

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years Of Editorial Freedom

Vol. 78, No. 91

FEB 12 1971

Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Thursday, February 11, 1971

Founded February 23, 1893

Morehead demanding assurance

The Morehead Residence College Senate Tuesday night passed a resolution saying no funds from the college will be administered in any way by the University administration unless the college receives agreement to two specific terms in writing from University officials.

The resolution said Consolidated University President William C. Friday, Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson and Vice Chancellor for Business and Finance Joseph C. Eagles must agree to the terms before Morehead College would use any money in the newly-organized University Trust Fund.

The terms asked were: "Any interest obtained by investment of the funds of Morehead shall be used to help pay for the cost of the accounting process necessary to administer those funds, and not go to the administration; and

"The university administration shall exercise absolutely no control over any decision about how the funds of Morehead Residence College are distributed."

Both conditions were met in a statement released by Chancellor Sitterson Tuesday but the Morehead Senate was unaware of the statement at the time of its decision.

However, said Steve Saunders, governor of Morehead College, unless the college receives the agreement in writing, Morehead would not agree to the new University Trust Fund organization.

Saunders said the college was demanding "minimum guarantees that the funds would be handled same as before, just in a different office."

Saunders agreed that the Chancellor's statement, which said the University would "exert no censoring control and that all accrued interest would be used for accounting costs, had met the conditions demanded by the Morehead Senate.

But, he said, the Senate would insist on these conditions in writing.

"We are protesting the change (to the new trust fund office)," said Saunders. "We would much rather have students control the fees."

"We are willing to back anybody that takes constructive steps to have the decision changed."

Morehead College has \$700 in the present Student Activities Fund Office which has not been transferred to the new trust fund office, said Saunders. "We're still holding off moving the money because things are still up in the air," he related. "We will wait for the agreement to our terms in writing."



May McClelland takes her turn at a booth in the Carolina Union which is collecting funds for the offices of Charlotte attorneys Adam Stein and Julius Chambers. The offices were bombed out just recently. (Staff photo by Johnny Lindahl)

Orientation also on agenda

SL to consider election reform

by Woody Doster
Staff Writer

Election reform and orientation will be the issues facing Student Legislature at tonight's meeting.

Student Body Vice President Bill Blue said Wednesday he thought legislators will try to change the election reform bill, which calls for electronic voting and fewer polling places, when it comes up.

The current bill's placement of polling places would heavily weight future elections toward South Campus and against women," he said.

The new polling places would be in Morrison, Craigie, Ehringhaus, James, the Law School, the Circus Room, the Serrell Building, Y Court, the Union and the Granville Towers Cafeteria.

Blue pointed out that none of the polling places would be in a predominately girls' dormitory.

"It's hard enough to get people to vote now without decreasing the number of polling places," he complained.

Blue estimated 6,000 people voted in last year's election. The lines created by having fewer polling places could, he said, cut that number in half in this year's election.

"This could create another situation of Student Government losing credibility by providing fewer and fewer services," Blue said.

He does believe there is a need for "tighter control" over elections. "Electronic voting should clean things up quickly," he said.

Blue explained the new balloting method would save Student Government money in the long run.

If the new bill passes, this year's election will cost about \$900," Blue said. "However, most of this money will go toward making a reusable computer program. Future elections will cost less than that in previous years."

The question of having an orientation commission will also be discussed tonight.

Jim Parker, chairman of the SL Ways

and Means Committee, said the problem had been discussed at his committee's meeting Tuesday night.

Specifically, the committee met to pass on Bello's appointment of Stephen Latour as chairman of the Orientation Commission, and a conflicting bill to abolish the Commission.

The Ways and Means Committee will return a vote of "no prejudiced" on Latour to Legislature tonight.

Parker explained this vote was a "pass, with reservations."

"We have no doubt that Latour is the most qualified for the job," Parker said, "but there is a lot of negative feeling toward the Orientation Commission. We want to know if it's worth it."

He said his committee favored "abolishing the orientation commission but not orientation." However, no action was taken on the bill to abolish the Commission.

"We are anxious to find out tonight how Legislature feels about it," Parker said.

