

# The Daily Tar Heel

71 Years of Editorial Freedom

Published daily except Mondays, examinations periods and vacations, throughout the academic year by the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Company, Inc., 501 West Franklin Street, Chapel Hill, N. C.

Entered as 2nd class matter at the Post Office in Chapel Hill, N. C., pursuant to Act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$8 per year.

## Justice & The News Of Orange County

On the general topic of editorial foibles, we notice that the News of Orange County has inadvertently lent weight to the claim of civil rights demonstrators in Hillsboro that their cases should be tried in federal court because they cannot receive a fair trial in state courts.

The News calls the demonstrators' claims "reckless," and predicts that: "... ultimately, we are confident, the orderly processes of justice and common sense will prevail, and the defendants will have to stand trial before their fellow citizens in Orange County. The only injustice that will have been done then will be unconscionable delay and expense of bringing violators of the criminal law to face their deserved and inevitable deserts."

Clearly, the News of Orange County has already tried the defendants and found them guilty. Its notion of justice appears to hinge on how quickly and severely the defendants are sentenced.

If The News' sentiments are at all representative of the public hereabouts — from which jurors are chosen — then it is entirely possible that the demonstrators' charges are not so "reckless" as they might otherwise appear.

But the crowning irony comes in the editor's "Newsman's Notepad" column elsewhere on the page. There he justly takes Life Magazine to task for printing a cover picture of Lee Oswald with — according to Life — "the weapon he used to kill President Kennedy and Politician Tippit."

Says the editor of The News: "Indeed, this misguided maniac probably did kill President Kennedy. But at least some semblance of trial and orderly presentation of evidence, as is being compiled by the Presidential Commission, is in order before the man is found guilty-by-assumption on the cover of Life or in any other 'news' medium."

It appears that the pot is calling the kettle black.

## If Only Old Soldiers Would Fade Away

At the end of the 1963 General Assembly, Senate President Clarence Stone with a tear in his eyes and a catch in his voice told his fellow Honorables that he was going home for good.

Whatever sentiment the announcement stirred in the House of Lords, there was considerable rejoicing elsewhere in the land.

The State Senate's Old Curmudgeon had just finished cramming the Gag Law into the University's mouth, and with his unique legislative style had outdistanced all his colleagues in irascibility, volatility, profanity, and small-change tyranny.

The rejoicing, it now seems, was somewhat premature. The Legislature is out of season, for which we are properly grateful. But Sir Clarence is still tilting hard. His current target is Al

Lowenstein, a professor at State College.

Professor Lowenstein sinned, in Sir Clarence's eyes, by participating in the civil rights movement in North Carolina and Mississippi. Moving with his usual subtlety and grace, Sir Clarence sought to have Professor Lowenstein fired. He called the business manager at State to learn the professor's pay scale. Then he put in a call to University President William C. Friday.

Sir Clarence did a pretty fair job of firing the Senate chaplain last year, but since Professor Lowenstein's head hasn't been reported rolling as yet, it can be assumed that Stone's current effort has been effectively resisted.

According to his past performance chart, Sir Clarence can be expected to keep right on trying. We wish him luck. He knows what kind.

## How About That . . . Duke's Still There!

The Raleigh Times

Unless the matter was carefully concealed, not a single building collapsed at Duke University over the weekend, and not a single Duke student or professor defected to the Communists. Yet, a Communist spoke on the Duke campus over the weekend.

What is more, this Communist was actually from the Russian embassy in Washington. And he spoke on the Soviet concept of peaceful co-existence.

On the same program with him was Secretary of Commerce Luther H. Hodges and other people who can safely be classified as completely patriotic and hundred percent American. Their presence with him on this Duke program should pretty well indicate their feeling as to whether it is all right to have a Communist speak on a university campus.

This same Communist, or any other Communist, couldn't speak on the Carolina campus 12 miles from Duke. We

have on our statute books a law which prohibits that. Yet, any Carolina student or professor who wanted to do so could have gone the 12 miles to Duke.

The theory of this law seems to be that no public property should be used as a forum by Communists. In actual working, the law is simply trying to deny university communists the privilege of hearing, presumably on the theory that they cannot be trusted to understand what they hear. The theory, whether intended or not, is that Duke students are perfectly able to detect and reject Communist propaganda, but that Carolina students aren't capable of doing so.

