

# The Daily Tar Heel

75 years of Editorial Freedom  
Friday, September 24, 1971

Vol. 80, No. 21

Founded February 23, 1893



Some people have weird collections, but whoever owns this car has the beginning of one of the weirdest of all — A-1 and T parking stickers. (Staff photo by Leslie Todd)

## Warren: stronger board necessary

United Press International

RALEIGH — The chairman of a gubernatorial study commission recommended Thursday that any reorganized board of higher education have control over institutional budgets.

Former state Senator Lindsay Warren, in a departure from positions taken by the commission, told the House and Senate Higher Education Committees the board should have as much authority as possible.

In other developments, Rep. R. A. Jones (D-Rutherford) Thursday proposed a new higher education plan that would leave the Consolidated University of North Carolina intact.

Jones' plan would create a single board that would be split in halves, one for the Consolidated University's six campuses and the other for the rest of the institutions.

Elaborating on his recommendation, Warren said, "I don't honestly believe restructuring will be effective unless you give to a central board the authority to control budgets."

I really think that the stronger you make budget control the better chance you've got of making the system work and work well."

The majority of the commission last spring proposed only review authority over programs and budgets. The minority wanted even less change.

But Warren told the lawmakers he favored control over both budget and program for a reorganized board.

Jones told the meeting the two board halves of his proposal could meet jointly to develop a budget for the entire system.

Jones, like a host of speakers, said he felt individual boards should be maintained for the internal operation of the 16 state-supported schools.

Warren continued, "You've got to give that agency certain authority. Some of the authority they haven't had that's essential is control over programs."

That control, he said, should include

the right to determine which institution should grant what degrees as well as the freedom to delete some already existing programs.

Warren insisted, however, that program control alone would be ineffective without authority over budgets for the 16 public colleges and universities.

"You'll never have an opportunity like this again," said Warren, referring to the October special session on reorganization. "If it doesn't work some later general assembly can do something about it."

Warren thus moved behind Gov. Bob Scott's plan for a strong board of regents as opposed to a coordinating board with merely review power.

He delicately sidestepped the controversial question of the future of

the Consolidated University of North Carolina in his appearance.

"I'm not advocating any particular plan," he said. "I'm trying to lay down some concepts that I believe are important."

Warren's testimony wrapped up public hearings begun last week and left only one remaining interruption for the committee deliberations.

Representatives of the Consolidated University and the Board of Higher Education will appear before the lawmakers Friday to discuss graduate programs.

Senator F. O'Neil Jones (D-Anson) urged that the representatives be invited to discuss further the number of programs that have been discontinued, and the committees agreed.

## Insight today

The out-of-state tuition increase has been one of the most controversial campus issues since its passage this summer by the N.C. General Assembly.

DTH reporter Norman Black takes a look at the facts behind the increase and what effect it is having on the University.

...see page 3

## Cafeteria forum planned Oct. 6

by Jessica Hanchar  
Staff Writer

The Student Food Service Commission planned Thursday an open forum for students to discuss complaints with Servomation-Mathias, Inc., the campus food service.

The forum will be held October 6 at the Student Union.

Student Body President Joe Stallings, speaking at the first meeting of the commission, said, "I suggest an open forum type of meeting for students to get problems out of their systems."

He outlined two main reasons for setting up the food commission and open forum. "A stigma is attached to any food service on campus because of the disadvantage of a bad history of campus food services," he said.

"Secondly, when dealing with a food service, you are dealing directly with every student that comes through the doors," he added. "This is different from other problems which affect only segments of students indirectly."

The commission would act as a student liaison to Robert Greer, director of Servomation at UNC, Stallings said.

Greer, a non-voting member of the commission, explained the present services and future plans of the company. Servomation operates Chase Cafeteria, a

catering service from Chase, the Pine Room cafeteria, the Student Union Snack Bar and the Student Stores snack bars in dorms.

"There are two things more important than income," he said. "They are service and housekeeping. These are our two primary responsibilities in a campus food service."

Specific complaints and plans were postponed to the open forum for discussion.

Stallings pointed out five areas of complaints the commission expected to discuss. They were quality and variety of food, cost of food, speed and quality of service, adequacy and cleanliness of facilities and personnel relations with students.

Stallings suggested commission members get input from students in their living areas, who might not be able to come to the open forum.

