

Doug Clark

David and Goliath meet again

The lighter side Stimulus response, jobs and G-strings

In the spirit of academic reform, the University and its Faculty Council ought to consider a few new curricula changes in light of the current economic situation. While a liberal arts background is good for just about anything, in an employee's job market, it is good for nothing in the current market.

For those with an eye only on the job market, other schools exist to train them in basic skills. History majors who know that they will end up as bus boys or waitresses or as auto mechanics can enroll in more technically-oriented schools like N.C. State in Raleigh. Philosophy majors who may end up becoming barbers or beauticians might as well study in beautician school as at Carolina.

But some scholars with liberal arts backgrounds find jobs that even studies in the evening or in place of liberal arts degree programs cannot prepare them for. The Associated Press reported yesterday that in the Boston area, dreamlike haven for aspiring law or art students, more and more women with liberal arts undergraduate degrees are joining the ranks of the city's strip-teasers.

The publicist for Boston's adult entertainment district says approximately 15 of the 40 strippers there have college educations and average \$250 to \$1,000 a week.

Apparently they are not in it just for the money, but also for the pursuit of academic and educational interests.

"I've gained a lot of sophistication," says 26-year-old Elaine, a psychology graduate from Indiana University and a stripper at

the Two O'Clock Lounge in Boston. "This happens to be a really relevant form of social work," says Boston University psychology graduate Pamela, 22. "It gives you an understanding of people in an unusual social context." (Pam is saving her money for graduate school.)

If this is the trend of the future, then perhaps Carolina's psychology, sociology or physical education departments ought to consider some curricula changes in order to prepare undergraduates for the world of work. Women undergraduates could sign up for topless modern interpretative dance, the sociology of bar room brawlers and the role of stimulus-response theory in the use of G-strings.

Men undergraduates, on the other hand, could study for the microeconomics of pimping and exploitation, interpersonal gigoloing and modern critical thought concerning *The Midnight Cowboy* and other occupational literature.

If the faculty would devote time to implementing these kind of programs, maybe the unemployment of University graduates would show a decline. After all, it will help keep ex-students off the streets.

And even if the economy improves and other jobs become available, stripper Pauline (a student in Oriental culture) indicates that these skills are like money in the bank should the economy slide again.

"It's something you can always fall back on."

University athletic departments have come under a lot of fire lately to tighten their belts. They've been pressured to cut down expenses, share with women's programs, reduce scholarships and rosters and do with fewer coaches. They've been complying with these demands, but not without a great deal of screaming and crying.

Maybe this reluctance to cut down stems from the fact that many athletic directors, most of them former (or present) football coaches, feel a need to keep up with the

increasing trend toward professionalism in sports in this country. It's a trend that, as pro football and basketball proved, once started is nearly impossible to reverse.

The same is true with "big time" college athletics. To be successful today, it seems, a college team must have enough money to attract quality athletes. In the case of football and basketball, the "money-makers" revenue depends to a large extent on success, and success depends on money spent to obtain the best athletes, coaches,

equipment, etc. So it takes money to make money. Meanwhile other teams have to spend more to remain competitive and so on. The entire situation has the potential to get out of hand, if it hasn't done so already.

The NCAA has taken a step in the right direction towards averting an uncontrollable situation. Besides occasionally penalizing the institutions it happens to catch overstepping NCAA guidelines, it has ruled to reduce the allowable number of scholarships available to each college team,

as well as limiting traveling and home squads. But this is only a first step. For example, starting next year each university football team may have only 95 scholarship players. That number is approximately twice as many players as pro teams are allowed on their rosters, and is 47 more than the NCAA allows to travel to away games.

Not all college teams require scholarship athletes in order to be successful. The Duke cross-country team finished 11th in the nation last year with only one scholarship runner. But that's cross-country. The UNC women's basketball team was highly successful last year with no scholarship players. But that's a women's sport.

A very immediate example of amateur vs. semi-pro college teams can be seen Friday on Fetzer Field when the Carolina soccer team hosts the Tigers of Clemson University and the World. It's a real life David and Goliath story.

Clemson is currently the top ranked soccer team in the nation. Featured is a cast of 20 foreign players, mostly Africans. They play an extremely high quality, exciting brand of soccer.

