varadid .D. L.U.

7. H 2 3 7 3 11 Brown

In Our Opinion...

Why Have Morrison House Names Not Been Approved?

The postponement of the dedication of Morrison Residence College, originally set for Oct. 23, came as quite a disappointment to the men who live there and had looked forward to the dedication ceremonies during homecoming weekend.

The hold - up is due to the fact that the Building and Grounds Committee has not yet approved the list of names for the houses (floors) in Morrison.

All the house names — already approved by the Dean of Men — were selected in honor of distinguished UNC alumni except one. This exception was James Iredell, a state citizen who pioneered in the establishment of the University. We doubt the committee's failure to act is a result of disapproval of any of the proposed names.

Why, then, has nothing been done since the committee was sent a list of the house names last May 23?

Apparently, the committee just hasn't got around to it.

We realize that the Building and Grounds Committee has much pressing business which it must handle. We know that the commit-

tee does not have weekly or monthly meetings like other committees do. We also understand that the fall meeting of the committee has been delayed in order to have an architect present to discuss certain construction proposals for the committee's consideration.

Nevertheless, we feel this group has let the men of Morrison down by its failure to understand the importance of having the house names approved before homecoming weekend — a time when many of those men being honored would have been able to be at Morrison for the dedication.

We can't understand why a brief meeting could not have been held sometime in the past four-and-a-half months to consider this matter. Or, if such a meeting were too impractical, why copies of the quite explicit letter which the the committee's chairman received could not have been sent to the members for their approval.

It seems like such a routine matter — certainly a segment of establishing a residence college which the men of Morrison never thought would jam up their whole operation.

But it has.

Editorial Odds 'n' Ends

UNC students aren't the only ones who are faced with traffic sticker problems and increased food prices this fall. In fact, they're not the only ones in this town.

The Chapel Hill School Board Monday night approved a plan to raise the price of local school lunches by five - cents.

Look out Lenoir Hall — there could be a large influx of business as a result of an elementary school student backlash.

About those stickers. It's those Chapel Hill school kids. They've found a way to lick the problem. Students who ride school buses are required to have stickers to get on buses.

But school bus supervisor R.D. Smith told the School Board this week some of the students aren't bringing their stickers with them. So when somebody shows up without a sticker, students inside the bus pass one out the window.

At first we thought it was a big economy drive much like President Johnson luanched when he entered the White House.

We walked into Student Government offices and found them very dimly lighted. Upon investigation we learned this was not an attempt by the student body president to stretch SG dollars. It was just that a couple of their long flourescent tubes had burned out and they were having trouble getting them replaced.

Even Dr. Leo Jenkins' support-

From Back Issues

Issues that made the news in The Daily Tar Heel on this date five, 10 and 15 years ago.

Oct. 8, 1961

Two men students, rommates, were found dead in their beds in Cobb Dormitory about 11:30 a.m. yesterday. A short time later a coroner's jury was organized. After viewing the bodies in the room and hearing testimony from several students, the jury ruled death was due to unknown causes and ordered autopsies performed.

Oct. 8, 1956

It's cost a buck to get a haircut in Graham Memorial's barbership from now on.

The student union's officials yesterday said they had raised prices from 85 - cents to \$1, effective immediately. Oct. 8, 1951

At its regular weekly meeting Sunday night, the Carolina Political Union unanimously adopted a resolution protesting discrimination among students on the basis of "race, creed or other irrelevant considerations" and specifically advocated admission of all students to all athletic events on an equal

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

ers don't agree with him 100 per cent.

In his column "By Ways Of The News" in the Raleigh News and Observer yesterday, Charles Craven stated his personal desire to see ECC become a part of the Consolidated University.

"I found math to be just as tough there as I found it at Carolina," Craven said. "And I'd like to say that Dr. Leo Jenkins has contributed a great deal to the school's fine development."