"It is in the student's best interest to have a good food service, and it is in the best interest of the food service to have satisfied customers," Stallings said.

"Hopefully, through this forum, we can learn how to better serve the students," added Greer.

Greer said he is in the process of developing a questionnaire for all on-campus students.

## In speech at Duke Bayh asks judicial reform

by Pam Phillips  
Staff Writer

Senator Birch Bayh (D-Indiana) said Wednesday night Americans must rededicate themselves to the "rule of law" or society will revert to the rule of the jungle or the pattern of a totalitarian state.

Calling for a major overhaul in the U.S. court systems, Bayh summarized the developments which have outmoded today's system of jurisprudence in a speech at Duke's Page Auditorium.

The Indiana Democrat arrived three hours late because of weather conditions and spoke less than half an hour. The auditorium was almost filled at 8 p.m. when the speech was scheduled to begin, and most stayed until Bayh arrived.

Bayh attributed crime increase and loss of freedoms to various programs initiated under the guise of protection. He specifically attacked a detention program for repeat offenders that may be enacted in Washington, D.C. This would allow incarceration of criminals for 60 days.

Bayh also denounced massive spying efforts on private individuals. "These

solutions do not successfully inhibit crime," he said.

To deal effectively with crime in the inner cities, Bayh suggested more adequate police training programs and pay increases to attract more qualified personnel.

Declaring a "national crisis in our courts," Bayh said, "There is justice for the rich and no justice for the poor. The poor seldom get their adequate day in court."

He praised the legal services now available to some minority groups, such as the Chicanos in California.

Citing the repeat offender rate among criminals, Bayh called for better vocational readjustment in the penal system.

"We are never going to solve any problems if we don't stop putting human beings in these snake pits," said Bayh.

Recidivism is presently 70 percent in most penal institutions, Bayh said. He added, "These prisons lack recreational, vocational and professional help for those who may have mental or drug problems."

According to Bayh, more is spent for household pets than for the nation's police force. Money alone cannot assure a

high-quality police force, he said, but a change in attitudes is needed to accomplish this, he emphasized.

Bayh, a constitutional authority, told students President Nixon has an excellent opportunity to advance women's rights by appointing a woman to former Justice Hugo Black's seat in the Supreme Court.

He concluded his speech with a question-and-answer period during which he condoned the use of military surveillance only in instances of external subversion.

He declined supporting a specific stand concerning marijuana until research studies were finished. Even so, he favored a lessening of penalties, with punishment designed at getting the pusher.

Bayh has been active in politics since he was 25, when he won a seat in the Indiana House of Representatives, where he served for eight years. In 1962, he won election in an upset victory to the U.S. Senate.

As chairman of the constitutional amendments subcommittee, Bayh authored and guided the passage of the 25th Amendment, which deals with presidential inability and succession.

## Redistricting plan challenged Orange leader likes law suit

by Bill Lovin  
Staff Writer

An Orange County Democratic leader said Thursday he is "glad to see" a law suit challenging the Congressional redistricting plan adopted by the N.C. General Assembly.

"The Orange County Democrats have fought the plan ever since it came out of committee," said Roger Foushee, chairman of the Orange County

Democratic Party. "I'm glad to see this court test."

Wilbur Hobby, state AFL-CIO President, and Chapel Hill attorney Lawrence James, announced Wednesday they would file suit in federal court seeking an injunction to halt redistricting.

The suit was to be filed Thursday in U.S. Middle District Court in Greensboro.

Hobby said, "I do not believe redistricting was done on a one-man, one-vote basis, but was done to protect the status quo and the present

incumbents in Washington.

"The plan is unconstitutional, unfair and inequitable."

One effect of the redistricting would be to place Orange County in the 2nd Congressional District, rather than the present 4th District.

Foushee said the Research Triangle counties belong in the same district.

"Orange County's interests lie with the Research Triangle counties," he said. "We don't belong in the 2nd District. We don't belong in an eastern, rural district with

## Weather

TODAY: cloudy with a chance of mostly afternoon and evening thundershowers; high in the 70s; probability of precipitation 40 percent through tonight.

## Alcohol proposal: tighter than state laws

by Lou Bonds  
Associate Editor

Consolidated University President William C. Friday and the Administrative Council directed the deans of student affairs at each University branch to re-examine University regulations on alcoholic beverages last spring.