UNC's team, on the other hand, is made up of Americans. However, they too are excellent athletes, and they are exciting. Personally, I feel that soccer games have a great deal to offer to the sports enthusiastic UNC community, especially when Clemson is in town (which is once every two years).

The UNC soccer team does not have cheerleaders, marching bands or concession stands. But neither is admission charged, so bring your own refreshments and come anyway. I would strongly urge all amateur sports fans to come to Fetzer Field Friday at 4 p.m. to enjoy some of the finest soccer you'll ever see in this country, and encourage the Tar Heels to prove that an athlete doesn't have to be paid to play well. And if David wins again, you won't want to miss it.

Doug Clark is a junior journalism major from Hickory.



letters

CGC District VII has 'too large a voice'

To the editor:

In the excellent article which appeared in Tuesday's *DTH* under the headline, "Referenda, CGC seats on campus ballot," Big Ben Steelman took the opportunity of seeing his name in print to criticize the job Brad Lamb and I have done on the Campus Governing Council.

"Hinton James dormitory has been left essentially without a voice in CGC because that dormitory's co-representatives, George Bacso and Brad Lamb, have abstained on a large number of major issues," Steelman stated. At least that's how Ben's quote appeared in print. My CGC experience leads me to believe that the actual quote was ten times as long and filled with empty rhetoric. Ben's constituency has too large a voice.

In the true tradition of campus politics (please, not me!), petty issues and the *DTH* editorial page, in an effort to save my tarnished name and with a sense of duty to my constituency, I reply:

First, the issue of co-representation. Being co-reps has given Brad and me a greater opportunity to educate our constituency on issues before CGC and seek their views. And when one of us cannot attend a CGC meeting, the other can. Nuff said.

About abstention. Abstentions sometimes come about when Brad and I disagree on an issue, but this is usually not the case. When we abstain, it is most likely because we just cannot make a definite decision on a multi-sided issue. CGC reps sometimes vote just to vote. I believe it is the duty of a CGC member to cast an abstention when a clear-cut decision cannot be reached in his mind or amongst his constituency.

And if Ben is so concerned about the casting of abstentions, why did he abstain at least twice at the last CGC meeting?

It is also quite humorous to observe Ben's turnaround on the issue of co-

representation. When the constitutionality of our status as co-reps was brought before the Supreme Court last year, it was Ben Steelman who served as our chief counsel. I assumed this was because Ben was sympathetic with our case or cause but perhaps it was only a result of his love for oratory and Supreme Court cases.

If the type of co-representation Brad and I have offered has left James "essentially without a voice in CGC," then I can only hope that the rest of CGC becomes equally silent. As for Ben, he is already "dumb."

George Bacso
854 James

Against co-representation

To the editor:

Perhaps some clarification is necessary on my quote concerning co-representation in the Campus Governing Council, which appeared in yesterday's *DTH*.

George Bacso and Brad Lamb, James' co-representatives in CGC, have worked hard in CGC committees, and each have nearly perfect attendance records of meetings.

From what I've been able to tell, they have gone out of their way to keep their constituents informed on what's going on, have sought their constituents' opinions on major issues, and have done a better job in this than most of their colleagues on the Council.

What I meant to say, when asked about the feasibility of co-office holding, was that on most controversial issues, where the CGC has been deeply divided, Brad and George have disagreed, and have abstained, as the roll call records in the CGC minutes will indicate. This has severely handicapped their effectiveness as representatives.

True, Brad and George do agree and exercise their vote on most bills that come before CGC. But most bills that come before CGC are relatively uncontroversial. Most people agree on them anyway, and they usually pass by unanimous consent or with only one or two dissents.

In other words, the outcome would have been the same if no Hinton James representative had voted at all.

It is where a vote from James might have decided a question or helped to decide a question — as in the Mike O'Neal confirmation last spring or the more recent controversy over the Treasurer's "freezing" powers — that George and Brad have divided. Brad and George can't really be blamed for this state of affairs. They're both intellectually honest, knowledgeable persons, who simply see things differently and vote their consciences. The fault is with a system which leaves one vote in the hands of two individuals. There is no way such a system can work equitably, no matter who the co-officers are — give them each a half-vote, for instance, and they'd cancel each other out.

