

Trustees okay new alcohol policy

by Evans Witt
Staff Writer

The Executive Committee of the Consolidated University (CU) Board of Trustees voted Friday to give the chancellors of the six CU campuses sweeping new powers to control possession and use of alcoholic beverages on their campuses.

The committee voted to permit the chancellors to determine when and where liquor can be consumed on University campuses in the greatest reversal in University alcohol policies this century. The chancellors will also have the

power to take whatever affirmative action is necessary to insure that the consumption of alcohol is in compliance with state laws, according to the new policy.

The committee action came in their regular November meeting Friday morning at the General Administration Building on Raleigh Road near campus.

Trustee Tom J. White of Kinston introduced the resolution giving the chancellors all the rights and powers under law as owners of the property and buildings on their campuses to control the use of alcoholic beverages.

The major results of the trustee action are:

— Students and their guests may consume beer and wine in their rooms at any time as long as they are of legal age.

— The chancellors, as owners of the dormitories, have to make the decision of whether to allow the possession and consumption of "hard liquor" in dorm rooms.

— If there is a request to hold a special party or event somewhere on a campus at which hard alcoholic beverages are to be served, the chancellor may, if he so chooses, request the Alcoholic Beverage

Control Board to grant a license for the special event.

— The consumption of beer, wine and other "soft" alcoholic beverages in campus areas other than private rooms will be decided by the chancellor of the institution.

Richard Robinson, administrative assistant to CU President William C. Friday, said the executive committee went beyond the administration's original request and gave the chancellors complete authority, including that of ownership, to control alcohol consumption on their campuses.

Robinson presented a resolution and memorandum to the committee as a suggested means of coping with this problem.

The committee decided to reject the proposed resolution, which would have given the CU administration general powers to deal with the situation.

The memorandum Robinson presented was a set of guidelines for Chancellor action on the alcohol control matters. The guidelines had been worked out in a series of meetings with administrators and students this fall.

The Administrative Council recommended the guidelines in their meeting Thursday.

The council is made up of the six CU chancellors and Friday.

Robinson says the status of the proposed guidelines is now ambiguous.

The guidelines presently have no force or sanction, including the provisions for student consultation by the chancellor on all decisions on alcoholic beverage use on campus, he said.

But the guidelines still will serve as an indication to the chancellors as one possible set of procedures to use in making those decisions, Robinson said.

The new policy revokes the policy put forth in 1968 by the CU Administration which stated, "The University will not sanction any use of alcoholic beverages."

If the chancellors do not exercise their powers, Robinson said, state law will govern the consumption of all types of alcoholic beverages, subject to local ordinances.

For example, if a chancellor decided not to take any action on the consumption of soft alcoholic beverages, the state permits consumption under almost all conditions, including in classrooms.

Robinson said Chapel Hill does have a local ordinance which prohibits the consumption of any alcoholic beverages on public roads or public places.

The executive committee also formally denied a request by Thomas Vass for a change in residency status for tuition purposes, a move clearing the way for a court suit to decide the residency requirement's legality.

The committee also formally approved the necessary action to increase out-of-state tuition for the 1972-1973 school year as required by the N.C. General Assembly.

The Daily Tar Heel

78 Years of Editorial Freedom

Vol. 80, No. 64

Saturday, November 13, 1971

Founded February 23, 1893

Faculty Council delays Student Affairs revamp

by Evans Witt
Staff Writer

The Faculty Council returned to committee Friday a proposal to restructure the dean of student affairs office but approved recommendations for a campus forum and some student input into academic decision-making.

On the motion of H. Stanley Bennett, a professor in the Medical School, the Council returned the recommendations on the student affairs office to the Committee on Student Involvement in University Administrative Structures for further work.

In the regular meeting Friday afternoon, the council also received several reports from the standing committees on scholarships, registration and admissions.

Following the acceptance of the reports from the standing committees, Dr. Gordon B. Cleveland, chairman of the Committee on Student Involvement, presented the committee report to the group.

