

71512
CHC
LTH
1111
NO

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years Of Editorial Freedom

Chapel Hill, North Carolina, Saturday, February 13, 1971

Founded February 23, 1893

Vol. 78, No. 93



Action was hot and heavy Thursday night as the Northeast Braves squeaked by the San Francisco Bay Bombers in Roller Derby action in Carmichael Auditorium. The final score was 47-46. The event was sponsored by the Order of the Grail and the Carolina Opportunity Fund.

GSCC given funds, recognition next fall

by Keith Carter
Staff Writer

Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson has assured the Graduate Student Coordinating Committee (GSCC) of official administration recognition by the 1971 fall semester and that all professional and graduate student activity fees will be made available to the organization.

The notification came in a letter from Sitterson to GSCC Chairman Jerry Harder and Secretary Jim Becker.

Sitterson said access to the funds will be conditional upon the development of by-laws which "enhance, clarify and interpret the newly enacted Constitution at points now vague or inconclusive."

The letter contained a second condition for availability of funds, that "organizational structures and administrative procedures be developed which provide constructive programming and assure proper accountability of funds."

Becker revealed he and Chairman

Harder met Thursday with Dean of Student Affairs C.O. Cathey and Associate Dean James O. Cansler. "Dean Cathey considers there are now two student governments on campus," Becker said. "We feel the sense of the Chancellor's letter indicated he feels the same."

Cathey also indicated official recognition would mean graduate students would be represented on all University committees, according to the GSCC secretary. This would include the Publications Board and Carolina Union Board of Directors, as well as other committees.

"We now have all the recognition we could expect," Becker said. "This is really a provisory recognition, but we have the conditions set for getting appropriate recognition."

Becker indicated initial steps toward establishment of by-laws for the graduate organization will be taken at the first meeting of the Senate of the Graduate and Professional Student Federation Tuesday at 7:30 p.m. in the Carolina Union.

"A committee will then be established to draw up the by-laws, a task which should take no longer than two or three weeks," Becker said.

Becker indicated the GSCC still hopes to receive the \$8,500 promised Student Legislature, as well as \$3,000 for operating expenses.

"We have no idea what Student Government will do, but we have officially requested the appropriation we were promised," Becker said.

He indicated GSCC leaders would be interested in meeting with undergraduate Student Government leaders at any time to discuss the appropriation question.

CU heads discuss new funds system

No major changes were made in the new system of disbursing student fees when the chancellors from the six Consolidated University campuses met in Raleigh Friday to discuss and review the system.

According to Consolidated University President William C. Friday, the meeting was primarily concerned with a report on all activities leading to the change in fund systems.

Friday said that, with the exception of a few word changes, no other action was taken on the trust fund report.

No major revisions were offered in the form of suggestions. However, Friday said one chancellor proposed that upon presentation of a petition by the student

organization itself, a countersignature by an administrative official could be required for fund disbursement.

No action was taken on the proposal.

The University President said a complete report on the meeting will be submitted as soon as possible to all student body presidents.

Present at the meeting were Chancellor William Smith of the Asheville campus; Chancellor William Wagner, Wilmington; Chancellor James Ferguson, Greensboro; Chancellor Dean W. Colvard, Charlotte; Chancellor John Caldwell, Raleigh; Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson; Consolidated University Vice President of Finance Felix Joyner and Friday.

SL okays 14 polling places

by Woody Doster
Staff Writer

Student Legislature Thursday approved the establishment of 14 polling places for the March 16 campus elections.

The bill approved by committee called for reducing the number of polling places from 37 to 10, but amendments from the floor put the number at 14.

The polling places will be the Scuttlebutt, Y Court, Everett, Granville cafeteria, Parker, McIver, James, Morrison, Ehringhaus, Connor, Cobb, Mangum, the Naval Armory and the Carolina Union.

The Original Elections Reform Bill provided for polling places at the Scuttlebutt, Y Court, the Circus Room, Granville cafeteria, the Union, James, Morrison, Ehringhaus, Craige and the Law School.

Amendments struck the boxes at the Circus Room, Craige and the Law School and added Everett, the Naval Armory, Mangum, Parker, Connor, Cobb and McIver to the list.