The only answer I can think of is the anti-co-representation amendment, and for this reason, I have urged its passage.

Ben Steelman
CGC representative
403 Grimes

Response from James Dorm

To the editor:

As a concerned resident of James dorm, I feel it is my obligation to respond to a statement made by CGC member Ben Steelman in last Tuesday's *DTH*. In the

article, "Referenda CGC seats on campus ballot" Steelman questioned the representation which James has received from our co-representatives, George Bacso and Brad Lamb.

I would like to question Steelman's criticism. Not being a resident of James, he does not know how well these two have indeed represented us.

I have been a recipient of and an observer to the concern which Bacso and Lamb have shown for those they represent. Instead of taking matters into their own hands once elected, like many campus politicians seem to do, our co-representatives have consistently sought our opinions on major issues. If every CGC representative did their job as well as Bacso and Lamb, then the CGC, those they represent and the University as a whole would benefit greatly.

Edmund Joyce
1042 James

Abstaining votes effective

To the editor:

After living in Hinton James Dorm for three years, I feel most informed on campus activities since George Bacso and Brad Lamb have been my Campus Governing Council representatives. In "Referenda, CGC seats on campus ballot," Ben Steelman makes the quote that James Dorm is "essentially without a voice in CGC," because of the number of abstentions that Bacso and Lamb have made. To this argument I say, who are you Ben Steelman to say what the people of Hinton James Dorm are thinking?

Just because someone abstains from voting doesn't mean they don't care. An abstaining vote can be as effective as a "yes" or "no" vote. It can show that Bacso and Lamb disagree with a bill and that the only way they can get the views of the people they represent public is to abstain.

I feel that if other members of CGC would make themselves available to the people they represent as Bacso and Lamb have, all this talk of recall could be pushed aside. Other members of CGC should look up to George Bacso and Brad Lamb for the job that they have done. All others should be fortunate to have the CGC representatives that we have in Hinton James Dorm.

George E. Spantgos
942 James

No relation to declaration

To the editor:

One of the dates that I suggested in my address on University Day as an anniversary that might have been observed by the University was December 18, 1776, not July 4, 1776, as *The Daily Tar Heel* reported on Monday. On December 18 the North Carolina Provincial Congress adopted the first state constitution which directed that "all useful learning shall be duly encouraged and promoted in one or more universities." I am not aware that the national Declaration of Independence said anything that might be interpreted as having "set the theoretical base for universities" as your report indicated.

William S. Powell
Professor of history

From the Etheridge family

To the editor:

My family and I would greatly appreciate

it if you would run this letter in your paper, as we believe it would reach more of the students at the University of North Carolina.

We would like to express our heartfelt gratitude for the many kind and thoughtful ways in which the students helped us during the time of our great loss. So many of Harry's friends came to the service, and graced us later with their presence in Harry's home. We have also received so many lovely cards and notes and other expressions of sympathy. It has helped us so much, and we treasure all the honors shown to our son. Harry loved the University of North Carolina and Chapel Hill and its friendly citizens.

God bless you all and give you peace.

The family of Harry Etheridge
Wilson, N.C.

Myth-dispelling festival

To the editor:

On Saturday, October 11th, Morrison, James and Ehringhaus collectively presented "The South Campus Festival." This was the first time in the history of South Campus that the high-rise dorms united, for any purpose. In hopes of dispelling some of the widely held myths concerning South Campus life, and in hopes of countering the negative feelings about us, we opened the party to all UNC students so that you could see another side of South Campus life. Over 4,000 students drank and danced to the music of "Times Square," and we consider the event to have been an overall success.

For the extra effort and time they put forth, we would like to express a special gratitude to Dr. Condie, Director of University Housing; Russ Perry, Assistant Director in charge of Physical Operations; Sherry Nesmith, secretary to the Director and the remainder of the Housing Administration; Ted Marvin, Director of Campus Security Services and the Campus Police. We would also like to thank the Air Force ROTC who voluntarily cleaned the parking lot Sunday morning. Finally, we would like to acknowledge the residents of Morrison, James and Ehringhaus for their efforts.