In actual practice, this futile attempt to throttle Communism could well backfire. For, this is saying to the young people of North Carolina that there is something so mysterious about Communism that young North Carolinians simply can't be trusted to hear about it. That is like pouring kerosene on a lighted fire, for nothing makes any subject dear to the hearts of young people as an attempt to keep it from them.

The result could well be that our law is making something attractive out of Communism. Yet, there is nothing at all attractive about Communism, and there is so much about it that is evil and cheap and dangerous. Any North Carolina college student could see that for himself by just a moderately attentive hearing of a Communist talk.

So, this speech-gag law is simply a thing of fear of things which aren't there. And it is terrible to try to bring up our young people in a world in which fear is a deciding factor.

Young people shouldn't be taught to live by fear. They should be taught to live by honest inquiry, by honest seeking after the truth, by honestly living by the things they find to be true in such unrestrained seeking.

A man who lives by fear and under fear can never know how it is to live by faith and by hope and by belief in the American system. And a man who does live by faith and by hope and by true belief in the American system need never to know fear.

"Monopolist!"



## Letters To The Editors

# 'The Gulf Has Widened'

Neglect

Editors, The Tar Heel:

In this growing mass university, serious questions have arisen concerning the effectiveness of Student Government in dealing with the mounting problems of the Student Body. In an address just recently made before the University Party, Dean of Men, William G. Long, questioned just how wide the gulf between Student Government on the second floor of Graham Memorial and the student living in one of the various residences on campus has gotten and is going to get.

The actions of Mike Lawler, the present President of the Student Body, in regard to his powers as defined in the Student Constitution have widened the gulf between the student on campus and the office of the President of the Student Body. Mike Lawler has neglected many of the duties of his office in the areas which are of most concern to the students in this fast growing university. He has failed to meet the challenges of a mass institution and has instead been mainly involved in sometimes unnecessary arguments with the administration and in actions on Civil Rights. This constant bickering with the administration and his actions on Civil Rights have done almost nothing to close the gulf between students and the office of President of the Student Body, and nothing towards accepting the challenges of the mass university.

The first duty or power of the President of the Student Body is "to appoint the chairman and members of all standing committees and boards not otherwise provided for in this (the student) Constitution"—that is, to appoint members to all committees created by the Student Legislature.

In specifically two major areas of concern in this growing university Mike Lawler has failed to fulfill this responsibility and duty according to the Student Constitution. First, great concern has arisen over the orientation of Freshmen entering this large school. President Lawler has failed next year's entering class by not only failing to appoint an orientation Chairman by October 20, 1963, as called for in the Student Government Laws, but by waiting until this late in the year when semester orientation, in which the new Chm. gets much of the experience needed for the much larger program in the fall, has passed and the budget for Orientation is almost before the Student Legislature. He was asked many times by persons active in orientation to appoint a chairman, but he has either been too busy or not considered it important enough until the present time, and this time last year the plans for Fall Orientation were well under way so that a well organized orientation could be held.

Second, as this university continues to grow, the problems of the students concerning their campus welfare continue to rise. The committee which is directly responsible for campus welfare is

the Campus Affairs Board. Six months ago the Chairman of this committee announced to Lawler his intention to resign because of his academic problems. Three months ago the former Chairman resigned. Yet still today no new Chairman has been chosen or announced to lead this important committee. Because of this, as recent reports by the UP Campus Action Board have revealed, many on campus projects and problems have been utterly neglected. The President of the Student Body has forgotten the campus welfare of the members of the Student Body.

Another duty or power of the President of the Student Body is "to administer and enforce laws enacted by the Student Legislature." In this large institution one of the major problems is that of communications, and, whether we agree with it or not, the Daily Tar Heel is the main organ for this purpose on our campus. A question has arisen whether or not the DTH will be able to continue to print on the presses it is now using. The President of the Student Body, Mike Lawler, has again failed to fulfill his duties and to meet the challenge of a growing Student Body by failing to appoint a committee to seek the purchase of a print shop for the DTH as called for in a law enacted by the Student Legislature which also appropriated \$5000 for this purpose. With a growing student body and growing demands on campus communications it will probably be necessary for the DTH to own its own print shop as many other campus daily newspapers do already.

