

# The Daily Tar Heel

In its sixty-eighth year of editorial freedom, unbattered by restrictions from either the administration or the student body.

THE DAILY TAR HEEL is the official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Richard Overstreet, Chairman.

All editorials appearing in THE DAILY TAR HEEL are the personal expressions of the editor, unless otherwise credited; they are not necessarily representative of feeling on the staff, and all reprints or quotations must specify thus.

MARCH 7, 1961

VOLUME LXIX, NUMBER 115

## The Constitutional Referendum: An Impressive Mandate Is Needed

Today the student body will vote on the proposed amendment to the Student Constitution which, if passed, will enforce considerable change in the operation of the U.N.C. honor system.

A good deal has been said about the honor system in the last six weeks, and up until now very little has been done. This bill, which has been passed by the Legislature, is the first concrete attempt to put the honor system back on a working basis and get it away from the legalistic jargon and machinery into which it has fallen.

If this amendment is to become an important, accepted part of the honor system it must be approved by an overwhelming majority of the student body. A powerful mandate is needed to put the force of student opinion behind it. Without that mandate the amendments will be weak and subject to disputation.

In the past we and many others

have leveled a serious charge of apathy against the student body of this University. Now we shall look to that same body for denial or affirmation of that charge. If a small vote is recorded today we shall feel completely justified in everything that we have said; if, on the other hand, more than sixty per cent of the electorate shows up at the polling places, we shall feel that there may yet be hope.

Surely the importance of this referendum will not escape any student; whether or not it is passed will have direct bearing on every student who becomes involved with the honor courts in any way whatsoever.

We urge the student body to vote today—only by taking an active part in student government can we insure its perpetuation. We also urge the student body to give an overwhelming vote of approval to the amendment—it is a step in the right direction.

## A Chance To Do A Little Good

Tonight the Campus Chest, with trumpets blaring and gongs clashing, conducts its annual auction fiasco in Gerrard. The baloney, as usual, will fly from rafter to rafter, and the two rather foolish auctioneers will try desperately to keep television sets from going for two dollars apiece.

A number of fraternity pins may be auctioned, and we've heard scurrilous rumors to the effect that a number of well-known females have donated articles to be sold. Whether or not this is true will not be known until tonight.

No matter how little is certain about the auction, however, at least one fact remains constant

from year to year: the auction is invariably a ball. This alone should attract fifty per cent of the student body and one or two faculty members.

Assuming that the other fifty per cent are in the market for a good bargain, the place should be filled. With such enthusiasm being engendered, the event should be a success.

Besides, the auction offers a unique opportunity to make a real contribution to others less fortunate than ourselves. Ordinarily the joy of giving is one that is rather hard to grasp—such an event as this action, however, brings that pleasure into the light of day and makes it all the more delightful.

## Education Has Its Problems

The problems of higher education have been piling up in Chapel Hill in recent weeks.

There has been much to-do on several occasions regarding basketball, the NCAA, the ACC, etc. Right much of Chancellor W. B. Aycock's time has been put in on that phase of higher education.

He has had other problems, too. One of them seems to center about the place of parking in the realms of higher education. The parking involves both teachers and students, which seems to be appropriate, in that both teachers and students are involved in other phases of higher education.

At any rate, from now on if a

student parks in a faculty or visitor parking space and is caught and fined five or more times, the Chancellor can revoke the right of the student to have his car with him at college. This undoubtedly will help students with their mathematics, since they'll have to be sure to remember their numerals so that they don't get to the magic number of five. They can remember that "X" equals five, the "X" in this case marking the spot where the car used to be before the Chancellor sent it home.

The idea of allowing the student drivers four parking mistakes before the boom is really lowered couldn't be classed as really educating them for life beyond the campus. Some traffic courts on the outside are that lenient, but some aren't, and this leniency on the campus could get some of the young Bachelors of Arts in trouble if they happen to settle down in a town where the traffic court judge doesn't believe in giving a man four strikes before calling him out. The basketball problems of higher education seem to have been settled for a year, at least, at Chapel Hill. The parking problems of higher education seem to be on the way towards something, maybe a solution, though there is no other place in the world where parking problems have been solved.

There are, presumably, no other problems right now in higher education at Chapel Hill. At least, if there are, they haven't come to resounding public notice.

From the Raleigh Times.

## "You're A Big Boy Now — You Can Help Push"



Bill Hobbs

## Are We Individuals In Society — Or Products?

Bob Silliman, in his article on the "Yankus Loudmouths," raised a most valid criticism against the supercilious, critical attitude taken by some northerners toward their temporary home here.

However, the message in his article could carry some disastrous implications. His point loses all validity if it is applied to the integration question at this university.

Many students not included by Mr. Silliman have taken an attitude similar to that of the "Yankus Loudmouths" on this issue.

