

## The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-eighth year of editorial freedom, unhampered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. Richard Overstreet, Chairman.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff, and all reprints or quotations must specify thus.

FEBRUARY 25, 1961

VOLUME LXIX, NUMBER 107

### More On The Merchants Association; A Clarification And Explanation

The merchants of Chapel Hill have shown themselves to be a finer group of men than the initial actions of the Merchants Association led a number of students to believe.

Phone calls and letters have continued to come to the *Daily Tar Heel* office, affirming the opinion of those who think that people will do pretty much what they want to do.

Mrs. Macklin called from Harry's to say that, of course, that restaurant would want to contribute to the Campus Chest Auction. She added that she hopes a lot of other people will want to give.

The Rathskellar announced that it would contribute.

Milton sent along a letter, which said in part:

"I was appalled to learn that the secret trade solicitations committee of the Merchants Association turned down the Campus Chest. Milton's Clothing Cupboard wants to join Kemp's and the Dairy Bar in offering all possible help to a successful Campus Chest. Although we are a member of the Merchants Association we along with the majority of other merchants were not consulted about this refusal."

And, most important, Joe Augustine, who is president of the Merchants Association, came up to the office to talk things over. As manager of Stevens-Shepherd, he wanted to say that the store—as in the past—would want to help out. In his official capacity with the Association, he wanted to straighten out a few of the loose ends that surround this unfortunate matter.

A series of unpleasant incidents with peddlers and solicitors led to the formation of a secret committee to protect the merchants against unwarranted solicitation. The identity of the membership is secret—even to members of the Association—and its deliberations are carried out in private. All companies or individuals wishing to make overtures to local entrepreneurs must apply to this committee.

Because the Campus Chest Auction Committee did not make it entirely clear that they were only interested in soliciting from merchants in the downtown Chapel Hill area, the committee felt that the Chest would be attempting to get contributions from merchants not immediately connected with students—Glen Lennox, Carrboro, et cetera.

This misunderstanding, of course, does not exonerate the secret committee from a serious breach in tact. It does, however, make clear the fact that downtown merchants are more disposed to view students favorably than the decision would lead one to believe.

The secret committee should, by all means, have been aware of the function of the Campus Chest and the importance of maintaining good relations with the University community. If its members are not aware of the existence of the Chest as a valuable part of student life then they exist in a vacuum; if they are not interested in staying on good terms with students, they should be replaced.

In the past two days many merchants have told us of the importance of student business to their success, and have voiced displeasure with the methods of the secret committee. Their interest in students transcends, at least for some, the purely monetary; many are true members of the community and active participants in student affairs.

The entire community of Chapel Hill is based on mutual trust and friendship. The secret committee of the Merchants Association did considerable damage to that trust. A little time and good faith will restore it.

### A Playground For Victory Village

Last Thursday's meeting of Student Legislature saw the passage of a bill appropriating \$189 to outfit a playground in Victory Village.

A playground for the children of students in the Village has long been a needed facility. It is gratifying to see that legislature is cognizant not only of the needs of on-campus residents, but has taken upon itself, finally, the responsibility of representing the villagers. The needs and interests of Victory Village residents are different

from those of the unmarried dorm or fraternity dweller, and this difference has long tended to remove them, in the opinion of far too many, from campus life.

Certainly their outlook is different. The responsibilities of married life and the pressures of providing for a family can mature an individual in a hurry, and the activities of the unmarried students can often seem insignificant and rather trivial to the family man or woman. But they are students nonetheless, and have more of a vested interest in the academic community, oftentimes, than their unmarried counterparts. Their mature influence is valuable and necessary. It was high time that legislature realized this and contributed to the growth and enrichment of Village's physical setup.

Perhaps the rest of the campus can follow the legislative lead and stop regarding the Village as a distant cousin, or more correctly, as an old maid aunt. Physical enrichment such as playgrounds and facilities are important, but perhaps more important is a feeling of unity with the rest of the campus.

Legislature made an important contribution to establishing this unity with the appropriation for a playground and must follow this step with further appropriations.

Wayne King

### "This Little Kid's Got A Big Guy With Him"



HERBLOCK  
©1961 THE WASHINGTON POST CO.

## Campus Voice: Readers Express Opinions

### Letters To The Editor

#### APATHY, COWARDICE

To The Editor:

The other day, I got into a discussion with one of the UNC students about the picketing of the theaters. He told me that he agreed with the principles of equality for all and that he was in favor of integrating the theaters. However, he went on to say, just because they're picketing the theaters in order to obtain these rights, that wouldn't keep him from attending the movies.

The *Daily Tar Heel* calls this boy apathetic. I call him a coward. Here is the despicable person who says he believes in something, but is unwilling to stand up for it. He is afraid to do his part for fear that someone might oppose him. As long as he is happy, let the rest of the world rot in hell. He may be in favor of something, but his thoughts are "let some other guy do it."