Then Craven took issue with Dr. Jenkins:

"But when Dr. Jenkins, in arguing that the legislature would be non - partisan as to EC appropriations, says that the legislature has proven over the years it has the ability to make intelligent decisions," that's rash. Very rash.

"Why I know even legislators who wouldn't go out on a limb with such a statement as that."

UNC Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson can take comfort in viewing a problem of great seriousness at UNC - Charlotte.

The entire student body at Charlotte was sent home yesterday morning because of a "severe water shortage."

Our Chancellor has his fair share of problems. But at least he has been fortunate enough not to have a drought to complicate matters.

The Baily Tar Feel 74 Years of Editorial Freedom

Fred Thomas, Editor

Scott Goodfellow, Managing Ed.
John Greenbacker ... Assoc. Ed.
Kerry Sipe Feature Editor
Bill Amlong News Editor
Ernest Robl .. Asst. News Editor
Sandy Treadwell .. Sports Editor
Bob Orr Asst. Sports Editor
Jock Lauterer Photo Editor
Chuck Benner Night Editor
Steve Bennett, Lytt Stamps,
Lynn Harvel, Judy Sipe, Don
Campbell, Cindy Borden.....

Drummond Bell, Owen Davis, Bill Hass, Joey Leigh

Jeff MacNelly Sports Cartoonist
Bruce Strauch Ed. Cartoonist

Second class postage paid at the Post Office in Chapel Hill, N. C. Subscription rates: \$4.50 per semester; \$8 per year. Printed by the Chapel Hill Publishing Co., Inc., 501 W. Franklin St., Chapel Hill, N. C.

Letters To The Editer

Anti-Semitism In Schwartz Case?

Mrs. Schwartz Reacts

Mr. Vogler misdates our dislike of Chapel Hill. As he was not here, he was not aware of some extremely unpleasant correspondence between the Romance Language Department here and my husband in Miami (Coral Gables) which started in February, 1966, three months after my husband had in good faith and extreme naivete signed this year's contract.

This was quite different from previous correspondence in which Dr. Hardre expressed hopes for a long, productive association over the years.

The unpleasantness was both

we arrived in Chapel Hill on July first. We have been told we arrived at the wrong time, but when else would someone with three school age children, who was to start teaching later in July, arrive?

For over eight days, no one remotely connected with the University acknowledged my existence.

This did not happen in Clinton, New York; in Waterville, Maine; in Burlington, Vermont; in Fayetteville, Arkanasa; nor in Miami (Coral Gables), Florida.

Everything nice that had been told us about Chapel Hill as a place to live with regards to school, housing, and other everyday matters turned out to be, to put it politely, hopeful overstatements. We were shocked at the prices of necessities. Nobody ever bother-

ed to give us a catalog (and still has not).

No wonder it was not necessary for school to be in session for us to have a general dislike for this place. I was treated as beyond the pale even though I am not Irish.

Was this, I wonder, because

I had the "appalling bad taste" to have had a fourth child in my late thirties (people in Miami (Coral Gables) like babies!); or was it because, perhaps, I married a man who was raised in the Jewish faith?

Mrs. Kessel Schwartz DTH Malicious

l'm sure I speak on behalf of other recent Ph.D. graduates in romance languages in expressing my own personal shock and disgust over your editorial of September 30 commenting on the resignation of four faculty members from the Department of Romance Languages.

Such malicious supposition is completely uncalled for, particularly when so many of us who spent many years as graduate students in the department realize that the substance of your coverage is no more than a mixture of half-truths and downright lies.

Most unforgivable of all is your slanderous attack on the chairman, Dr. Jacques Hardre, a gentleman who has constantly strived in the most fair manner to build an even greater department, who has devoted practically all his time

What Ya Gonna Do When The Well Runs Dry . . .

and energy to the overall development of what is possibly the most admirable and challenging program of graudate study in the country, and who has always gone out of his way to be both counselor and friend to graduates and undergraduates alike

undergraduates alike.