The need for such a study was clear.

### News analysis

First, University regulations were more restrictive than state law. Secondly, students were not paying attention to University regulations.

University policy, as set in 1968, outlaws the consumption and possession of alcoholic beverages anywhere on the six campuses.

The policy has been violated on a large scale at each branch since its conception. Students openly stored and drank beer and liquor in their rooms. Beer blasts

were common occurrences. Liquor was brought to football games by the gallon.

At the deans' first meeting, it was agreed some changes and clarifications of the policy were needed. They agreed on a second meeting during the summer that would include student representatives.

The meeting during the summer was cancelled "because of the press of more compelling issues."

The deans met Sept. 14 without the student body presidents.

From their meeting came the first draft of a proposed policy revision that would allow beer to be consumed and possessed in dorm rooms and "non-residential University facilities and on occasions as the Chancellor of each campus of the University may choose to designate." Liquor remained banned.

The deans agreed to meet again Sept. 22, this time with student body presidents.

Copies of the draft were distributed to each person expected to attend the meeting. They were asked to keep the contents confidential.

At Wednesday's meeting, it was first agreed that members of the press would be barred, but after reconsideration

Friday ordered that reporters be admitted.

Richard Robinson, assistant to Friday, distributed copies of the deans' proposal allowing beer but outlawing liquor and then passed out inserts which presented a more liberal policy.

The questions to be argued were what types of alcohol were to be allowed on campus and where, when and how to clarify the existing differences between state regulations and University ones.

From the beginning, it appeared that no one favored banning liquor from campuses. The inserts added to the proposal allowed both beer and liquor in dorm rooms and allowed the chancellor to designate areas where beer and liquor may be consumed and when.

In a memorandum distributed Wednesday the situation was set forth. The memo recognized that "some residents of University dormitories possess, store and consume alcoholic beverages" in their rooms. It stated student groups and organizations do conduct "beer parties."

On the question of hard liquor, it noted that "adult groups (faculty, alumni, non-University)" such as

"members and guests of athletic sponsorship organizations" do consume liquor on campus.

The memorandum stated five options left open:

—Repeal all University restrictions and leave the state regulations as the policy, with no University punishments;

—Adopt University regulations which are coextensive with state law. Violations would be punishable under state criminal penalties and University disciplinary action;

—Adopt a University policy validating possession and consumption of all types of alcoholic beverages in dormitory rooms; and

—Adopt a policy more restrictive than state law.

State law was discussed as it applies to the University.

First, the council members recognized state law allows consumption and possession of beer by those persons of legal age without restriction.

Secondly, hard liquor may be consumed and possessed in one's private residence and in secondary residences (motels, hotels, etc.) with the owner's permission.

N.C. Attorney General Robert

Morgan, upon Robinson's inquiry defined dormitory rooms as secondary residences. This brings up the question of whether liquor could be allowed in the dorms with the owner's permission and, therefore, who is the owner of the dormitory rooms?

On this question, council members agreed that if the Administrative Council approved their recommendation, the "owner's permission" would be granted because the trustees, the state or whoever could be called the owner.

The question of non-residential areas was more vague.

"Can you carry beer and liquor in the hallways? What about social lounges, student unions, dining halls, and snack bars," council members asked.

Most council members agreed the chancellor should be allowed to designate these areas. Student members, however, were quick to point out need for consultation with students before the chancellor made his decisions.

All suggestions were taken down by Robinson who promised to make another proposal draft and mail it to the members within the next week.

If there are no major objections to the proposal, it will be submitted to the

Administrative Council for their approval or rejection.

Robinson said he did not anticipate any "major objections" and that the policy should be ready for an Administrative Council decision by Oct. 14.

If major objections do arise, Robinson said there will be a need for another meeting.

It appears, at this point, that no major objections will be voiced to the policy allowing beer and liquor in the dorms and allowing the chancellor to designate other areas for consumption.

Some groups are already studying the possibilities of ABC permits for beer and brown-bagging in student unions. Beer will be allowed at football games and it is believed that liquor might be legally brought in stadiums.

The chances are good that the Administrative Council will accept the advisory council's recommendation. There will be bargaining for "special areas" for consumption. Some legal definitions are still forthcoming.

But state law will not be superceded. And state law says how old you must be to drink. And it says the University cannot sell beer and liquor.