The body struck a provision in the reform bill which would have realigned men's voting districts. Charles Gilliam introduced the motion to delete the realignment saying, "You're treading on dangerous ground if you start changing the districts before each election."

Other provisions of the Election Reform Bill give Men's District III (Chapel Hill west of Columbia, south of Franklin) six legislators instead of three and Men's District IV (off campus east of Columbia) five representatives instead of three.

The bill also provided for the election of junior and sophomore class officers in the spring and freshman class officers in the fall.

Rules committee Chairman Gerry Cohen said the reform bill "will provide a fairer and more representative election system."

Under the bill, polls will be open from 10 a.m. to 7 p.m. instead of nine to five.

The bill also makes it easier for candidates without party endorsements

to get their names on the ballot. An on-campus student need only present a petition with 25 names to get on the ballot under the reforms, and an off-campus student needs only 10 signatures.

Both figures are about half of the previous requirements.

Cohen told the legislature this was the first major revision of the election laws in 15 years.

In other action, Legislature approved a \$635 appropriation for a students' rights handbook.

Judicial Committee Chairman Judi Friedman said the booklet's purpose is "to tell students what their rights are within the University structure," but she added that the book is not "a statement of definitive law."

"We hope to give each student an idea of what his civil rights are, and what he can do in a given legal situation," she said.

Legislature also approved the appointment of Steve LaTour as

Orientation Commission chairman, and appointment which the Ways and Means Committee reported with "no prejudice."

The committee refused to turn out a bill to abolish the commission, however. The body also approved on constitutional amendment while defeating another.

Approved was an amendment to put the membership of the Legislature between 45 and 55 members, instead of the present 50.

Defeated was an amendment creating coed voting districts. Opposition to that measure was based on a fear that it would reduce female representation in Legislature.

Legislature also made appointments to the Campaign GM Committee to purchase General Motors stock and to the Graduate Student Conference Committee to negotiate with the Graduate Student Coordinating Committee over the withdrawal of graduate student funds from the undergraduate Student Government budget.

Heels beat Tech, 87-58

by Chris Cobbs
Sports Editor

CHARLOTTE—Carolina's starters got a little help from five friends on the bench before dazzling Georgia Tech with a fast-paced second half attack that left Yellow Jackets 87-58 losers here Friday night.

The Tar Heels, who looked more like spectators than the nation's eleventh-ranked team in the first 10 minutes of the game, were a drastically different group after Coach Dean Smith turned to the second unit with the starters behind 19-12.

Steady play by the backup quintet of Dave Chadwick, Craig Corson, Donn Johnston, Kim Huband and Dale Gipple reduced the Tech lead to three before they yielded to the first team with five minutes left in the first half.

Center Lee Dedmon then came up with a couple of assists, a field goal and two free throws and staked the Tar Heels to a 36-35 lead at intermission.

Carolina had no trouble whatsoever after that.

The Tar Heels employed a retooled fast break to fashion a 29-9 spurt in the first 10 minutes of the second period.

The Tar Heels were up 65-46 at that point and coasted the rest of the way to win number 15 against three losses.

Dennis Wuycik, who made only four points in the first half, got in the middle of enough breaks to finish with 16 and lead UNC's balanced attack.

Other double figure scorers were Dedmon and guards Steve Previs and George Karl with a dozen each. Tech All-American Rich Yunkus was held some 10 points below his average of 27.8 as

Dedmon worked tirelessly to keep him from getting the ball.

The 6-9 Yellow Jacket center threw in 10 points to key Tech's early lead, but he got only another two the rest of the half. He didn't score again until the Tar Heels had wrapped it up, either.

Yunkus, whose previous low was 13 against UNC at Charlotte, was also outbounded by his less heralded opponent. He claimed but six misses while Dedmon came up with a team high for the year of 14.

With Yunkus silenced during the Tar Heel rally the Jackets were unable to muster any offense. As a matter of fact they shot only 17 per cent from the field after intermission an but 31.7 for the game.

The Atlantans, who won both ends of the North-South doubleheader last year, were outbounded 53-40 as they lost their sixth game in 21 outings.

Tech went five minutes without scoring against Smith's second unit and never regained his momentum.