If George Washington had "let some other guy" lead the revolutionary war, we might still be English. If Frank McGuire had "let some other guy" teach our boys how to play basketball, Carolina might not have the championship team it has. Who is going to fight our battle when we run out of "other guys" to do our work?

Perhaps it is about time we stood up for the brotherhood of all mankind. This week, Brotherhood Week, is as good a time as any. If we all lived in harmony with our fellow man, and abolished hatred and bigotry, then, and only then, would the world live in peace with God's countenance shining down upon us.

So let us strive to remember the slogan of the National Conference of Christians and Jews—"Brotherhood—for Peace and Freedom—Live It, Believe It, Support It."

Ronnie Friedman

#### IN DEFENSE OF MCGUIRE

To The Editor:

For the past two weeks I, like the majority of Carolina alumni, have been completely disgusted with the way certain sports writers nearby have been jumping on Coach Frank McGuire. They have been unmerciful with some of their statements about the man who put the University of North Carolina on the basketball map, and I think it is about time that somebody came to his defense. I am proud to attempt to.

I was fortunate to be on Carolina's first basketball team under Frank McGuire. The team did not have an outstanding record that year, but we did learn how to look and act like gentlemen

through Coach McGuire's efforts.

I will never forget the first day of practice when he sent our two Co-captains back to their dorm, told them both to shave and never to be seen on a basketball court again looking the way they did that day. Thanks to Coach McGuire this also was the year that the Carolina basketball team traveled with suits and ties on, which is still a policy at the present time.

I am familiar with certain things this man has done for charity, sick people in hospitals and church programs that most people in the state know absolutely nothing about. I am familiar with the smiles and kind words he extends to his "has been" athletes, whether they were his outstanding players or substitutes like I was. I am familiar with the way he always gives his time, regardless of how busy he is, to youngsters, and how his advice to them is to become a gentleman, then a player.

I am still playing basketball today, six years after graduating from Carolina, with Rosey's Major Leaguers. I will never forget the letter Coach McGuire wrote us before our season started. He informed us that he was very happy that we were still taking part in the wonderful sport of basketball and that he hoped we would have a successful season. But the main thing he asked was for us never to forget that we were Carolina graduates, to always act like Carolina graduates and to never forget how much it would mean to each youngster we would have to take time out for in order to sign an autograph.

This man is a "monster" like a couple of our nearby sports writers say he is? No indeed, he is the same Frank McGuire that he was nine years ago when he arrived in Chapel Hill. He is the same Frank McGuire that has put our basketball program at the top as it is today, and he is the same Frank McGuire that will always stick up for his men and his university. It is time to get behind him and let him know that we, his friends, his students and his supporters are behind him 100%. I certainly would hate to see a couple of nearby sports writers be responsible for running him away after all he has done for us at THE GREATER UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA.

Albert A. Long, Jr.

#### PICKETS NEED SUPPORT

To The Editor:

This letter is addressed to all those who feel that it is wrong

to exclude a certain segment of the population of Chapel Hill from its theaters (and any other public facility) because of skin color. It is addressed to those who see hypocrisy in the practice of admitting a dark-skinned patron with a foreign accent while continuing to exclude those who are American-born.

After speaking with many of you, I am convinced that you are sincere in your beliefs; but, if you are not among those 200 who brave the elements to walk on the picket line for the third week now, you seem to feel completely divorced from the effort being made to correct this wrong. Too many of you have said to me in one way or another, "I just hate to go through the picket line, but I just have to see that movie." Or even worse, you have made a general statement such as, "I don't like to go through the picket line, but whenever they have a movie I want to see..." I wonder if you have ever considered that there are many in Chapel Hill who must watch every good movie they would like to see go by.

The only objection the theater managers seem to offer against opening their doors is the "pocketbook interest." The only way to make them understand our desire is through the "pocketbook." Won't you forego the short-lived pleasure of a movie or two so that we might soon right this wrong? It is a small sacrifice in comparison to the sacrifice of those who are refused admittance. Don't make the mistake of the man who with sincere enthusiasm encouraged the picketers with "Keep up the good work!" and then proceeded to buy a ticket. The picket line is helpless to achieve its goal without the economic pressure of your abstention.

Lynne Kweder

#### A BANANA IN ITS-ER-EAR

To The Editor:

In a recent letter to the *Tar Heel*, Leigh Skinner complained about the widely held theory that Southerners are "backward, illiterate, narrow-minded and bigoted." Why does he think this theory exists? Because of people like Fulbright or people like Faulstich? Because of students like Charlayne Hunter or the students who jeered her? Because of the integration movement in the South or because of the White Citizens Councils? Doesn't Leigh Skinner realize that as long as people like him continue to rave about preserving racial

#### DOES MAJORITY PREVAIL?

To A Segregationist:  
This letter is addressed to the individual who submitted a letter (Saturday, February 18) to this paper; apparently he did not have the courage of his convictions to state his own name.