Looking over the Lawler administration, noting these and many other neglects, it is easy to see how the gulf between the student body and their President has widened and how Student Government has failed to respond to the challenges of the mass university.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Adams  
UP Chairman

## Men's Room

Editors, The Tar Heel:

Sometimes editorial pages get in a rut and are no more than dull reading to be avoided. Occasionally, though, the pages come to life with a special brill-

iancy. Last week your Letters to the Editors column did just that. I refer to the exceptionally well-written letter by a student concerned about how the removal of the doors in the library men's room is responsible for suppressing a minority group in Chapel Hill. This gentleman had to have armored courage in order to say what he said; but if he only knew of the hundreds and hundreds of supporters his letter has had, the thickness of his armor would double. I have rarely heard so much enthusiasm among students after reading a letter in The Daily Tar Heel.

I have the feeling that this is the beginning of a brilliant new era of tolerance of the individual in Open City, USA. I should expect the student legislature, which no doubt has its own sympathizers of the letter to which I refer, to begin to make moves to do something about the matter. Minority groups eventually become majority groups—then they are the ones who make the laws. But until then let's be tolerant of all those who may after all have a wider view of what life is really all about anyhow than we do. They may be the ones who are squeezing the juice from the orange—while the majority only looks at its color.

Duc Griffin  
Ehringhaus

## LETTERS

The Daily Tar Heel encourages its readers to express their views on any subject of interest, but reminds them that space requirements place certain restrictions on length.

Letters should be typed, double-spaced, and not longer than two pages in length. As the editorial page is made up one or two days in advance, and the volume of correspondence is often quite large, letters may not appear until several days after they are submitted. We will make every effort, however, to print ALL letters that do not violate standards of good taste, and which bear the name and address of the sender. Names can be withheld only under most unusual circumstances.

## Heelprints

Right now, Cameron Avenue is one hole of a mess.

Definition: Arthritis — twinges in the hinges.

Jack Horner commented on a recent DTH editorial by calling the editors "mud-slingers." Didn't he mean "Clay-slingers?"

Then there's the Clarence Stone

doll—you wind it up and it throws rocks at Al Lowenstein.

The governor's race, so far as the state papers are concerned, seems to be an issue with High Preyerority.

If you think politics is easy, try straddling a fence while keeping one ear to the ground.

# U.N. Assembly

## Varied, Valuable

By STU EIZENSTAT

The 6th Annual United Nations Model General Assembly was held February 12-15 at Duke. Carolina had three delegations, one representing the United Arab Republic, another Brazil, and the third Yugoslavia. The Yugoslav delegation of Bill Lucas, Brick Oettinger, Karen Rawlins, Phil Shirley, Dave Abbott, and Stu Eizenstat, was chosen "Best Delegation". There were forty-one schools from eleven states at this unique United Nations.

Most of the delegate's time was spent in one of the four committees—Administrative and Budgetary, Economic, Political and Social, Humanitarian, and Cultural. It was at the Committee sessions that the resolutions which were to come up before the entire Assembly were submitted, debated and voted upon. The General Assembly passed three resolutions. The first was a bill submitted by Brazil and the Ivory Coast which condemned the racial policies of South Africa and imposed upon her stringent economic and strategic-supply sanctions.

The second bill that the U.N. Assembly passed was submitted by the UNC delegation representing Brazil. This resolution increased membership in both the Economic and Social Committee and the Security Council and, in effect, abolished the veto of the 5 permanent members by stating that decisions in the Council could pass by an affirmative vote of any 12 of the 15 members of the Council.

The third resolution, submitted by Kenya and favorably passed out of the Social, Humanitarian and Cultural Committee earlier in the week, was aimed at alleviating the world's refugee problem by having member nations accept a certain amount of refugees per year when this did not conflict with national laws.

The most lively moment in the Assembly came when the Soviet Bloc nations walked out of the Assembly in protest of a vote taking away their voting rights due to the extent and amount of time they were arrears in their UN payments.

The value of the model U.N. was the realization one gets of the difficulty of passing important measures due to the diversity of views within the Assembly, and the experience of seeing problems from the eyes of another nation: one was a Yugoslav, or a Brazilian or a Panamanian not an American, and thus one could appreciate and understand the foreign na-

tion's views in a real sense.

The highlight of the session was a speech by Dr. Arthur Larson, former head of the U.S.I.A. and now head of Duke's Rule of Law Center, and a major address by Tran Van Choung, former South Vietnamese Ambassador to the U.S. and the father of Madame Nhu.

Dr. Larson's address was titled "The Future Peacekeeping Role of the United Nations". He stated that successful UN operation in Congo the Organization had entered a new era, an era marked by confidence not crisis. One event making for this new era of confidence was the alleviation of the UN's financial burden through the selling of U.S. Bonds, the defeat of Russia's "troika", and the subsequent election of U Thant as the Secretary-General, and the prevention of increasing hostilities in West New Guinea.

