

Cloudy with an increasing chance of showers. High in the middle 70s and low in the upper 40s. Rain chance: 40 percent today and 60 percent tonight.

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

There will be a general staff meeting for all DTH writers and copyeditors Wednesday afternoon at 5 in the lobby outside the DTH office.

Iran makes no decision on hostages

The Associated Press

The Iranian parliament failed again Monday to reach a decision on the fate of the 52 American hostages in debate that linked the issue with Iran's raging war with Iraq, government sources said.

A spokesman for the parliament, the Majlis, said it adjourned after six hours of discussing the hostages, who spent their 359th day in captivity Monday, and would return to the issue Wednesday.

In Washington, State Department officials refused to describe the prolonged debate as a setback for the United States. The debate started Sunday.

Department officials said they had no word from Tehran what actions might be taken by the Majlis. One proposal known to be under consideration would be to release most of the Americans and hold the others, possibly for trials on espionage charges.

A number of reports late last week suggested the hostages would soon be released. According to one television account, the Americans were to be set free in two groups, on Sunday and Monday.

The 228-member parliament's decision for a lengthy, closed-door debate was seen as a positive sign that Iran wanted to resolve the year-long crisis that led to the United States freezing Iranian assets and imposing an economic and military embargo on Iran.

But the debate immediately bogged down in charges of U.S. support for Iraq in the five-week-old war with Iran, reporters present at the Sunday session said.

Meanwhile, on Monday, Iran lost contact with its embattled port city of Khorramshahr while Iraqi infantry and armored columns fought a fierce battle for the bridge that would put them on the road to Iran's giant refining center at Abadan, war communiques reported.

Iran acknowledged for the first time that Iraqi tanks and infantry platoons had made their way around Abadan and that the city faced assaults from the south as well as from the north.

"In spite of their inferior military equipment, the Iranian forces are

See HOSTAGES on page 2



DTH/Charles Vernon

Waiting

For the past few weeks, it seemed as if every student's life revolved around the library and mid-term exams. Now that the first round is over, it's time to come up for air and look around. Take a few

moments to enjoy the fall sights before the weather turns really cold. This cedar tree out by University Lake blocks the setting sun, creating a glow effect that almost appears to split the sky in two.

SHS to propose health fee hike

By KERRY DEROCHI Staff Writer

The Daily Tar Heel has learned that Dr. James Taylor, acting director of Student Health Services, will propose an increase in student health fees to the Student Health Service Advisory Board today.

Danny McKeithen, chancellor's committee coordinator for Student Government, said Taylor told him a fee increase would be proposed as part of the service's preliminary 1981 budget, but Taylor would not cite any figures.

Taylor would neither confirm nor deny Monday his intention to propose a fee increase.

Student Body President Bob Saunders said he was surprised at the proposal. Saunders had announced to the UNC Board of Trustees Thursday that Student Government would oppose any fee increase.

"A fee increase is totally unjustified," Saunders said. "I'm surprised they even thought of it in light of the errors made in the surplus projection."

The SHS had predicted a surplus of \$90,000 from its 1980 budget but it recently announced a surplus of \$172,000. The surplus is partly from student health fees and miscellaneous

incomes such as X-ray and laboratory fees.

Health service officials estimated during a debate on a student health fee increase last year that they would take in an extra \$90,000, Saunders said. A \$15 per year increase was passed by the health service advisory board and the Board of Trustees despite Student Government protests.

Carolina's health fee, which now stands at \$130 per year, is the highest health fee charged by any school in the 16-campus UNC system.

"The trend of projections (of health service fund surpluses) that have been wrong is something we pointed out last year, but it didn't carry much weight," Saunders said. "It has come to the point where we will doubt this year's prediction also."

The 1979 deficit for the Student Health Service was \$6,703. It had predicted a deficit of \$76,000.

Saunders said the health fee should be reduced and the financial structuring of the Student Health Services should be studied.

If the increase to be proposed by Taylor is approved by the SHS Advisory Board, it will be submitted to Vice Chancellor of Business and Finance John Temple and then to the Board of Trustees.