I suggest you speak with his students on the subject; and, while you're at it, consult a few of those "other leading department members" (and — mean leading members), with whom Dr. Hardre has for some time been in a "state of constant disagreement."

You might be surprise; and certain others might be deservedly embarassed.

The department in Chapel Hill, of which so many of us are proud, was, like other distinguished departments, built by great men, leaders who always demonstrated a serious and honest concern for everything and everyone involved and who, above all, displayed a fidelity toward the department and its ideals.

You can be well assured that Dr. Hardre is carrying on the admirable tradition established and developed by such figures as U. T. Holmes, Alfred G. Enstrom, W.L. Wiley, and Nicolas Adams; and you can be further assured that he has had and will continue to have the faithful support and cooperation of these leading mem-

It appears to me that you owe Dr. Hardre, as well as the department iself, a humble apology for a gross injustice.

James M. Villas Asst. Prof. of French Univ. of Missouri

Militariot Ad

Editor, The Dally Tar Heel:

I deem it only proper to
take not of a major step forward in the educational opportunities available to UNC stu-

Since the offerings of the university are somewhat limited, it is always a matter for rejoicing to hear of supplemental programs made available by other public agencies in areas in which the university has fallen short. Specifically, I refer to the educational program now offered by the United States Army, as advertised in the October 4 DTH:

"Is parachuting your cup of tea? Killing silently? Blowing bridges? Join Green Berets (army reserves), learn these skills . . . Lt. Werlien." In our enlightened age, we all are well aware that each and every person should have the opportunity to develop his intrinsic talents. Likewise, it is only just that each individual be permitted to pursue

his own personal interests.

Heretofore the university has been grossly unfair to those whose basic talents and interests lie in such realms as parachuting, bridge - blowing, and silent killing.

But now, thanks to Werlien

and "the greatest educational institution in the world," those whose "cup to tea" is silent murder, etc., have full opportunity to acquire these skills.

As a small token of our appreciation, I humbly suggest that Werlien be offered a

chair by the university. What

a distinction it would be for UNC to have its very own Kenan Professor of silent killing. Larry Walker Dept. of Political Science

Theater Bleeds

Editor, The Daily Tar Heel:

It's trivial, but typical. Chapel Hill's own omnivorous, pillaging, repulsive, regurgitation called the "New" Varsity Theatre has again scrambled to squeeze, bleed, or otherwise "take" the students of U.N.C.

Last year, some remember, the "theatre" imposed prices as high as two dollars for a single, regular movie ticket. Also was erected a slapdash cardboard sign with "New" Varsity Theatre scribbled on in magic marker.

Inside, the floor was still stained, the seats little improved, the projectionist still incompetent, and the air still stank.

Today one can see "Gold-finger for \$1.00. Sunday (P)

Today one can see "Gold-finger for \$1.00; Sunday "Dr. No" for \$1.00. Last Sunday, those with cars could drive to Durham to see James Bond (if those with cars wanted to see or hadn't already seen James Bond) in both movies at Durham's Carolina Theater, for the same price of \$1.00.

er, for the same price of \$1.00.

Assuming that a Carolina gentleman would be accompanied by a lady, or that a UNC coed would be escorted by a male, and assuming too (a large assumption) that neither film could be missed, the price inconsistancy of two dollars for the same enjoyment, could mean the difference between Lenoir Hall or the Rat.

It is trivial, but it is typical.

Arthur M. French

Neutrality Sought In Southeast Asia

(Editor's note — The following article appeared in the Aug. 6 edition of The Economist, a widely respected British publication. It was written by their Asian correspondent, who unfortunately remains unidentified.)

One strategy that might produce pages in Indeed.

One strategy that might produce peace in Indochina would be for America and its allies simply to pull out of Vietnam (taking anyone whose life was in danger with them) and allow Hanoi to reunify the country in its own fashion.