After a brief debate over the election process and the composition of the proposed campus forum, the council agreed by a voice vote to recommend the formation of such a group to the chancellor.

The forum is designed as a group representing a cross-section of the University community which would serve as a channel for complaints and recommendations to the chancellor, Cleveland said.

The council then took up the committee's recommendation to establish a board of directors over the Student Health Service, Student Union, student judiciary and Office of Resident Life. This move would have taken these agencies out of the office of the Dean of Student Affairs.

The proposed board would have direct access to the chancellor.

The main argument directed against the proposed changes was that it was an inappropriate administrative structure.

"I am deeply worried about this — it is an unsound arrangement that can't

possibly work," Bennett said. "To interpose a board of this kind will give rise to confusion and lack of control."

C. Carroll Hollis, a professor of English, voiced concern over taking these functions completely out of the office of the dean of student affairs.

"It's clear if we do this that we want to set up a by-pass for the whole dean of student affairs office," Hollis said. "We're asking the students to take over."

Cleveland defended the proposed structure by saying the services which were put under the new 20-member board were student-oriented and primarily student funded.

He added the intent of the committee was for the board to be a policy-making body, not an administrative one.

The council agreed by voice vote to send the recommendations on the student affairs office back to the committee for reconsideration.

The issue of student participation in decision-making in both curricular matters and personnel affairs was the central point of debate over the proposed

changes in academic structures.

There was very little disagreement that students should be included in curricular decision-making to some degree. The degree of participation should be left to the individual departments, council members agreed.

The input of student opinion into the personnel decisions on academic affairs was debated at length by the council. Most of the discussion centered on the rigid nature of some of the suggestions which were made in the committee report.

Bennett also took a leading part in the discussion of this issue, citing his poor experiences with student evaluations of professors on a structured basis at another school in 1948.

The council passed several amendments which eliminated the specific recommendations of the committee on gathering and reporting the student evaluations of faculty. One such deletion passed by a 25-16 hand vote, while the other deletion was approved by voice vote.

Weather

TODAY: Sunny and mild; highs in the upper 60's, lows in the mid 40's; near zero chance of precipitation through tonight.

Says Bella Abzug

'Congress unrepresentative'

by Lynn Lloyd
Staff Writer

Rep. Bella S. Abzug (D-N.Y.) said Thursday night "the United States Congress doesn't represent the needs and desires of the American people."

In a speech in Memorial Hall sponsored by the Carolina Forum and the Association of Women Students, Mrs. Abzug urged all women, young people and minority groups to join a movement for equal representation in the government.

"I see the unreality of Capitol Hill and the reality of my district," she said. "It's popular to criticize the government for doing nothing. This is wrong. The people are working hard, they just aren't representative of their districts."

A native of the Bronx of New York,

Mrs. Abzug has been in Congress since November of 1970. She represents a district in lower Manhattan.

"The average age of members of the House is 51 and those who hold the real power are over 70," she said. "We need the questioning spirit and ideals of youth in Congress."

"Three out of four people live in cities and metropolitan areas, and the people with power in Congress are from rural areas and the South thanks to seniority," she added. "It seems that they lead fights against what their people want, like an end to the war in Vietnam."

Mrs. Abzug said she thinks the U.S. will be clinging to Vietnam indefinitely. "Nixon has no intention of withdrawing his forces," she said. "We are going to have to set a time limit for him to get us out."


"People seem to be satisfied if our casualties keep going down. They don't know that Nixon has killed more people in this war than Johnson. We drop 200,000 pounds of napalm per hour on southeast Asia on people, not bridges."

She said the U.S. has turned Cambodia, Laos and Vietnam into "a laboratory of death. Unless the Americans make Congress set a certain date to pull our troops out, the war will never end."

Mrs. Abzug said there should be a "fundamental examination" of the U.S. foreign policy. "We should take another look at SEATO and NATO and strengthen the United Nations," she said.