Dr. Larson mentioned three trouble posts in the world where he felt the U.N. action should be more seriously considered: Berlin, where national forces could be replaced by U.N. forces and several U.N. offices could be established; South Viet Nam where a U.N. force would be useful; as in Lebanon; Cyprus, where a Congo-like operation is called for. Larson felt that the nations most immediately involved should finance these operations. His picture of the present and future of the U.N. was indeed encouraging.

Tough, shrewd little Tran Van Choung gave the major address to the Model U.N. As former Vietnamese Ambassador to the U.S. and later an extreme critic of the Diem regime the delegates were especially anxious to hear him.

He castigated deGaulle's proposal to neutralize Viet Nam by saying that one could not be neutral when attacked, and that in essence South Viet Nam was still neutral under the 1954 Geneva Agreement. He felt that France lost the war in Indo-China because the people realized France was fighting for her own, not Viet Nam's, interest. The Vietnamese people realize now, he continued, that America was not fighting for her own selfish reasons.

Choung gave several reasons for the lack of success of the present war against the Communist guerrillas: first, the International Control Commission established in 1954 had been ineffective; secondly, this type of war erodes the moral of a new nation yet is not "spectacular".

(Continued on Page 3)

## 'Are We Clever?'

Editors, The Tar Heel:

Oh, we are the clever ones, aren't we? We sit in our terribly collegiate rooms at seldom-used desks and whack out witty little notes to the Daily Tar Heel, advising the rest of our classmates to boycott—restaurants or attorney generals or student body presidents or perhaps just any unfortunate who stumbles into Graham Memorial, supposed haven of conservatives and liberals, CORE members and segregationists, the "I gotta cause"-types and the anti-everythings.

We fling accusations and praises, scarcely pausing to see where or to whom and usually with little information to back our statements. Midst this verbal barrage, however, shines one bright note—someone got up off his apathy and had a thought on the unpleasant situation about him and, deciding it certainly was his affair, summoned energy enough to dash down his very own opinions.

Regardless of our personal attitudes, we can't but admire these few who actually have an opinion and the courage to be unfashionable and express it.

Even more awe-inspiring is that these letter-writers have, albeit sometimes confusedly, read and used the news, editorials and letters section in forming their ideas, whether or not they agreed with the various authors. They are wiser than the student who, when questioned about a recent article, replied, "That? Oh, I don't agree with him so I didn't care about reading it."

We cannot ignore the pleas of any "side" in this question of discrimination, for as another John Donne said, "No man is an island." We are involved, and even we ostriches had best greet all this frightful reality face-to-face. We are adults, or, as our parents fervently hope, are approaching that status. We reached the "age of accountability" long ago—surely we now are old

Need any more be said?  
Sincerely,  
Alice-Anne Tucker  
256 Cobby West

- Gary Blanchard, Dave Ethridge  
Co-Editors
- Managing Editor \_\_\_\_\_ Fred Seely  
Associate Editor \_\_\_\_\_ Hugh Stevens  
News Editors \_\_\_\_\_ Mickey Blackwell  
Copy Editor \_\_\_\_\_ Linda Riggs  
Sports Editor \_\_\_\_\_ John Montague  
Asst. Sports Editor \_\_\_\_\_ Larry Tarlton  
Photo Editor \_\_\_\_\_ Jim Wallace
- Reporters:  
Kerry Sipe, Administration  
Peter Wales, Campus Affairs  
Jeff Dick, Municipal  
John Greenbaker, Student Government
- Editorial Assistants:  
Shirley Travis Nancy McCracken
- Staff Artists:  
Chip Barnard  
Science Editor \_\_\_\_\_ Mat Friedman  
Reviews \_\_\_\_\_ Henry McInnis  
Business Manager \_\_\_\_\_ Art Pearce  
Advertising Manager \_\_\_\_\_ Fred McConnell  
Asst. Business Mgr. \_\_\_\_\_ Sally Rawlings  
Asst. Advertising Mgr. \_\_\_\_\_ Woody Sobol  
Sales \_\_\_\_\_ Frank Potter  
Dick Baddour  
Bob Vanderberry
- Circulation Manager \_\_\_\_\_ John Evans  
Subscription Manager \_\_\_\_\_ Bob Holland