SG relations with officials 'troubled'

By KERRY DEROCHI Staff Writer

Two Student Government officials charged Monday that the UNC Office of Student Affairs was overstepping its responsibility in dealing with UNC students.

Student Body President Bob Saunders and Executive Assistant Scott Norberg also said a breakdown in communications between Student Government and the student affairs office has eroded the two groups' working relationship.

The Office of Student Affairs has jurisdiction over services directly relating to students, including housing, Student Health Services, the Carolina Union, University Counseling Services and handicapped students' services.

"Student Affairs is best when they concentrate on the delivery of services, but Student Government is best on being the advocate of the students," Saunders said. "We are not communicating; they don't understand our role."

Student Affairs often assumes it can work for the students without advice from students or Student Government, Norberg said.

"Most of what Student Affairs does affects the students, and it is beyond me how—if we don't walk over there, call them up and tell them what we think—they never ask us for it," Norberg said. "If you are doing something that affects students, it seems logical to me you would want to know what the students think of it."

"I don't see how the relationship can get any worse than it is," he said.

Two officials in the Office of Student Affairs and Chancellor Christopher C. Fordham III said, however, that they felt there were no large problems between the two groups.

"There are communication lapses, but that does not signify an overall trend

of problems," Fordham said. "I have not seen such an overall trend, but more a collaboration by both to work together on all things."

Saunders agreed that on a personality basis, he and Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs Donald Boulton worked well together, but the basic philosophical differences between their two staffs had caused some undue problems.

Student Government was not informed of an opening of the position of Student Government adviser by the student affairs office, Saunders said, but happened to discover an advertisement in the *Chronicle of Higher Education* asking for applicants for the position.

"I'm upset because I was not informed of this job vacancy. I was not consulted whether our present adviser was doing a good job or what role a Student Government adviser should have," Saunders said. "Unfortunately, I found out about the vacancy after the deadline for applications."

The Student Government adviser, a position held by Sharon Mitchell, also is supposed to advise fraternities, sororities and the Sports Club Council. Intra-Fraternity Council member Lee McAllister said, however, that he was informed of the job vacancy.

"In my point of view, it is not that we want to tell them who to hire, but it is something of my concern as it affects the relationship between Student Affairs and Student Government," Norberg said. "To me, their failure to notify us I can construe as a breach of faith."

Boulton could not be reached for comment about the advising position.

Norberg said he also had had problems obtaining information from the student affairs staff because he

See COMPLAINTS on page 2



DTH/Charles Vernon

Paula Press' store specializes in classic pre-1960 styles ...Back Then Vintage Clothiers sells inexpensive clothing

Shops offer clothes at cheaper prices

By LEE HINNANT Special to The Daily Tar Heel

Are you tired of paying high prices for polyester? If so, and you want to experiment with your wardrobe, Chapel Hill has some shops that specialize in recycled clothes at bargain prices.

"We're actually about three stores in one—camping, surplus and recycled clothing," said Richard Levine, owner of Poor Richard's in Kroger Plaza. Though the store has been selling recycled clothing for 14 years in various places, Levine said he liked the present space for his business the best.

Poor Richard's offers "clothes that are priced for half of what the downtown stores sell them," Levine said. A faithful group of suppliers and high volume purchases of closeouts and surplus clothes are reasons for the low prices, he said.

"Surplus is different in that you don't have to know what the fashions will be six months ahead of time," he said. Poor Richard's used clothing and military surplus ranges from wool tops

and sport coats to camping socks and khakis.

"We never put a hard sell on anyone," Levine said. "You won't get much sales pressure." Although he said he tried to sell the best brands he could get, Levine said recycled denim sold better than new blue jeans.

For those more interested in fashion, Back Then Vintage Clothiers, 405 W. Franklin St., offers an alternative to today's mass-produced synthetics.

Owner Paula Press said she sold both unworn and recycled clothes but she "only selects things that are in excellent condition...excellent examples of fashions of the past."

She said this year's fashion look is going back to the classic fashions and natural cloth. "Nothing in this store is polyester," she said, and the stock contains only pre-1960 clothes.