One of the most frequently heard comments in connection with the integration issue is, "It's those damn Yankee liberals coming down here and stirring things up." From another group of persons, one often hears a statement such as, "All the Grits down here sure are bigoted and reaction-

ary." Both of these statements are absurd, weak, and irrelevant defense mechanisms used by those who are unable to face their own dilemmas without shifting the blame to someone else.

All of us here are residents or citizens of the United States; we are not Southerners or Northerners unless we make a point to think of ourselves as such. People from the North and South alike often bring this irrelevant consideration into the issue.

The equality of races is a national problem, not a sectional one. The problem is more acute in the southern section of the country, but it is by no means isolated in that section. Discrimination is evident anywhere one wishes to look for it. There are many intolerant people from the North and many tolerant people from the South.

It is pure foolishness to classify

one's beliefs according to one's place of birth. It is even more foolish to do this in reference to people of our age.

And this is my most important point: we students at this university are the youth—the new generation of Americans. We are members of a new age; we are under absolutely no compulsion to blindly accept the judgements of our elders. We are compelled to give them full consideration and respect. But we are also compelled to form our own opinions.

We cannot excuse our actions (in either direction) by saying that we are Southerners or Northerners. We must make our own decisions and be responsible for them as individuals, not as residents of a certain section of the country.

I am from Washington, D. C. I have my own opinions on the integration issue; others have

Editor Of The DTH:

It is said that your columnist Mr. Clotfelter ("The Southerner Must Listen to Criticism," Feb. 28) in his pitifully frantic scramble to belong to the majority has accepted unquestionably every criticism screamed at his Southern home-country by the self-appointed apostles of Truth and Right.

I agree that the Southerner, like anyone else, should be willing to listen to criticisms offered by intelligent and generous men in a spirit of good will (although the Southerner has cherished the notion, perhaps backward, that one should right his own house before he seeks to right his neighbor's and maybe we would all be happier if we minded our own business anyway). Unfortunately the Southerner has not been so fortunate as to receive very much of this kind of criticism.

Instead he has been the brunt of a good deal of condescending advice from people who are curiously unable to manage their own affairs, mixed in with a generous quantity of abuse and exhortations to repentance from sins he does not feel.

The non-Southerner is, of course, honest, self-analytical and always gratefully open to criticism. This is why Northern politicians always graciously acknowledge your point when you suggest an inconsistency in their determination to use any means to achieve more integration in

... Again

## Wilson Hits Clotfelter...

the South when all their constituents either live in segregated neighborhoods or wish they did. This is why the press in Northern cities put Southern incidents on the front page and bury their own, sometimes worse, race strife on page 18.

Why doesn't Mr. Clotfelter look for himself? Why doesn't he take notice of the very real friendship evident between the races in everyday life in the South (and in the South alone)? Why doesn't he put some of his tremendous analytical powers to use examining a little more critically the source and motives of the uproar against the Southerner?

I see no resemblance between the people I know from Virginia to Mississippi and the picture of the Southerner propagated by his enemies. I see instead a reflection of the inadequacies and irrationality of the critic who must vent his aggressions on an abstraction a thousand miles from home and a false one at that.

As for forgetting Nathan Bedford Forrest and Jefferson Davis, not only should the Southerner not forget but every American with any regard for his heritage should remember. As long as there is any respect for free men fighting for their homes with guts against long odds, devotion to principle and courage in the face of adversity and suffering, there will be this memory.

The Southerner is no worse than anybody else, and maybe in some ways he's just a little bit better. At least he doesn't run off to Greenwich Village, join crack-pot causes or drink martinis instead of bourbon, and he has enough good manners not to criticize other folks for the failings he suffers from himself.

Clyde Wilson Jr.

## MM Is Well! That's Swell!

NEW YORK (UPI) — Actress Marilyn Monroe will leave Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center Sunday after three weeks' treatment for emotional exhaustion, a spokesman announced.

A hospital official said Miss Monroe's condition was good. She entered the hospital Feb. 11 after spending four days at the Payne Whitney Clinic of New York Hospital-Cornell Medical Center, one of the nation's outstanding psychiatric institutions.

Her press representative, John Springer, said at the time that the actress had had a "very tough year" and was "just exhausted... really beat down, physically and emotionally."

It was presumed that two recent stunning blows contributed to the distraught condition which required hospitalization. One was the death on Nov. 16 of Clark Gable, her co-star in the new movie, "The Misfits."

The other was the collapse of her four-year marriage to playwright Arthur Miller, her third husband. She obtained a Mexican divorce from him on Jan. 24.

## Chapel Hill After Dark

With Davis B. Young

Today you are asked to vote on an amendment to the Student Constitution. The amendment upon which you will express a preference concerns the student judiciary.

The first step for this important document was to pass the scrutiny of the Student Legislature. This it did by a unanimous vote.

As it comes before you today, it has the undivided support of the President of the Student Body, the Student Legislature and the members of the Men's and Women's Honor Councils. There is extended organized support. There has been no organized opposition. Even those who might have been expected to oppose some of its provisions have praised the fairness of the amendment.

