

Committee passes pre-Christmas exam plan

A modified calendar plan which would schedule final exams before Christmas vacation will be submitted by the Chancellor's Committee on the Calendar to the Committee on Instructional Personnel for approval.

The proposed calendar sets fall semester to begin in late August with exams ending before the Christmas break. Spring semester would begin in January and end early in May.

Dr. Lillian Y. Lehman, chairman of the committee, said the proposed calendar would be sent to the Committee on Instructional Personnel, where it could be amended or rejected. The Committee on Instructional Personnel is composed of deans and representatives from various areas on campus. Recommendations would then be sent to Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson.

"Concerning the calendars of Carolina, Duke and N.C. State, it is desirable that,

although they need not be precisely identical, there should be a pattern sufficiently common so that students can benefit from the opportunities that are available at each University," Sitterson said Tuesday.

Calendars from Duke and N.C. State were reviewed but the major consideration was the Trustees' Policy on the Calendar. The policy states the school year must be composed of two semesters of 17 weeks each, exclusive of vacations, and a summer session of eleven weeks divided into two five-and-a-half week sessions.

Pros and cons of the proposed calendar were discussed by committee members and members of the administration directly involved with the calendar change.

The Calendar Committee consists of Dr. Lehman; Dr. Donald Tarbet, director of the Summer Session; Dr. Frank M. Duffey, associate dean of the College of Arts and Sciences; Dr. William Straughn,

professor of bacteriology; Dr. Harvey Smith, director of the Social Research Section; Lana Starnes, a sophomore from Charlotte; and Charles O'Kelley, a senior from Asheville.

Also in attendance at the meeting were Student Body President Tom Bello, Associate Dean of Student Affairs James O. Cansler, Records and Registration Director Raymond E. Strong, Assistant Director of Personnel Department Robert

K. Orr and Associate Dean of the General College John K. Nelson.

Strong said the proposed schedule would allow his department to get out fall term grades before the beginning of spring term and that ineligibles could be notified before summer session. On the other hand, he said, registration tickets for fall semester would have to be pulled at the same time as those for the second summer session.

He was of the opinion, however, that he could adopt to the change without great difficulty.

Cansler felt the question was unanswerable until it is seen how it will affect the faculty and public schools. Although the public schools' opening coincides with the new opening, a problem will be created at the other end, he said.

Dr. Lehman pointed out a lame duck

session will still be present (the two weeks between Thanksgiving and final exams). The difference, however, as Dr. Nelson pointed out, was the length of the break.

Other problems that might be encountered include basketball scheduling and spring sports. Rabb, who was not present at the meeting, is concerned with coordinating the calendar with already contracted sports events.

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years O

Chapel Hill, North Caroli

Vol. 78, No. 82

Founded February 23, 1893

JAN 15 1971

IFC rescinds policy on visitation checks

by Jessica Hancher
Staff Writer

Inter Fraternity Council (IFC) court has decided it will no longer check fraternity houses for visitation violations. Responsibility for checking violations will be left to individual fraternity houses.

A statement issued by IFC Monday night said, "IFC recognizes the right of each house to self-determine its visitation policy....Each house will set up and enforce its own visitation policy."

Pete Hall, president of IFC, emphasized each house's visitation policy must still be within administration guidelines.

Assistant Dean of Men Dick Baddour spoke for Associate Dean of Student Affairs James O. Cansler in agreeing to the "no-check" policy of IFC court.

However, the administration said if any house sets up a visitation policy outside of the administration guidelines, that fraternity would not be recognized as having visitation.

All but two of the fraternities have signed an agreement with the University setting their policies within administration bounds and agreeing to elect officers to see the policy is carried out accordingly.

The two, St. Anthony Hall and Zeta Psi, have signed no agreement at all. However, Ed Wells, president of St. Anthony's, said the agreement would be

signed as intended. No representative of Zeta Psi could be reached for comment.

Pete Hall said the IFC checking-up policy had caused some bad feelings among people in fraternities. "Other living units don't have their police checking up on visitation, so why should fraternities?" he said. "Having a representative of IFC checking on houses is like a resident of Stacy dorm checking on residents of Lewis dorm."

Hall said IFC should help fraternities with their problems rather than enforce their rules for them and act like "Big Brother."

Any violation of the visitation policy in fraternity houses will still be handled by IFC court.

The action comes in conjunction with student protests over the administration visitation policy.

IFC endorsed a self-determination policy on visitation last March. Several other campus groups, including Student Legislature and the Association of Women Students, have also endorsed self-determination.

The latest developments began last Tuesday when Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson issued a statement turning down the differential housing policy passed by the Consolidated University President's Consultative Committee. The decision was reached by deans of student affairs of the six branches of the University of North Carolina.

Student Body President Tommy Bello responded to the decision by urging individual students "to assume the responsibility of a stance of non-cooperation with the Chapel Hill administration in the matter of visitation."

His statement called for massive non-cooperation and asked students not to obey rules put down by the University concerning visitation and not to report other students for visitation violations.

Bello said the stance of non-cooperation would mean students would either follow rules set by their own conscience or those set down by a majority of residents in their living unit.



Tuesday was a good day. Good to be outside because it wasn't cold and, for a change, it didn't rain. It was a good day to sit around and read and perhaps get in a little study under the trees as this girl, who chose to remain anonymous, did. (Staff Photot by Cliff Kolovson)

With legal fees

SG might help Gothard

by Bob Chapman
Staff Writer

Legal defense for Steve Gothard, a UNC student charged with disorderly conduct and resisting arrest Friday afternoon in James Dormitory, may be funded by the Student Government, Student Body President Tommy Bello said Tuesday.

