

ACC tourney: Tar Heels meet Clemson today

by Chris Cobbs
Sports Editor

GREENSBORO—Nothing that has happened so far means anything. The source of these words is not a freak, an existentialist or a philosopher. The source is none other than Dean Smith.

Carolina's coach speaks candidly at times. "The new season begins today," he says.

Smith's Tar Heels take on Clemson at 1:30 p.m. in the first game of the Atlantic Coast Conference tournament. At stake, of course, is a bid to the Eastern Regionals, first step to the NCAA championship.

Smith's "team without a chance"—the Tar Heels were consigned to the lower echelon of the conference by most preseason guessers—goes into the tourney with the top seed.

Matched in the opening game of the 18th annual ACC spectacle are the club with the league's best offensive mark and the team with the top defensive figure.

Carolina carries an 86.7 scoring average into the tournament while Clemson has permitted opponents but 65.5 points a game.

The Tar Heels have not had trouble with Clemson this year. In two meetings Carolina prevailed 92-72 and 86-48.

UNC swept aside 11 league foes, losing to but three in winning the regular season ACC championship. The Tar Heels compiled a 20-5 overall record and are ranked among the nation's top 15 squads. The Tar Heels whipped seventh-ranked

South Carolina by 15 and dropped a six-point decision to the Gamecocks in the course of the season. A third meeting, in the tournament finals, is considered a strong possibility.

The Gamecocks faltered in mid-season, losing four conference games, but ended the year at 20-4 and with All-America guard John Roche going strong. They play Maryland at 3:30.

Many figure the Gamecocks have the momentum to triumph in the do-or-die tournament, but Smith isn't among their ranks.

"I don't think momentum has much to do with it," he has said several times in the last two weeks. He points to conference history, marked by upsets, like last year's tournament.

South Carolina had a 14-0 ACC record but was nearly knocked off by Clemson

in the first round and was upset by N.C. State in the finals. State had lost four of its last six conference games in 1970.

In any event, the victor in this afternoon's opening match will meet either Virginia or Wake Forest in the second round Friday night.

One interesting note about today's first game—Clemson had the league's best defense and its worst offense while Carolina ranked seventh and first in those departments.

Not a single league member finished among the top four in all six categories of team play. Carolina was one of three teams in the first division in five departments.

The Tar Heels were the only team to lead in more than one department. In addition to leading in scoring they also set the pace in field goal percentage and average victory margin over opponents.

Their field goal percentage stands at .528 while their average scoring margin is 11.7.

Tar Heel scorers and scoring averages include forwards Dennis Wuycik, 19.8 and Bill Chamberlain, 13.7; center Lee Dedmon, 12.3; and guards George Karl, 12.3, and Steve Previs, 7.5.

Wuycik was named this week to the All-ACC first team, Karl to the second.

Clemson's probable starting lineup has forwards Dickie Foster and Dave Thomas, center Dave Angel and guards Bo Hawkins and John Coakley. Only two, Angel (14) and Thomas (12.8) are among the league's top 25 scorers.

ACC Tourney

Heels' Second Season

See Pages 4 And 5



A winner's smile . . .

SL to act on student trustee bill

by Woody Doster
Staff Writer

Student Legislature (SL) will consider tonight a resolution supporting a bill in the N.C. Legislature to give the student body presidents of each of the Consolidated University campuses a vote on the Board of Trustees.

"The presidents of Duke, Wake Forest and Davidson already have voting privileges on their boards of trustees," said the bill's author, Lee Hood Capps. "I believe that this student input is necessary."

Capps said the input is necessary because of the "lack of rapport" between students and the Board of Trustees.

"The presidents of the student bodies should reflect the general attitudes of the students better than a board member who has no day-to-day contact with students," Capps said.

If the resolution passes, copies will be sent to the chairman of the N.C. Committee on Education, where the bill now rests, to the state senators who introduced the bill, Gov. Robert Scott and the chancellors and student body presidents of each campus.

The SL Finance Committee is currently holding budget hearings.

"We are wrestling with the questions of what basis should we use to decide how much money each group gets and whether we have to fund them," said Finance Committee Chairman Robert Grady Wednesday.

He noted the Adams Committee, composed of students and faculty, last year recommended that functions such as the Debate Team and the Glee Club

See SL, page 6



...with a cheering send-off for Smith's Tar Heels

Rally, debate slated for presidential hopefuls

by Lou Bonds
Staff Writer

A rally and debate for presidential hopefuls were scheduled as candidates for the March 16 campus elections received their final briefing from the Elections Board Tuesday night and prepared to launch the final week of campaigning.

Presidential nominee Pete Tripodi announced Wednesday plans for an elections rally today in which all

candidates attending will be allowed to voice their opinions to students.

Candidates for the student body president post have also been extended a debate offer by the YM-YWCA and Campus Issues organizations which would pit office-seekers against each other Sunday night in Gerrard Hall.

