

In Our Opinion...

No Room In N.C. For KKK

"The God-fearing white people of the nation are in a majority and we will rule!"

This was the disgusting theme of a meeting inspired by hate and fed on ignorance.

A crowd of 2,500 at Sunday's Ku Klux Klan rally near Durham injected its occasional "Tell it like it is brother" as the South's foremost apostles of racism and ignorant-but-absolute white supremacy preached their doctrine, represented by this statement of one Klan leader: "As long as white people are gathered together as they are today no group of Negroes can beat us at the ballot box or anywhere."

When one realizes that the members of the Knights of the KK are American human beings, he cannot help but experience at least a mild feeling of nausea and fright as he wonders what the future of humanity might be.

We bow our heads in shame when we hear the proud announcement that North Carolina is the nation's foremost Klan state. We bow our heads, then we look in

the direction of Raleigh. Malcolm Seawell, former head of the Governor's Committee on Law and Order, made a brave attempt this summer to have the Klan erased from the state's books of legitimate organizations. He found little sympathy from the direction of the governor.

When we see this organization spreading such inhuman propaganda, we cannot conceive of what might happen if it is allowed to continue to prosper in the South.

It is our feeling that the state, led by Gov. Dan K. Moore, should make every legal effort to relieve the state of this loathsome burden. The question was raised from the speaker's platform at Sunday's rally, "Is the South going to rise again?" And the answer came in shouts, "Yeah."

We like to think the South will rise — the south along with the rest of the country. Rise above the many of the ever-present problems that plague our society today. Unfortunately, the KKK can but impede any hoped-for progress.

How Long Can It Last?

(William B. Schwartz III, 21-year-old UNC senior, is an honors student in Political Science. More pertinent to issues at hand, he is a resident and native of Atlanta, Ga. After Lester Maddox's victory in the Georgia Democratic gubernatorial primary last week, we talked with Schwartz at length, and found his sentiments much like our own on this matter.)

We had hardly walked into the DTH office yesterday afternoon when an enraged version of Schwartz called to ask us if we were aware of the latest Georgia political development. It is our feeling that this issue is a matter of concern to the whole South and the whole nation, but certainly more poignantly to Georgians.

We are happy to bring the following editorial, written by Schwartz, which speaks our feeling on this subject with the extra personal feeling that only a Georgia citizen could inject.)

The nation was shocked last week when Lester Maddox won the Democratic Party nomination for governor of Georgia.

At 11:15 a.m. yesterday, Atlanta Congressman Charles Longstreet Weltner withdrew as the Democratic Party nominee to succeed himself for a third term in the U. S. House of Representatives. In his statement, Weltner said that the man who was known as the "symbol of violence and oppression" in Georgia was now the Democratic Party's gubernatorial candidate.

Because he could not compromise with hate, Weltner felt he was unable to support the Democratic party nominee as he, as a Democratic candidate was sworn to do.

Therefore he withdrew, stating, "I love the Congress, but I will give up my office before I will give up my principles."

As Georgians, we weep that a young, liberal voice will no longer represent us in Congress. As Americans, we weep that one of the states has fallen to such depths that a dynamic, dedicated man is forced to abandon his office because he cannot support an evil man.

We marvel at Weltner's display of courage. Most men would compromise with anything in order to remain in Congress. Yet Weltner has courageously shown the nation how serious is the state of Georgia and, indeed, the South.

There are many complex reasons why the South has suddenly become so reactionary. One of these, unfortunately, is that men such as Lester Maddox are viciously stimulating the latent emotions of poor Southerners.

The South is a valuable annex — an area that has contributed much to the Union, an area that has grown and prospered. How long can we continue when, in less than a week, these two events occur in one of the leading southern states?

We attempt to answer the question and cannot.

"Through the centuries, Chinese youth have maintained great reverence of their rich cultural heritage. . . ."



In Letters

More Latin Madness

Professor Irked

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel: Readers of Friday's DTH were provided not only with a front-page news article and a long editorial about recent resignations from the Department of Romance Languages but also a crudely scrawled cartoon on the same subject. Despite the impropriety and the distortions of the article and the editorial, it was the cartoon which most attracted my attention: a hulking ogre flogging equally unattractive but purer figures to their knees, with the caption "And if anyone doesn't like it, he can quit!"

Who are these oppressed but unlabelled wretches? Surely not Profs. McCrary, Jones, or Reedy, who in their short time as subjects of Dey Hall's Ming the Merciless not only have been promoted to the rank of associate professor and granted large annual raises in salary but also have been given the right to create and teach any Spanish course they pleased.

Not being ruthless ingrates, these men would certainly be chagrined at such a representation of their situation here. Ming's victims can't be the men who departed earlier, for in each case a different and very personal reason existed, not involving the chairman in any way whatever.