Remarking on the current picketing in Chapel Hill his attitude is at once typical. As a college student, a University student, his thought on the matter of picketing in Chapel Hill is superficial. We are all students at this University and should identify ourselves as such; this does not mean that we have to wield slogans outside a movie theatre but it does mean that we should reflect and perhaps realize that there is a blatant injustice present. Moreover, this injustice, as was pointed out, in an article last week about segregation practices in South Hill, Va., often verges on a breach of the law.

When there is a grievance, and an unpleasant one at that, surely no one should hesitate to speak out and air his views. I was asked to picket but refused because I'm not a citizen and feel that this action would be inappropriate and probably a breach of the law. However, the facts seem to be clear. The writer stated in his letter, "Let the people of Chapel Hill run Chapel

#### More Letters

### More Opinions

Hill"—yes, but let them run it in a rational and human way. Yes sir, "many are speaking out against the segregationists and very few speaking for them," perhaps because these at least realize what unjustified persecution the Negro may feel in this unfortunate position.

Your position, sir, as you stated is the "majority opinion of the South." I would ask—would you have the opinion of the majority in the South prevail in all matters involving the United States? Moreover, by what authority can you say that the prevailing temper of the South is segregationist? You, at least, sir, have spoken for yourself.

Tim Tellow

#### PRIVATE PROPERTY

To The Editor:  
I would like to take issue with Messrs. Wilson and Gardner concerning their conception of private propertyrights and their consequent support of the theatre managers in the current racial crisis in Chapel Hill.

Let me first of all acknowledge the law; the managers of the theatres do indeed have a legal right to select their patrons. This is not presently the issue, however. The managers refuse to admit Negroes because they claim that community sentiment would not support such a policy. The community, on the other hand, is challenging this assessment of itself by exercising rights which are no less legal and sacrosanct than the rights of private property. The issue in Chapel Hill is thus one of judgment rather than justice. To side with the managers on this issue is only to support, by example, the managers' unfavorable assessment of public morality.

The legal rights of private property will most certainly be called into question, however, should the theatres of Chapel Hill and elsewhere in the nation fail to comply with accepted standards of morality. In this eventuality, the defense of private property would reside not in siding with the managers, but with those who question their "white only" decision.

For it is a truism that any right or freedom presupposes a corresponding responsibility and restraint. In short, the legal framework of our hopefully free and open society presupposes a rather stringent and self-imposed morality. Freedom can easily degenerate to license and the consequent suppression of freedom should the presupposed morality not be realized.

It follows that if the managers of the theatres persist in a policy of segregation, they abuse the moral basis of property rights and endanger the rights themselves.

If Messrs. Wilson and Gardner are sufficiently enamoured of private property, their rightful place is on the picket line, not in the theatre.

Bettina Smith

David G. Davies

## Chapel Hill After Dark

With Davis B. Young

Four of the University of North Carolina's most distinguished sons will don the *Tar Heel* uniform for the final time at 2 p.m. this afternoon. Who are they?

Basketballers York Larese, Doug Moe, Dick Kopley and Lou Brown, of course. It takes five to play basketball, and that 4/5's of our story. Rounding out our roundball quintet this morning is a man who'll also see action this afternoon—mental action—Frank McGuire.

Larese, Moe, Kopley and Brown have all compiled enviable records as athletic representatives of this institution. The first two have brought the distinction of All-American selection to this school. All of them have played the game as gentlemen, and they will be missed by basketball fans from one end of the ACE to the other. For none can deny the quality and consistency of their three years of varsity ball.

But that fifth part of our story—McGuire—isn't restricted by a

four-year rule. He is only restricted by the backbiting, underhanded, unwarranted, unfair, uncalled-for charges which have been focused on him by the North

The same sportswriters, officials and opposing players who have been responsible for the partial public destruction of this man will be in town this afternoon at the game. And the same regional audience that has swallowed the reasoning of these people as the gospel, will be watching on television.

If anything keeps Frank McGuire in Chapel Hill it will be the support of the student body of his actions and statements. You will have a chance to demonstrate this support this afternoon. When McGuire walks on that court today you will have all the opportunity in the world to rise and give an ovation to this great coach.

By so doing, you can show those press box sportswriters you don't give a happy damn what they say, you know the real Frank McGuire, the man who has done so much and been given so little.

### The Daily Tar Heel

JONATHAN VARDLEY  
Editor

WAYNE KING, MARY STEWART BAKER  
Associate Editors

MARGARET ANN RYAN  
Managing Editor

EDWARD NEAL RINEER  
Assistant To The Editor

HENRY MAYER, JIM CLOFFETTER  
News Editors

LOVEY LITTLE  
Executive News Editor

SUSAN LEWIS  
Feature Editor

FRANK SLUSSER  
Sports Editor

HARRY W. LLOYD  
Asst. Sports Editor

JOHN JUSTICE, DAVIS YOUNG  
Contributing Editors

TIM BURNETT  
Business Manager

RICHARD WEINER  
Advertising Manager

JOHN JESTER  
Circulation Manager

CHARLES WIEBERG  
Subscription Manager

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant to the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4 per semester, \$7 per year.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.

Published by the Colonial Press, Chapel Hill, N. C.