

# Sitterson explains Stallings meeting dispute

by Evans Witt  
Staff Writer

Chancellor J. Carlyle Sitterson said Thursday he did not object to the attendance of the Student Government (SG) lawyer with Student Body President Joe Stallings at a meeting Wednesday, but felt it was necessary for the other parties at the meeting to have a chance to have counsel present also.

"He (Stallings) was entitled to bring a lawyer to the meeting," Sitterson said.

"But it would not have been fair to the other people involved for him to have a third party counsel present, when we have not had a chance to have a lawyer present," he continued.

The meeting over which the controversy arose Wednesday concerned the positions of the graduates and the

undergraduates on the proposed separation of the graduate students from the present Student Government, according to Sitterson.

The participants in the meeting were to be Sitterson; Stallings; Walter Baggett, president of the Graduate and Professional Student Association (GPSA); Dr. Claiborne Jones, assistant to the Chancellor; and Dean of Student Affairs C.O. Cathey.

"I was hopeful to get the views of both the graduate and the undergraduate students in the discussions," Sitterson said.

Stallings said Wednesday he felt it was necessary for the SG lawyer, John Brooks, to be present at the session to provide continuity on the issue from the last SG administration and to handle the legal problems which might arise during

the discussion. Stallings said he felt the presence of Brooks at the meeting was necessary for him (Stallings) to represent the students adequately.

In reply, Sitterson said he felt the nature of the meeting was to have been such as not to require a lawyer on either

side. "I did not anticipate getting to any legal questions at all," Sitterson commented.

"I did not see any need for a lawyer at the meeting," he added.

A misunderstanding, apparently from lack of communication, arose between

Sitterson and Stallings over Stallings' notification that he would bring Brooks to the meeting.

"I did not know he was going to bring the lawyer to the meeting until about two minutes before it was scheduled," Sitterson said.

"As far as I am concerned if we had

enough time to procure counsel to be present at the meeting, the meeting would have been held as scheduled," he added.

Stallings said that he had called Claiborne Jones' secretary early Wednesday morning to inform the

See Sitterson, page 2

# The Daily Tar Heel

79 Years of

Chapel Hill, North C.

Vol. 79, No. 44

Founded February 23, 1893

## Stallings attacks UNC in legislative address

by Jessica Hancher  
Staff Writer

"It is my sad responsibility tonight to report to you, the Student Legislature, that the administration of this University has once again, during the past two days, unilaterally altered and revised the procedures for the handling of student fees," said Joe Stallings, president of the student body, in his State of the Campus address before Student Legislature Thursday night.

"This seizure of authority blatantly

violated the Student Constitution and the historic authority of Student Government," he continued.

Stallings reviewed the history of the student fees controversy, which has raged since early this semester, and brought the legislative body up to date on the most recent actions.

Morehead Residence College was denied a requisition Wednesday afternoon for "social expenses" of the dorms in the college because there were "no substantiating documents."

Stallings explained both the

University's reasons for apparently not approving block requests from student fees as well as Student Government's position and reasons.

In his speech, "Student Government In Crisis," Stallings outlined the authority, integrity and responsibilities of Student Government.

Student Government, he said, is requesting before the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees that it "explicitly delegate Student Government as the University's administrative agent for the allocation

and accountability for the Student Activities Fee collected on this campus.

"We cannot sit idly by while its authority is shredded and consumed by the administration," he continued. "I will pursue every practical avenue to halt this usurpation of authority."

According to Stallings, the integrity of Student Government is under a twofold attack by the administration.

The other angle of the attack, he said, comes through the University "unilaterally designating Student Government exclusively for undergraduate students and through the recognition of a graduate student government without approval of a campus-wide referendum or student constitutional amendment."

He continued with a history of the independence of Student Government and the Student Constitution.

In his statement concerning the graduate student organization, he said, "This principle (that graduate students should only contribute to those University community services from which they personally benefit) is rejected by Student Government."

Stallings commented on the student health situation before Student Legislature and he gave his opinions on academic reform, one of his campaign issues.

He also outlined some plans for a student co-op, another proposal on which he based his campaign.

"It is my full intention to do everything possible to preserve the authority and integrity of Student Government to the end that it will be transmitted a year from now to the next student body president and Student Legislature not only unimpaired, but strengthened," Stallings concluded.

## Eagles says fee hassle is 'misunderstanding'

by Evans Witt  
Staff Writer

Misunderstanding or misinterpretation on the part of personnel in the Trust Fund Accounts office was blamed by Vice Chancellor Joseph C. Eagles for current problems in the student fees requisition system.