"Some looks are so classic that they are beyond a certain period," she said, referring to the styles of the '40s and '50s. "There are only so many styles that can be invented, so the traditional styles

See CLOTHES on page 2

Presidential race

Familiar themes likely for debate

WASHINGTON (AP)—When President Jimmy Carter and Republican Ronald Reagan meet in Cleveland tonight, their showdown debate is likely to stick to familiar themes: war and taxes, energy and the economy and the role of government in American life.

But on this occasion in the 1980 presidential campaign, the two political rivals will stand within a few feet of each other when they spell out their differences on issues.

The debates will be televised on all three major networks, beginning at 9:30 p.m.

Barring a slip of the tongue in response to an issue question, the strongest impression the two candidates are likely to leave will be a sense of their personalities.

If it's high drama Americans are expecting from the nationally broadcast debate, they probably will have to settle for the chance the two candidates will use some of their harsher campaign lines.

Will Carter fix Reagan with a cold stare and call the Republican nominee "extraordinarily naive" in his hope to renegotiate an arms control treaty with the Soviet Union? Will the president describe Reagan's tax cut plan as "voodoo economics"?

Will Reagan turn to the president of the United States and, in tones of outrage, call Carter's economic record one of "misery and despair unparalleled in recent history"? Will he accuse Carter of being "incompetent"?

If the insults start flying, how will the two rivals respond? Based on past performances, Carter is likely to unleash a bombardment of statistics intended to counter any allegation that he has failed to deal with any problem that confronted the nation during the past 3½ years.

On the other hand, Reagan is more likely to cock his head and look at Carter with an expression of hurt and surprise and respond with something like, "Do you really think I'm a warmonger?"

Under the debate format agreed on by both sides, the best opportunities for rhetorical fireworks will come during the second half when the candidates get their chance to respond directly to each other's statements.

On Monday, the president of the League of Women Voters selected four journalists from a field of 100 to ask questions in the debate.

Among the panelists is Harry Ellis, Washington correspondent for the *Christian Science Monitor*, who specializes in economics and energy reporting. Also chosen were William Hilliard, assistant managing editor of the *Oregonian* in Portland; Marvin Stone, editor-in-chief of *U.S. News and World Report*; and Barbara Walters of ABC News. The debate will be moderated by broadcast journalist Howard K. Smith.



DTH/Charles Vernon

William Leuchtenburg, political science professor, spoke about presidential debate ...compared Carter to Harry Truman and Reagan to Woodrow Wilson

Little effect on election seen

By CHARLES HERNDON Staff Writer

Tonight's presidential debate between President Jimmy Carter and Republican Ronald Reagan probably will not be significant to the Nov. 4 election unless one of the candidates makes a serious mistake, a prominent political scientist told an audience in UNC's Howell Hall Monday afternoon.

William E. Leuchtenburg, a DeWitt Clinton political science professor at Columbia University, said that although the debate in Cleveland tonight could swing the election, it would probably be of little consequence, since both Carter and Reagan would be careful to avoid a mistake or controversy.

"Reagan is an experienced public performer...and he will try to emphasize Carter's lack of success in domestic policy. Carter will stay away from his record and concentrate on who would be more likely to lead the country to war," he said.

"One would not normally think an election

debate would change a vote in anyway...but this particular election is different," Leuchtenburg said. He said the debate between Reagan and independent candidate John Anderson last month was inconsequential to the election race.

An elections analyst for NBC News from 1962 to 1972, and the author of several books on American politics, Leuchtenburg portrayed the 1980 race as an unusually uneventful campaign, despite the often heated exchanges between candidates Reagan and Carter, which have brought charges of mudslinging against both men.

"Not much has happened in this election. Up until now it has been very quiet," Leuchtenburg said. "The press has exaggerated the amount of personal bitterness between the two candidates, but this has not been a particularly bitter campaign."

Leuchtenburg dismissed the third-party candidacy of Anderson, but said it could swing key states from Carter to Reagan.

See SPEAKER on page 2