Surely not K. Schwartz, visiting professor for this year from Miami (Coral Gables), a man whose deep, general dislike for Chapel Hill and its university was conceived upon his arrival last July and announced before he had taught a single day at U.N.C. last summer.

Your handling of this matter has done Dr. Hardre and the whole University a serious and unwarranted disservice. Frederick W. Vogler, Associate Professor, Dept. of Romance Languages.

DTH Challenged

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel: According to Webster's Dictionary, "journalism" is "writing characterized by a decent representation of facts or description of events without an attempt at interpretation."

If one holds this definition to be accurate, it seems obvious that the DTH in its front page article, its editorial, and cartoon of September 20 concerning the four resignations of UNC Spanish professors, has strayed wide of ethical fairness, objectivity and accuracy.

While the facts presented in the first two articles are true in themselves, the exclusion

of other pertinent information and the general layout of the articles give the uninformed student the impression that the Spanish Department is being plagued by a mass exodus, and that in each case, the cause is the same: great difficulty and administrative tension in the department.

For example, I did not read in either of the articles that Dr. Keller will receive a 70 per cent raise in pay at Kentucky. Also, Mr. Campbell, at the end of his article, says that last year there were four resignations from the Romance Language Department.

Reading the statement in its context, one gains the impression that the causes of these four resignations are directly connected with the causes of the resignations of Dr. Keller, Dr. McCrary, Dr. Jones, and Dr. Reedy.

What is interesting here is that Mr. Campbell fails to give the reasons behind last year's resignation, and leaves the rest to the uninformed reader's imagination. One must also appreciate the startling talents of the editorial writer in understanding the real meaning of Dr. Keller's words, and in interpreting them to show that "his expression spoke words he did not want to say about his reasons for leaving."

This is even more absurd when one realizes that it is used as the basis for the remark that Dr. Hardre is the "pivot point of the unrest."

As for the cartoon by Mr. Strauch, it may merit space in the DTH, but it does not deserve further comment.

Something is definitely amiss in the DTH. I challenge its staff to explain and correct the situation.

Richard Doner

Greenbacker Praised

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel: John Greenbacker's article in Friday DTH was an encouraging spark in what hopefully can indeed flame into a genuine "educational revolution" at U.N.C.

For the first time in a long while we have in Bob Powell a student government president who has expressed his eagerness to confront the deeper problems of the student in

the University rather than provide us with but another worthless display of sand - box politics.

And high on the list of these deeper problems of the University is its failure to meet head - on the difficulties accompanying its evolution toward the "multiversity" complex which U.N.C. must inevitably become.

The University, increasingly burdened with the responsibilities of "mass education," must act now to avoid academic ossification and to educate thinking individuals rather than mindless cogs for an institutionalized organization society.

To be true to its purpose the University must exist for people and not for things, and must enable its people to create rather than regurgitate the culture and knowledge of their age.

This is the University's higher responsibility, but it is being forgotten each year as the University grows by degrees into an imperial institution having comparatively little intellectual orientation beyond the lecture and the "quiz."

The "quiet revolution" must arrest this drifting course if the higher purpose of education is to be maintained at U.N.C.

Like most revolutions, this "educational revolution" needs a middle - class inspiration. So if we regard South Building as the administrative aristocracy and the students as the lowly proletariat, we may turn to the faculty for direction.

And indeed, a substantial number among them have been awaiting these stirrings from the "masses," and are more than eager to enlist in a movement so near their Socratic, pedagogical hearts.

On the "proletarian" level we have our activist cells among the students, and leadership in Bob Powell and others.

Perhaps now too, in light of Greenbacker's contribution, we have in the DTH a mass medium to act as catalyst in combining these disparate elements to force a reaction.

So now that the opportunity is ripe, let us all unite in this "quiet revolution," and make education at UNC an exciting, memorable, and creative learning experience.

David Rothman

Viet Nam Fighting On Live Television

Live TV pictures from Viet Nam will be technically feasible within a year, the president of NBC predicted recently.

Julian Goodman told the annual Conference of Radio and Television News Directors that this could be accomplished through the early bird satellite.

No longer, then might the American public see Viet Nam battles only after learning their outcomes via radio and TV newscasts. Battle-watching, in fact, might become as popular — and safe — a spectator sport as baseball.

After all, nobody would know ahead of time whether Hanoi or Washington had the winning team.

But, realizing that television frequently influences the events covered thereby, one can predict to an extent how it will affect the fighting. Here is "Viet Nam Report, 1967":

Deodorant commercial.

Then:

"Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. In a few minutes the battle of the week will begin. Right now, however, while everybody is singing the National Anthem, we would like to make the following announcements:

"This program is being brought to you courtesy of Remington Rand, Lockheed Aircraft and the General Motors Tank Division.

"And now for the day's late news flashes:

"SAIGON—Ignoring the pleas of the Constituent Assembly, Premier Ky decided to remain in office until the new TV season begins. Ky said he wanted to star in another spectacular with Bob Hope.