"The people either misinterpreted the instructions or simply didn't understand them," Eagles said.

"We're just trying to have enough information to know what the money is to go out for," he added.

The most recent problems with the requisitioning system instituted by the Administration at the beginning of this semester arose when the University Trust Fund office refused to issue a check for Morehead Residence College social expenses. According to Student Body President Joe Stallings, the reason given for the refusal to issue the check was "no substantiating documents" accompanied the requisition.

The instructions which were given to the official in the trust office have not been followed in the requisitioning system so far this semester, according to Eagles.

Eagles said requisitions for "the operating expenses of..." or similar wording was not sufficient documentation for the issuance of a check.

The approval and issuance of checks similarly justified so far this year was a mistake according to Eagles. Checks issued so far this semester under such procedures, called block grants, have been made out for The Daily Tar Heel, the Carolina Union and the Student Government.

Eagles released a memorandum from Morris F. Bass, assistant vice chancellor for finance, to Wade Atkins, head of the Trust Fund division of the Accounting Office of the University, concerning the requisition procedures.

In section 2 of the memorandum, the Trust Fund Office is directed to "obtain from the Student Activities Office a

completed requisition form properly signed and accompanied by invoice, contract or agreement, or other supporting document for each check to be drawn."

The memorandum continues: "If an invoice or contract is not available, the detail of how the amount requested was determined should appear on the face of the requisition."

According to Eagles, the details which should be on the requisition form vary according to the situation involved.

"This is a judgmental thing," he said. "We're not interested in keeping Mrs. Sparrow's books for her. We're not trying to judge how the money is spent. We're not interested in deep detail," he added.

The purpose of the system of

requisitions, according to Eagles, is "to create an audit trail for the students who are interested in where the money is going... (the instructions) haven't been followed as I hoped they would be," Eagles said.

The problem with the check for the Morehead Residence College was tied in with the issue of the amount of detail required on the requisition.

The requisition for the check listed the expenses to be covered by the check as "social and other expenses outstanding and to be incurred by the houses of Morehead Residence College during the spring semester."

The requisition then listed each dorm in the college and the amount to be assigned to each.

## UNC pharmacologist says

## Drug stories inaccurate

by Jerry Klein  
Staff Writer

Two UNC pharmacologists, who were quoted in news wire stories last week as having found "possible cancer-producing activity from marijuana," claimed Monday the stories were misconstrued.

Dr. Raymond D. Magus made the findings public in a report to the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology in Chicago. His associate, Dr. Louis S. Harris, said the finding indicate the effects of tar from marijuana are identical to those from cigarette smoke in tests utilizing mice.

"This test does not prove or even suggest that marijuana smoking causes cancer," Magus said. "It merely indicates that in the mouse, its effects are indistinguishable from those of tobacco, in a short term test on the mouse."

Experiments showed that, like cigarette smoke, the tar from marijuana

smoke produced an overgrowth of skin cells in mice.

While this does not necessarily indicate cancer, it could be a preliminary. "We have not shown cancer per se," Dr. Harris said in a Monday interview.

Asked if their findings showed that cancer could be caused by smoking marijuana, Harris replied, "We're not making that summary or conclusion. We can't say anymore than we found by experimenting with mice."

He did indicate there was a "potential hazard."

Magus called reports that it would take about 40 "joints" to equal the concentration of tar from cigarette smoke "ridiculous."

"We never said anything to that effect. That was an irresponsible statement by a member of the press during a news conference held on the subject," said Magus.

Dr. Magus' speech in Chicago concluded that "Cutaneous application to

mice of acetone solutions of smoke condensates in high concentration obtained from marijuana or tobacco revealed epidermal hyperplasia and sebaceous gland destruction. These studies suggest that marijuana tar may have carcinogenic (cancerous) activity in long term tests designed to detect such activity."

The summary continued "they do not indicate that marijuana is carcinogenic in mouse or man. In fact, these findings may have no bearing on the toxicologic properties in species other than the mouse."

Magus said the uncertainty was indicated by the fact that the human lung is not mouse skin, and by the uncertainty that "humans could consume marijuana in concentrations great enough to be harmful in the same sense tobacco is," according to a UPI report.

Dr. Magus has been a member of the UNC faculty since 1968 and holds a Ph.D. from the University of Iowa.



The new leaves on the trees by the Lower Quad are sure signs that the warm weather of the past week has accomplished something more than having classes moved outside. (Photo courtesy of Nick Arvo)

## Rehabilitation clinic finds 128-acre home

by Lana Starnes  
Staff Writer

A 128-acre farm just outside of Chapel Hill is the only one of its kind in eastern United States.