"We've now had two atrocious shooting nights in a row," said Tech coach Whack Hyder, whose team lost to Florida State Monday night.

"Peanuts Murphy was sick and Jim Thorne turned his ankle in the second half, but we are not looking for alibis," he continued.

"We're after the reason for this poor shooting since we depend on it for any success we have."

Murphy and Thorne have averaged almost 25 points between them this year but they produced only eight against Carolina.

Smith was pleased with the team's second half comeback but was not lavish

with his praise. "We played like we should have after the half," he said, "but we still were not razor sharp on 3-on-1 and 3-on-2 fast breaks."

He added that the victory came much easier than he had anticipated and that he expects a tougher time from Clemson tonight in their 9 p.m. battle.

Sorority rush

Sorority rush begins Sunday at 7 p.m. in Gerrard Hall where information on procedures and parties will be given.

Rush counselors will also be assigned at the meeting.

Rush parties will begin Monday and continue through Sunday, with Saturday off. The last round will be Monday, Feb. 22.

Fraternity image causes dilemma

by Jim Reed
Special to the DTH

(Editor's note: Jim Reed is a member of Chi Psi fraternity. He has done extensive research on the question of whether or not fraternities are valid. This article is the first in a series.)

One of the greatest dilemmas fraternities face is that of the image that they project— or in many cases that which is projected for them— to the student body.

Often the familiar picture of the "frat" man is painted in the following way: he wears a monogrammed alpaca sweater (Carolina Blue, of course) and has a pint of Southern Comfort in one hand and a delicious Carolina co-ed in the other. He is basically hedonistic and an intellectual pigmy. He loves the Tams and screams a lusty "Hot Damn" for every first down Carolina makes.

He is a Business Administration major and has a solid chance of taking over his dad's company within five years. He has been sterilized by middle-class society and thus rendered harmless.

Not only is this stereotype grossly exaggerated, but also in cases where this exterior does exist, this is not necessarily an accurate reflection of the individual's personality. All too often brothers are relegated to this woeful and mundane stereotype.

Another topic of concern is the question of the fraternity man's supposed vein of anti-intellectualism. Is he versed in Hegelian dialectical materialism or memorable quotations of A. Mitchell Palmer?

The only effective means of comparing the level of intellectualism between individuals within a fraternity to those not in one is to examine some Q.P.A. data. Although this basis is perhaps not a very sound measure of one's intellectual efforts, it is still the only viable means to examine such an abstract concept.

The median Q.P.A. for all fraternities for the school year 1969-1970 was 2.497—a median slightly higher than that of undergraduate men not in fraternities. Certain fraternities merit special mention for outstanding scholarship. Zeta Beta Tau had an astounding 3.042 average for last spring. Chi Psi and Delta Upsilon had exceptional averages of 2.879 and 2.814, respectively.

A third myth that should be treated is that of the fraternity system's "elitist" strain. Presently, the fraternities have a membership of 1,413, or approximately 18 per cent of the male undergraduate enrollment. If current trends continue, this percentage should increase to slightly over 20 per cent after spring rush. Fraternities are highly selective, to be sure, but they are not "elitist."

There may be some instances where status and social

position influences selection, yet this is not the accepted norm.

Unfortunately, financial restrictions make joining a fraternity prohibitive to the lower income groups. This is, perhaps, brought on by the fabric of American society more than any other reason.

A final area of controversy is the "hazing" process. This process still exists at a few fraternities here. Patrick Johnson, in his book entitled Fraternity Row, comments tersely, "I think hazing, in the old physical sense, is completely asinine. It proves little or nothing, and the pledges who submit themselves, to indiscriminate hazing have no one to blame but themselves."

To refer to hazing as anything but "asinine" would be a ludicrous euphemism. Often one is confronted with the rationale that the pledge must undergo some test of endurance to prove his commitment to the fraternity.

If the hazing method is viewed as the only effective method of retaining the pledge's interest in the fraternity, then quite likely the fraternity has nothing worthwhile to offer the pledge. Viewing the situation from another angle, the pledge whose interest in the fraternity is kindled only by hazing or performing menial errands for the brothers doesn't have much as an individual to offer the fraternity, either.

(Tomorrow: Is pledge training worthwhile and how effective is it?)