Checking try names of a host of candidates, Elections Board Chairman David Ruffin explained new procedures for the coming runoff provided for in the

Elections Reform Bill.

The reforms bill, passed by Student Legislatures (SL) on March 1, provided for computer voting, a reduction in the number of polling places, Student Legislature redistricting and named 14 polling spots.

Present at the meeting were candidates for student body president, vice president, Daily Tar Heel editor, Residence College Federation (RCF) chairman, Student Legislature

representatives, Men and Women's Honor Court positions and class officers.

In computer voting, each student casting ballots at thy polls will be issued a perforated computer card containing the names of the presidential, vice-presidential, RCF and Daily Tar Heel editor candidates.

Students will then punch a hole beside their choice and drop the ballot into a box which will be transferred to a computer for counting.

Ruffin said student legislators, class officers and Honor Court members will be selected by paper ballots that will be hand counted.

The number of polling places has been reduced from 32 last fall to 14 for the coming election, according to the reforms bill.

Students will vote at either Y-Court, Scuttlebutt, Carolina Union, Naval

See Rally, page 3

Poll finds majority of cadets oppose Tonkin resolution

by Bob Chapman
Staff Writer

(Editor's note: This article is the second in a series concerning a recent poll of Naval ROTC members on three major issues—Vietnam, drugs and the ROTC program.)

More than half of the midshipmen at the UNC Navy Reserve Officer Training Corps (NROTC) unit oppose the Gulf of Tonkin Resolution which empowered the President to send military assistance to Vietnam, according to a survey conducted within the unit.

The poll represented the opinions of 197 of the 250-plus midshipmen. No names were required in order to insure truthful comments.

One point the survey showed, especially concerning Vietnam, was the tendency for the midshipmen to become more liberal as they progress from freshman to a senior.

In the freshman class, for example, 77.6

percent favor the President's program of phased withdrawal and Vietnamization. Other class figures show: sophomore, 72.2 percent; junior, 54.8 percent; and senior, 63.9 percent. The unit average is 68 percent in favor of Nixon's policy.

About two-thirds of the students said they consider U.S. involvement in Southeast Asia as the most urgent foreign commitment. Although 36.5 percent said they opposed the Gulf of Tonkin Resolution, 53.3 percent said they opposed the principle of the resolution.

Almost 30 percent feel Americans should withdraw immediately from Vietnam. The junior class had the highest percentage of support for withdrawal with 45.2 percent.

Most of the midshipmen, 65 percent, oppose a resumption of the bombing of North Vietnam. Another 28.4 percent said they favor the bombings, while 6.6 percent expressed no opinion.

"Do you think the President is doing all in his power, under the circumstances, for the quickest

possible withdrawal," the poll asked. More than half said no.

While 45.6 percent said the President is trying to get out as quickly as possible, 51.8 percent disagreed. About half of the freshmen and sophomores said Nixon is getting out as quickly as possible, less than a third of the juniors (32.3 percent) and only 44.4 percent of the seniors said they thought withdrawal was going fast enough.

A big majority of the future naval officers approved of the excursion into North Vietnam to free American prisoners of war, but more than half said it would hinder the Paris peace negotiations. Most, 68 percent, favored continuing the talks, while 27.9 percent voted for scrapping them.

The question concerning the reason for America's involvement in Vietnam brought a variety of responses. The largest group, 36 percent, thought the reason is to establish a sphere of influence in the midst of Soviet and Chinese

Communist influence and thereby maintain a balance of power.

The second largest group, 31.5 percent, said the U.S. is primarily in Vietnam to fight Communist aggression and to allow the South Vietnamese to protect democratic government.

Other opinions included: honor our SEATO treaty commitment, 11.7 percent; preserve American economic interests in Southeast Asia, 9.6 percent; commit acts of "imperial aggression," 3 percent; and no opinion, 8.1 percent.

A majority of the midshipmen, 56.9 percent, said they approved of the Cambodian excursion in May, 1970. Most of the support of the excursion came from freshmen, 67.2 percent; and from the sophomores, 72.2 percent.

In contrast, only 38.7 percent of the juniors supported the Cambodian invasion, and only 48.6 of the seniors said they approved.

Even so, 62.9 percent said it hindered

negotiations, but 53.3 percent said it helped withdrawal.

As students, the midshipmen seemed to support the free speech of other students. A total of 69.5 percent said National Guardsmen at Kent State were not justified for firing on students. Another 19.3 percent said they were justified, possibly in self-defense, and 11.2 percent expressed no opinion.

Campuses should remain cool regarding protesting of continued American presence in Southeast Asia, according to 71.1 percent of the middies. While 16.2 percent said campuses should turn on the heat, 12.7 expressed no opinion.

Even before the recent trial and publicity concerning Lt. William Calley's trial over the alleged My Lai killings, 59.9 percent said they felt the officer's actions were unjustified. Only 17.8 percent defended his decision and 22.3 percent expressed no opinion. (The next article in the series concerns the opinion of ROTC students on drugs.)