The Vietnamese might spend a decade rebuilding their country under a communist regime, the Thais might put a quick finish to the insurgency in their north-east, Laos and Cambodia might have peace and unity and China might remain strictly non-aggressive and concentrate on its mountainous economic task at home.

In five weeks in Indochina during which I spoke to many people who were bitterly critical of the American operation I encountered only one person who advocated this solution: a British Labour member of parliament who had not been to Vietnam.

The unfortunate fact, as most critics of the Americans admitted, is that a humiliating American withdrawal from Vietnam now might well be the very thing that would encounter the Chinese to move into a phrase of active expansion. Now that the West has got so deeply into the quagmire, armed resolution might be the only sensible policy—but there are limits to the way this resolution should be applied.

Anything that forces North Vietnam closer to Peking is clearly mortgaging Indochina's future. The possibility of North Vietnam taking a Titoist line — in time — is a real one, and should be the West's goal. forcing Cambodia closer to Peking would be also unfortunate and unnecessary.

And the West should think for a long time before doing anything that makes it harder for Peking to come into the United Nations and begin a dialogue with the rest of the world. While no Chinese troops are engaged in Vietnam, some doubt about China's intention to swallow Indochina must surely be entertained.

It would be quite wrong to ask for or expect a commitment to the West from Laos or Cambodia, whatever their desire to remain free of communism. Commitment would impose intolerable strains upon them, as has already been demonstrated in Laos. South Vietnam cannot be neutral immediately as even the Buddhists appreciate — it must either fight the Vietcong or go under.

But neutrality might be possible, with luck, in a few years' time. Meanwhile everything should be done to defuse the issues that are troubling the area.

Cannot south Vietnam and Thailand, America's allies, be persuaded to see reason over Cambodia's frontiers? Laotian neutrality should not be accomplished further. A line cannot be held in Indochina by military strength alone unless the West or America is ready to recolonise these countries.

They cannot be bastions of the West. Neutral, they should have a chance of developing their way of life in freedom — and of providing the line we need, without commitment.

UN C CHARLOTTE TO THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

THE BALLY TAR MEEL

John Greenbacker

Reidsville Meet Is Great Idea

This afternoon in Reidsville, a group of 30 student leaders and 30 faculty members and administrators will begin a special two - day conference on the student's role in University policy making.

The conference is the idea of

The conference is the idea of Student Body President Bob Powell, and the topics for discussion will cover a wide variety of subjects.

Some of the suggested topics

are fascinating:

The possibility for student participation in the admissions process may be discussed. The student's evaluation of admissions material might throw new insights into student selection.

Students might participate in programs that evaluate and appoint faculty and administration personnel. The administrators that sit on the present committees are not the ones that have to sit through a professor's lectures, and are not usually informed enough to determine the quality of ed-

ucational experience the professor is presenting to the student.
Student participation in the

process of determing student fees and the school calendar has also been suggested.

The problem of curriculum planning has been recommen-

ded by Powell as one that with the students' viewpoint in mind.

Types of grading systems are always of interest to students and the initiation of a

dents, and the initiation of a pass - fail system of grading will probably be a point of debate.

How the University should relate itself to the draft and reservice poses anoth-

relate itself to the draft and military service poses another problem. Many students feel grades should not be a criterion in the draft process, and would recommend that the University not cooperate with such a system of selec-

The question of the overall effectiveness of the Honor System as a method of main-

taining academic discipline is likely to be discussed. Though all these topics bear

close examination, the overall problem of education reform will be the highlight of the entire conference. This, after all, is at the very heart of the purpose of college experience for any student, and it is the subject that has most concerned Student Government officials since the begin-

ning of school.

It must be stressed that this conference is spawned in the between the faculty, administration and the student body.

Powell and the other students participating have as their goal a high degree of mutual understanding between the three groups, for unless understanding is present, there can be no progress.

For these reasons above, the Reidsville conference is a truly fine idea, and it is a credit to the foresight of Student Government and the University administration alike.