She thinks the new economic program "makes the poor carry the burden of

See Congress, p. 3



No, it's not a portable fun house visiting Franklin Street. It's actually just a parked truck which captured some

interesting reflections of the downtown scene. (Staff photo by Leslie Todd)

Carolina can clinch ACC tie with victory today

by Mark Whicker
Sports Editor

CHARLOTTEVILLE, VA. — Although Carolina Coach Bill Dooley says he's worried, there doesn't seem to be much reason for the Tar Heels to fret about today's engagement with Virginia in Scott Stadium.

Carolina, at 7-2, can clinch a tie for the ACC title with a victory today.

Virginia, at 2-7, can only hope to give first-year coach Don Lawrence some needed encouragement.

The most dangerous Cavalier is halfback Kent Merritt, a sophomore who can run the 100 under 9.5. "He's probably the fastest halfback in America," Dooley says.

Merritt, who was recruited fiercely by the Tar Heels but decided to stay in his home town, has a 4.4 average and 697 yards. His longest run is 71 yards.

"And what a good time for a Kent," Lawrence must be thinking. His other runners, Gary Helman and Jim Lacey, have been hampered by injuries.

The Cavaliers may pass more than usual because of the on-again, off-again quality of the Carolina secondary, bolstered in last week's 26-13 win over Clemson by good coverage from returning linebacker Jim Webster.

Larry Albert appears to have won the Virginia quarterback job over sometimes spectacular sophomore Harrison Davis. Albert's best receiver is Bill Davis, who leads the ACC with 36 catches.

Second, and probably more prone to break a long touchdown, is junior Dave (Silky) Sullivan. Sullivan made a sensational diving catch to beat State two weeks ago. The other Virginia win was a 27-26 upset of Vanderbilt.

Another fast Virginian is defensive back Gerry Mullins, who has a 70-yard punt return and a 57-yard kickoff return to his credit.

Defensively, the Cavaliers held VPI to six points last Saturday. Their best player is All-Atlantic Coast Conference candidate Andy Selfridge at right tackle.

Selfridge is 6-5, 212. Teamed with right end Stanley Land, at 6-3, he can put formidable pressure on any passer. Billy Williams, at left end, had a

surprisingly good sophomore year in 1970.

Mullins and Bob McGrail key the secondary, which intercepted two early passes last year for a 15-0 Virginia lead in Kenan Stadium. However, the Tar Heels came back to win 30-15.

The Tar Heels must win this game to retain a good shot at an undisputed ACC title, to get into their second straight bowl game, and to post the best UNC record since Jim Hickey's Heels went 9-2 in 1963 and won the Gator Bowl.

However, Carolina has already put two winning seasons back-to-back — and Charlie Justice was still playing the last time the Tar Heels did that.

The great success of Lewis Jolley at

quarterback along gradually. Oglesby gained four yards in his only play last week after staying out with muscle injuries caused by leg cramps.

Senior Bill Sigler was effective at wingback. Paul Miller continued his bid for conference player of the year with another great performance, leading the Heels to more than 400 yards total offense.

The Heels played defense again Saturday after letting William and Mary have 35 points the week before. Clemson enjoyed only two plays in Tar Heel territory in the first half.

Part of this can be attributed to the punting of Nick Vidnovic. Bill Brafford dumped Clemson's quarterbacks twice and blocked a punt for a touchdown, and

linebackers John Bunting and Ricky Packard continued their all-star play.

And defensive back Rusty Culbreth had one of his best days. His 55-yard punt return touchdown was called back, but he later intercepted a pass to set up one of Ken Craven's four field goals, which set a school record.

Terry Taylor, a sophomore who is filling in well for injured linebacker John Anderson, also picked off a pass.

Carolina enjoyed its best all-around performance since the third game of the year last week, and just in time too. The post-season honors are within sight, which should insure psychological preparedness. That's about all they should need at Virginia today.