"WASHINGTON—Officials expressed concern today over Premier Ky's decision to remain in office.

"Secretary of State Dean Rush told reporters today, 'He's a good man, but we simply cannot afford to keep him on—not when this would cause America's Nielsen ratings to drop sharply among our viewers at the United Nations.'

"PARIS—French President Charles De Gaulle said today he opposes live televising of the Viet Nam conflict as an unnecessary venture.

"De Gaulle told newsmen, 'If you're really interested in the outcome, I'll be glad to furnish you with plenty of films we shot in Indo China in the 1950's.'

"HANOI—President Ho Chi Minh has asked for massive Chinese intervention in the Viet Nam war after being told he must escalate further if he wishes to pre-empt 'Batman.'"

Seriously, though, the networks probably won't be able to bring you any live battles at all. Network presidents will most likely realize their companies can make more money by showing "I Love Lucy" re-runs.

UNC Called Inferior; Student Refutes Prof

By FRANK DAVIS

One day last spring as I walked to class, invigorated by the fresh, clean air and beautiful aura of the campus, I said to myself, "It's really great to be at UNC, a first rate university."

It seemed as though everyone in class was thinking on the same subject, or nearly so, for little effort was expended in the transition from Latin America to the relative merits of the colleges, universities and graduate schools in the United States.

We students of UNC naturally placed our school in the highest esteem, but our revered professor looked at the question differently, saying, "UNC is a first rate, second class university."

He supported his position, pointing out that:

1. The curricular program is not very broad.
2. The size of the graduate school is not so large.
3. The publications of the faculty are not so many as those of "first rate" universities.

We students responded in an understandable manner, choosing to remain silent rather than show disrespect (or damage our grades).

The professor's statement has bothered me ever since. It must not go unchallenged and it shall not. UNC cannot be called a "second class" institution because of these three factors alone.

Rather one must look at the results of the institution's efforts to improve student abilities for contributing to and enjoying society's advantages as well as recognizing and solving its problems.

Regarding the question in this manner, let us now say that a university can be fairly judged only according to the merits of its students, professors, and alumni.

They determine, through the challenges they pose for themselves and the way they recognize and meet such challenges, the product of their university.

The UNC product speaks for itself and needs no enumeration or glorification. Distinguished professors, alumni and students constantly prove its worth.

We will continue to do so as long as we regard our present position, and that of our fellow man, as one which can be improved through positively oriented programs, realizing that improvement does not necessarily require "long and slowly developed" processes, but requires recognition of our needs and ambitions plus driving desire to fulfill them.

So let us assume our role and declare to those who judge us that it is our product, not our status, that we are concerned with.

Issues From Back Issues

(Issues that made the news in The Daily Tar Heel on this date five, 10, and 15 years ago.)

Oct. 4, 1961
Two Carolina students who police said were caught in the act of painting "UNC" on various parts of the State College campus in Raleigh last Friday night, were given a strange sentence in court the next day — the day of the State — UNC football game.

Judge Preflow Windborne made the punishment fit the crime. He sentenced them to not attend the State - Carolina football game and to help the maintenance crews remove the bright blue "UNC's" from the buildings, the Memorial Bell Tower and Riddick Stadium.

Oct. 4, 1956
The Philanthropic Literary Society has passed a resolution admitting Elvis Presley to its membership.

Dave Mundy introduced the resolution which was passed by a large majority.

In discussion of the resolution, Sen. Mundy said the "gyrations of Presley" reminded him of some of the "Representatives of the Phi."

Oct. 4, 1951
Every red blooded Tar Heel is expected to be on hand today to give the football team an unforgettable sendoff when they leave from in front of Woolen Gym at 1 p.m. on the first leg of its trek to Texas, according to Cy Minnett, head cheerleader.

The Daily Tar Heel

74 Years of Editorial Freedom

Fred Thomas, Editor

Tom Clark, Business Manager

Scott Goodfellow, Managing Ed.

John Greenbacker, Assoc. Ed.

Kerry Sipe, Feature Editor

Bill Amlong, News Editor

Ernest Robl, Asst. News Editor

Sandy Treadwell, Sports Editor

Bob Orr, Asst. Sports Editor

Jock Lauterer, Photo Editor

Steve Bennett, Lytt Stamps,

Lynn Harvel, Judy Sipe, Don

Campbell, Peytie Fearington

Staff Writers

Drummond Bell, Owen Davis,

Bill Hass, Joey Leigh

Sports Writers

Jeff MacNelly, Sports Cartoonist

Bruce Strauch, Ed. Cartoonist

John Askew, Ad. Mgr.

The Daily Tar Heel is the official news publication of the University of North Carolina and is published by students daily except Mondays, examination periods and vacations.

Second class postage paid at the Post Office in Chapel Hill, N. C. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$9 per year. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Co., Inc., 501 W. Franklin St., Chapel Hill, N. C.