Following his testimony and the rest of the state's case, Winston moved that the judge dismiss the charges on the grounds there had been no violation of the statute on which Gothard was being prosecuted.

Winston pointed out that neither officer admitted the abusive and obscene language to which they attributed to Gothard alarmed or upset them, one of the requirements of the law.

After announcing he would allow Winston's motion, Judge Horton lectured Gothard on the use of profane language.

"It is completely foreign to me to understand why you use this language. If you are intelligent enough to get into the University, you should be able to use the English language better than this," the Judge said.

"To use such language is just crude," Judge Horton concluded.

Following the ruling by the judge, both Gothard and his attorney expressed some surprise—and elation—at the decisively quick judgment made in the case.

Roller Derby

Roller Derby comes to Carmichael Auditorium tonight at 8 p.m. Featuring the San Francisco Bay Bombers, proceeds from the program will go to the Carolina Opportunity Fund and the Order of the Grail to help pay for scholarships.

General admission tickets for students at \$1.50. The public admission is \$2, \$3, and \$4.

Meeting set today

expressed a definite willingness to talk with such a group.

He added if there are further questions about why and how the new system came about, there has been an assurance the delegation can meet with an appropriate administrative official. If possible, a representative from the administration will be present at the meeting this afternoon.

The chairman of the Student Audit Board said Trust Fund Director Wade Atkins was cordial and helpful in preparing checks Wednesday, including Daily Tar Heel salary checks.

"I have every expectation checks will be prepared for us on Thursday or at the latest Friday," Day said. "This includes his willingness to get out a check for us in the morning (today) within an hour for the Debate Team which leaves today."

Court test is possible

by Evans Witt
Staff Writer

A court test appears imminent concerning the legality and constitutionality of the zoning methods used by the Chapel Hill Town Government.

Attorney John T. Manning announced at the Monday night meeting of the Chapel Hill Board of Aldermen a decision to permit the Interchurch Council to build a project near the Westwood subdivision would be contested in court.

The meeting was dominated by the active, often heated discussion by the aldermen over the proposed project on N.C. 54 for low and middle income families in the Chapel Hill area.

Representing a number of residents of Westwood, which lies approximately 500 feet from the proposed project, Manning said the project would lower the property values of the project.

He went on to say, "We do have a legal right to be here. The Special Use Permit is

contrary to law and on every point we will test it in court," he said.

The discussion among the aldermen on the project centered around the legal points of granting the ordinance.

Many of the points which have been raised in objection to the project were mentioned but the legal issues seemed to be central to the aldermen's minds.

Aldermen George Coxhead and Russ Serotte took the negative side in the discussion.

Coxhead termed this use of the Special Use Permit illegal and described it as "spot zoning."

He deplored the placing of the project so close to Westwood "one of the oldest subdivisions in town," and described the Board's action as an "unfair use of government power."

Coxhead accused members of the Planning Board and of the Board of Aldermen of changing their positions on such projects as these "when they get close to home."

Alderman Steve Bernholz replied to Coxhead by saying all of the aldermen have at one time or another voted for the granting of Special Use Permits, thus establishing their belief in the practice.

Therefore, Bernholz concluded, there must be specific reasons for denying this particular Special Use Permit.

He addressed himself to the most frequent protest the project—it would lower property values. Bernholz admitted the project would change the nature of the area in which it would be situated by said any development of land by its very nature will cause such a change.

Alderman Scroggs then spoke, saying he opposed the permit on the grounds the project needed a zoning change and, in such a case, a three-fourths vote of the Board of Alderman would be necessary.

After the discussion, the Board granted the Special Use Permit for the Interchurch Council Project near Westwood and touched off the court challenge.

Alderman Steve Bernholz replied to Coxhead by saying all of the aldermen have at one time or another voted for the granting of Special Use Permits, thus establishing their belief in the practice.

Therefore, Bernholz concluded, there must be specific reasons for denying this particular Special Use Permit.

He addressed himself to the most frequent protest the project—it would lower property values. Bernholz admitted the project would change the nature of the area in which it would be situated by said any development of land by its very nature will cause such a change.

Alderman Scroggs then spoke, saying he opposed the permit on the grounds the project needed a zoning change and, in such a case, a three-fourths vote of the Board of Alderman would be necessary.