The farm, called Genesis House, is a residential rehabilitation house for drug addicts. Nine people live there, three girls and six boys, most of whom are still in their teens.

To get into Genesis House the person must convince Dr. Robert J. Senior, a Chapel Hill pediatrician, that he wants to quit and get off the drug. He must convince Senior that he wants to live a life without heroin and that he is serious about breaking the habit.

Once a person is taken into Genesis House he may stay there as long as he wishes, as long as he feels it is necessary. So far no one has dropped out.

Started only about two-and-a-half months ago, Genesis has already graduated its first member. The boy is now working and going to night school and still keeps in touch with the other members of Genesis House.

Genesis House was started through the efforts of Senior, the Drug Action Committee and Switchboard.

Everything at the house is very informal. Everyone has his own responsibilities to himself and to the other people in the group. There is no regular full-time staff.

The residents have 50 sheep, some goats, chickens, roosters and a few horses. These raise organic food and keep the farm in order. They are getting ready to build a workshop for leather goods, woodcraft and sewing. They plan to sell these goods in Chapel Hill.

They live and work together, all with the same goal in mind—to leave Genesis House, to re-enter society and to make a life for themselves without drugs.

Persons interested in helping with Genesis House, making a contribution or in finding out more about the house should contact Cathy Hollifield at 933-1843 or Joel Dvoskin at 933-2424.

## Weather

TODAY: cool and cloudy with a 50 per cent chance of rain this afternoon. Highs in the mid to upper 60's with increasing cloudiness.

## Debaters agree national tournament a success

by Pam Phillips  
Staff Writer

Debaters Joe Loveland and Joe McGuire, two of UNC's most persistent winners, put the finishing touches on a phenomenal year last weekend.

At the National Invitational Debates at Macalester College in St. Paul, Minn., Loveland and McGuire made a credible showing, falling out of the tournament only after dropping a 2-1 decision to the University of Georgia debate team.

More remarkably, the team managed to garner enough tournament points in the pre-elimination rounds to place both in the top 10 speakers.

Joe Loveland, with 605 points, placed first speaker in the tournament.

"I was pleased and stunned to be chosen top speaker. I really didn't expect to get it. I thought another guy would get the award," Loveland said of his awards.

Loveland was given a gold watch for his efforts.

McGuire placed as seventh speaker.

According to Cully Clark, UNC's debate coach, "Any speaker who goes first-affirmative automatically gives away 2 points." McGuire speaks first-affirmative or second-negative when he is debating.

The second speaker of the tournament was Joe Angland, a senior from MIT who has long been considered UNC's personal arch-rival. Angland has been ranked nationally in debate for three years.

Both debaters agree that the Nationals were a success. Joe McGuire attributes much of the success "thanks to Cully's coaching." He said the Nationals "went very well in spite of losing the quarter-finals."

The decisions in the elimination rounds were very close, he added.

Clark concurred with McGuire's statement. "McGuire and Loveland have dropped somewhere in the neighborhood of 30 rounds before a panel of judges and, in those, they have never been defeated

by other than the closest of all possible split decisions."

Referring to the quarter-finals of the tournament in which UNC lost 3-2 to the husband-wife team, the Martinsons of the University of Georgia, Loveland commented, "If we had to lose I'm glad we lost to Georgia. We are good friends, intense rivals, and it was a very, very good debate in which we lost."

McGuire asserted that the team would have preferred to debate in the affirmative in the octo- and quarter-finals.

However, he said, "Percentage-wise we have been doing better on the affirmative." He credited the team's versatility with Loveland's ability to "field any number of arguments."

Coach Cully Clark looking back over a long yet successful season said, "Just looking at Loveland and McGuire's record at Nationals shows the class of the tournament... Loveland and McGuire are unique in that they are spectacular. For a first appearance on

the national debate circuit they have been most successful."

Next year Cully Clark will go to Alabama to serve as their debate coach.

Both Loveland and McGuire presently plan to continue their partnership. With few of the current debate team graduating and with the addition of "three tremendous freshmen," the Carolina debate team faces another potentially successful year.

With their reputation intact, McGuire and Loveland plan to attend fewer tournaments than this year. Both are enthusiastic about the most tangible evidence of their success—the expansion of the Tar Heel Invitational Debate.

Already UCLA, the team who won the Nationals, and Southern Cal have requested invitations. This apparently indicates that for the first time the Tar Heel Invitational will be one of the highest national tournaments going.

Loveland anticipates a large draw of debate teams from the East Coast in addition to the Westerners.