"There is no law that permits police

officers to act like they have apparently conducted themselves in this incident. The legal aid fund is for these type of incidents," Bello said.

The Student Legislature appropriated \$1,500 last year for the legal fund. "We have obtained legal services in the past," Bello said. "From the facts of this case which The Daily Tar Heel reported, Student Government is considering

defending the student involved if a case can be made, charging the police."

Bello said to his knowledge, the student wants to be defended. Bello and Gothard will meet with lawyers Thursday at 4 p.m. to discuss the case.

The Student Legislature discussed Tuesday night adding additional support of use of the Legal Aid Fund to defend the student by passing a resolution in favor of the Student Government's decision to aid the student. Use of the Legal Aid Fund is controlled by Student Government.

Bello said that defense of Gothard will be the first use of the funds this year except for the incorporation of the service. Student Government expressed its willingness earlier this fall to use the Legal Aid Fund to help a student charged with violation of the open housing policy of the administration. The student, however, refused to carry the case to court.

Gothard was charged with disorderly conduct and resisting arrest, and another student had a camera confiscated following a non-productive narcotics raid by four Chapel Hill Police officers on the ninth floor of James dormitory late Friday afternoon.

There were no arrests in connection to the drug charges and neither Gothard nor the owner of the camera were involved in the raid.

Election results

Jeff Wood has been elected president of the freshman class after the voting of freshman students on campus Tuesday. Wood defeated three other candidates for the post.

The unofficial vote tabulation is as follows: Wood, 213; Ford Coley, 167; Foster Ockerman, 153; Jack Knight, 125.



Judging from the stack of books on Harry Carwell's study carrel, he must have at least six exams in two days

next week. Well stick with it, Harry. And don't oversleep on exam day. (Staff Photo by Johnny Lindahl)

Money problems plague bus plans

by Evans Witt
Staff Writer

Where to obtain the money for the proposed bus system was the problem Monday night for the Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen at their weekly meeting.

George Lathrop, chairman of the Joint Transportation Study Commission, presented two proposals for the bus system for Carrboro, Chapel Hill and the University campus and gave the Commission's recommendation on the proposals.

The Aldermen examined the proposals and delayed action on which, if either, of the two to accept and where to obtain the money to pay the expected deficit from one year's operation.

The two proposals Lathrop presented to the Aldermen were from the Raleigh City Coach Lines and the Gastonia Bus Lines.

Lathrop transmitted the recommendation of the Study Commission that the Raleigh proposal be accepted, even though the expected deficit from the Raleigh proposal is some

\$16,000 more than the one expected under the Gastonia proposal. The deficit foreseen by the Raleigh company totaled about \$30,000, while the other system's deficit was projected at only around \$14,000.

The most significant difference in the two proposals, and the one cited by the commission in making its recommendation, was the Raleigh contract would contain a 30-day cancellation clause. The Gastonia proposal contains a more complicated and more expensive clause if the towns want to cancel the systems' operation.

"In other words, we would be paying Deaton (head of the Raleigh company) about \$2.00 per hour to take the risk of investment on the buses," Lathrop said.

There is also a difference between the two proposals in regards to service to the campus and transfers between the campus and town routes.

The Gastonia proposal would provide more hours of service to the campus and would embody relatively simple transfer procedures. Transfers under the Raleigh proposal would be more complicated and possibly more expensive.

Friday is final deadline for housing cancellation

by Karen Jurgenson
Staff Writer

Those students presently residing in a residence hall who do not plan to live on campus next semester must cancel their University housing in person or in writing by Friday.

If a student fails to do this, it will result in a full-room rent charge for the spring semester, according to Robert Kepner, director of the Department of Residence Life.

Room rent for those who do wish to live on campus is due in full by Jan. 15.

Among those students who must register for rooms next semester are all sophomore and junior transfers, male or female.

The University policy is all sophomore and junior transfers must live in a dormitory for two semesters, through their first academic year of enrollment.

Exceptions are made for medical excuses issued by personal physicians or by the infirmary, marriage and if the student is 21 or older.

This is the first year male transfer

students have been required to live in residence halls.

Lee Hood, junior class president, in investigating the transfer ruling, found the majority of administration officials defend the policy on grounds of its educational (for the student) and financial (for the University) value.

Having run on a platform of eliminating forced University housing for junior transfer students, Hood and his fellow officers are investigating the situation and broadening their efforts to include sophomore transfers.

Hood has discovered a computer check will be run during the first two weeks of spring semester to see if all transfer students who are supposed to be in dorms are complying with the rule.

Those students in violation of the rule will be required to return to the dormitories.

Hood explained Dean James O. Cansler's statement that University housing is in debt for \$12 million. Since 1966 the dormitories have been financially autonomous. North Carolina legislature does not appropriate funds in their support.

To cope with this financial situation the University needs to keep the dormitories full, Cansler said.

Speaking of the transfer policy, Cansler told Hood, "Educationally I cannot defend the policy, but administratively and economically I can defend it."

"The present housing policy is good," said Hood, "only in that it treats both sexes equally, but I question why the transfer men were forced to comply with the regulations of transfer women. The reverse would be more equitable and would give the transfer student the option of dormitory or town housing."

"Forcing junior transfers to live on South Campus in particular (with the heavy dominance of underclassmen and noise) is like forcing a freshman to take a 200 level course," Hood concluded.

Hood had originally hoped to effect a change in policy for the coming semester. As yet, he has been unsuccessful, but he intends to continue his efforts. He said he has found some favorable response in the administration.

He reported several transfer students are moving out of dormitories in defiance of University policy.