After the discussion, the Board granted the Special Use Permit for the Interchurch Council Project near Westwood and touched off the court challenge.

Student leaders of organizations affected by the policy change in disbursement of student fees will meet today at 2:30 p.m. in room 207 of the Carolina Union.

The meeting will be held by Ken Day, chairman of the Student Audit Board, to discuss the new policy and its effects on each group. Those attending the meeting will include presidents, treasurers and governors of Student Government, Spurgeon Dental Society, Whitehead Medical Society, Master Business Association, the Pharmacy School, heads of the Residence College Federation, and each residence college and members of the Student Legislature finance committee.

Day said the group may elect to send a delegation to talk with Vice Chancellor Joseph C. Eagles if there are questions concerning procedure. He said Eagles has

"The Law and Desegregation" will be the topic of a workshop headed by J. Levonne Chambers, a lawyer for the NAACP Legal Defense Fund. Chambers represented the parties which have brought the Charlotte school system into the courts to solve its school problems.

Rufus Huffman, education field director, NAACP, will speak on "Education and Desegregation" in the final workshop. Huffman will speak to students on problems but will also show them ways to get funds under existing government programs to help the process of desegregation go more smoothly.

Alexander said the delegates will be involved in the conference by asking questions, in discussion groups, and in a general interaction among the group.

"This is not going to be a static

conference," he said. "There will be a minimum of lecture and a maximum of discussion."

Some of the questions Alexander said he hoped would be answered, or at least discussed thoroughly, include: What disrupts the educational process? Should there be a differential punishment based on a student's color (and this goes both ways, he said)? How may students bring their grievances to the attention of administrators without resorting to demonstrations?

Although the conference will center on the problems of junior high and high school youths, Alexander said the discussion will probably shed some light on the desegregation problems at universities as well.

Roy Wilkins to appear at black conference

by Mike Parnell
News Editor

Roy Wilkins, executive director of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), will be the featured speaker Saturday at a conference on campus discussing desegregation problems and minority rights in segregated schools.

Wilkins will speak at 3 p.m. in the Great Hall of the Union. His speech is open to students, faculty and the public.

The conference is being sponsored by the North Carolina State Conference of Youth Councils and College Chapters NAACP.

The conference will focus attention on the role of school administrators in solving desegregation grievances, the legal

rights of students, the law and desegregation and the issue of education versus desegregation, according to Kelly Alexander, coordinator of the NAACP Youth Programs in North Carolina.

Representatives from high schools in North Carolina will be delegates to the conference, which is scheduled to run all day Saturday. More than 200 persons are expected to participate in the conference.

Alexander, a graduate student in public administration at the University, termed the conference "a first step in getting people involved in solving these desegregation problems."

He said the conference was "a positive approach" toward informing high school blacks of their rights and how to use them.

Wilkins has served as executive

director of the NAACP since 1955. A former newspaperman, Wilkins joined the organization in 1931.

Wilkins was instrumental in leading his organization in the fight to obtain civil rights for blacks, such as the monumental Brown Vs. Board of Education decision by the Supreme Court in 1954 which has served as the cornerstone of school desegregation decisions.

In recent years, Wilkins has often been criticized by black nationalists for "pandering to the government," but efforts to undermine his leadership in the NAACP have failed.

Wilkins is most noted for his quote before the 1949 NAACP convention, where he said, "We do not cry out bitterly that we love another people better than ours. This is our land. This is

our nation. We helped to build it. We have defended it from Boston Common to Iwo Jima."

The morning session of the conference will include workshops on various issues concerning desegregation. Joseph C. Champion, a counselor with the Occupational Laboratory in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg School System, will discuss "The Role of the School Administration in Solving Desegregation Grievances" in one of the workshops.

William Van Alstyne, a professor in the Duke University School of Law, will discuss "Legal Rights of Students" in another of the workshops. Alexander said this workshop will attempt to show students the problems encountered in obtaining their rights and how students may circumvent these problems.

Alexander said the delegates will be involved in the conference by asking questions, in discussion groups, and in a general interaction among the group.

"This is not going to be a static