Bill Fairbanks
Kevin P. Roddy
Co-Governors
Morrison Residence College

Jam Pecosk
Robert Willis
Co-Governors
James Residence College

Jack Sussman
Governor
Ehringhaus Residence College

The *Daily Tar Heel* welcomes letters to the editor. Letters must be typed, double-spaced, on a 60-space line and are subject to condensation or editing for libelous content or bad taste.

Unsigned or initialed columns on this page represent the opinion of the *Daily Tar Heel*. Signed columns represent the opinion of the individual contributor only.

Letters should not run over 50 lines (300 words) and should be mailed to the *Daily Tar Heel*, Carolina Union.

The Daily Tar Heel

Cole C. Campbell
Editor

Jim Grimsley
Managing Editor

Greg Porter
Associate Editor

Ralph J. Irace
Executive Editor

Jim Roberts
News Editor

Robin Clark
Features Editor

Susan Shackelford
Sports Editor

Barnie Day
Projects Editor

Joyce Fitzpatrick
Graphic Arts Editor

83rd Year of Editorial Freedom

Ben Steelman

News from the political front

CHAPEL HILL (UPI) Nov. 13 — In an unprecedented move this morning, Student Body President Bill Bates announced in a Suite C press conference that he was dismissing his wife of two years, Debbie, on the grounds of "extreme divergences of opinion."

"It just didn't work out," the dapper ex-husband explained in a halting voice. "Debbie is an extremely intelligent, personable girl with a wide range of talents and abilities. Unfortunately, these abilities could not be contained within the bounds of her office as wife."

In response to reporters' questions, Bates (frequently mentioned as a possible Democratic candidate for lieutenant governor in 1976) explained that he did not believe conventional divorce statutes would bar the dismissal, citing the Student Body Constitution as the source of his power.

"As I read the law," he remarked, "the power to appoint obviously implies the power to fire."

Debbie Bates, currently serving as acting Executive Secretary, was dumbfounded when contacted by reporters. "I thought that everything was fine between us until yesterday afternoon, when I walked in and found a note from Bill on my desk, ordering me to pack up and get out."

"I tried to go in the President's office to talk to him about it, but he had changed the

locks." Sources close to Suite C meanwhile announced that applications for a new wife would be available in Suite C later today, and that interviews for the position would be scheduled as soon as possible.

CHAPEL HILL (UPI) Nov. 14 — Erstwhile Student Body Treasurer Mike O'Neal today performed what may well be the last act of his UNC political career.

Breaking into the Treasurer's office early this morning, he barricaded himself inside with furniture, smashing his window open with the butt of a Thompson submachine gun, and shouted to the students passing below, "You'll never take me alive!"

Chapel Hill police, who quickly surrounded the Union shortly after the incident was reported, believe that O'Neal, a 58-year-old journalism graduate student, is armed with a bazooka, two M-16 rifles, a sawed-off shotgun and 12,000 rounds of ammunition.

"That son-of-a-gun won't come out for love or money," said Police Chief Eno Frobish. According to Frobish, attempts earlier in the day to force O'Neal out with tear gas had failed miserably. The gas bombs exploded in the office as planned, but O'Neal still would not budge.

Late this afternoon, University

spokesmen announced that O'Neal's mother and his pastor, "Fightin' Father Frank" O'Flaherty, had both arrived on the scene and were pleading with O'Neal, via loudspeaker, to give himself up peacefully.

The same source, however, denied rumors that O'Neal's political mentor and boyhood idol would be flown in directly from San Clemente to join in amnesty negotiations.

CHAPEL HILL (UPI) Nov. 15 — The suspense over the outcome of the Bates-O'Neal case ended today, as the UNC Student Supreme Court, in a surprise move, declared Student Government unconstitutional, null and void.

In the interim until a new SG is established and free elections called, the Court announced that it would act as a five-man junta.

"We had to do it," explained Chief Justice Darrell Hancock of the Court's unanimous decision. "One more asinine case like this, and I'd flunk out of law school."

Meanwhile, students in the Pit, asked to respond to the abolition of their representative democracy, generally responded that they had not noticed anything was different.

Ben Steelman is a senior history major from Louisburg, N.C.