We believe this amendment will insure the most expedient and the fairest system for all students. By passing this amendment today, the students have an opportunity to adopt what may be the best all-around judicial sys-

tem ever offered to them. By defeating it, the students invite faculty intervention and provisions upon which you will express a preference concerns the student judiciary.

It is a matter of record that this columnist has always fought, and fought hard, for making the Honor System something which belongs to all the students.

I ask you to vote YES on this amendment.

Tonight, one of UNC's most worthwhile organizations — the Campus Chest — will hold its big event of the year. We are, of course, referring to the AUCTION.

Last year's sale raised a goodly amount of the total Campus Chest money. It's a good cause and a lot of fun. And besides that, you get to see the master auctioneer — Ty Boyd.

So come one, come all. Buy all, and don't stall. That's a couplet we picked up from Robert Frost on Friday night.

### The Daily Tar Heel

JONATHAN YARDLEY Editor  
WAYNE KING, MARY STEWART BAKER Associate Editors  
MANAGING AND BUSINESS MANAGER ANN RYAN  
EDWARD NEAL RIVER Assistant To The Editor  
HENRY MAYER, JIM CLOFFELTER News Editors  
LLOYD LITTLE Executive News Editor  
SUSAN LEVINE Feature Editor  
FRANK SWINER Sports Editor  
ELMER W. LEVY Asst. Sports Editor  
JOHN JUSTICE, DAVIS YOUNG Contributing Editors  
TIM BURNETT Business Manager  
RICHARD WEINER Advertising Manager  
JOHN JESTER Circulation Manager  
CHARLES WOODS Subscription Manager  
The Daily Tar Heel is published daily except Monday, examination periods and vacations. It is entered as second-class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant with the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4 per semester, \$7 per year.  
The Daily Tar Heel is a subscriber to the United Press International and utilizes the services of the News Bureau of the University of North Carolina.  
Published by the Colonial Press, Chapel Hill, N. C.

## Women's Honor Council Chairman Speaks On Coming Constitutional Amendment Vote

On Tuesday, March 7, the student body will be voting on a constitutional amendment which will clarify and simplify our judiciary system. All members of each council, the Women's Honor Council, the Men's Honor Council, and the Student Council, are strongly backing this amendment and are urging each student to vote intelligently, having read the statements made by those who have been directly concerned with the functioning of the councils.

The major discussion has centered around the removal of the jury system. Currently, a jury is selected randomly from the members of the student body; this jury determines the guilt or innocence of the defendant, having heard the evidence and reviewed the facts of the case. We have, then, in effect, two juries: one jury is randomly selected, serving one evening, having had no previous training or experience, and having, in many cases, little or no interest in the trial; the

second "jury" is the Honor Council, the members of which are elected from judicial districts. The council members are trained; they receive experience from weekly meetings; they are interested in the trials.

Even though no two cases are ever alike or are compared in any manner by the council members during the trials, the experience is most helpful in aiding the council, by directing the thoughts of the members, and by helping each person to realize the gravity of the position of the accused and the council. In rendering a decision of "guilty" or "not guilty," each member weighs the facts not only with objectivity and justice, but also with a truthful awareness of the meaning of the two Codes. When declaring a sentence, the members try to balance mercy toward the accused with the responsibility of upholding the Honor Code and the Campus Code.

Graham Walker  
Chairman, Women's Honor Council

## One For All, All For Me... Is This Our Attitude Here?

To The Editor:  
Dr. George Taylor's forceful article on student apathy in the first issue of Parlane was strikingly illustrated recently in an incident in one of my classes.

I presented what I thought was a challenge of the sort President Kennedy had in mind when, in his inaugural address, he said that Americans ought not to ask "What can my country do for me, but what can I do for my country." To the twenty-five students present, I read parts of a news release describing an opportunity to carry out President Kennedy's challenge.

The International Cooperation Administration is going to select 150 young American college graduates for two-year appointments to teach English, history, mathematics and other subjects in

secondary schools in East Africa. Fifty of these need not have any other qualification than a bachelor's degree; fifty must have had some professional preparation for teaching. I asked anyone who was interested in thus serving the Government in a region where we are in danger of losing the ideological war to let me have their names so that I might nominate them to the proper authorities.

You know the answer. Not a single student even asked me for further details. If any student among the eight thousand now on this campus is interested in devoting two years of his life to this kind of government service, I shall be glad to discuss the matter with him. My office is 313 Phillips Hall.  
A. C. Howell  
Professor of English

The Daily Tar Heel solicits and is happy to print any letter to the editor written by a member of the University community, as long as it is within the accepted bounds of good taste. NO LETTERS WILL BE PRINTED IF THEY ARE OVER 300 WORDS LONG OR IF THEY ARE NOT TYPEWRITTEN OR DOUBLE SPACED. We make this requirement purely for the sake of space and time.