Tar Heel. It implies everything that is bad and nothing that is good.

If the editor of the newspaper and the members of its staff regularly put their opinions before the public with names boldly attached, why cannot the same be true of the paper's readership? Why must a man with an opinion feel it necessary to hide behind the ignominious cloak of anonymity?

This does not make sense. Has America been so affected by the purges of McCarthy and the neo-McCarthyites that her citizens will not speak out? If she has, then the world surely will look to Russia for leadership.

## Chapel Hill After Dark

With Davis B. Young

We're in a quandy. Fresh Paul Ryan of the Bay State complains about the name of our column. Says he, "You have to change it. You can't go on calling it 'Chapel Hill After Dark.' It's not like Stork Club Chapter at 2 a. m. This isn't New York cafe society. Everybody knows Chapel Hill after dark is asleep." Mr. Ryan may have a point.

From Kemp comes this letter: "Appreciate the plug in the TAR HEEL. You have given me an idea though, so from now on I'm going to call my sales PARTIES how's that?"

Everybody knows your sales have always been PARTIES, Kemp.

Local social leader Joseph Deutsch of the 308 Club, and invit-

ed guests (82) witnessed the solemn christening of Mr. Deutsch's half-collie, half-German shepherd, eleven week old puppy — Lymington II Deutsch—on Saturday night at club headquarters.

The service was conducted by Archie Hardy of Mangum Arms, complete with clerical collar. As the official requested that the child be handed over to him, Lymington II Deutsch growled most ferociously at Hardy. Both-ered not, he proceeded to initiate his young charge into official 308 membership.

At the conclusion of the ceremony, Lymington II Deutsch quietly retired to a corner where she fell asleep on last Friday's issue of The Daily Tar Heel.

And who's the Student Party going to run?

**The Daily Tar Heel**  
**JONATHAN YARDLEY**  
 Editor  
**WAYNE KING, MARY STEWART BAKER**  
 Associate Editors  
**MARGARET ANN RYAN**  
 Managing Editor  
**EDWARD NEAL REIN**  
 Assistant To The Editor  
**HENRY MAYER, JIM CLOFFELTER**  
 News Editors  
**LLOYD LITTLE**  
 Executive News Editor  
**SURAN LEWIS**  
 Feature Editor  
**FRANK SLOAN**  
 Sports Editor  
**HENRY W. LLOYD**  
 News Editor  
**JOHN JUSTICE, DAVIS YOUNG**  
 Contributing Editors  
**TIME BUSINESS**  
 Advertising Manager  
**JOHN JESTER**  
 Circulation Manager  
**CHARLES WIDEMER**  
 Subscription Manager  
 The Daily Tar Heel is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C. pursuant with the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4 per semester, \$7 per year.  
 THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.  
 Published by the Colonial Press, Chapel Hill, N. C.