

Today will be cloudy, with light rain or drizzle, and temperatures in the low 60s. Rain ending tonight, turning cooler with the low in the mid-40s.

CHAMPUS MAIL—4 copies

NC Collection

Wilson Library

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

The Campus Y Committee on Undergraduate Education is sponsoring a forum on the College Curriculum Report. Students are encouraged to come and voice their opinions.

Volume 88, Issue 5/14

Wednesday, October 29, 1980 Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 933-0245
Business/Advertising 933-1163

Taylor asks for increase in health fee

By KERRY DEROGHI
Staff Writer

Acting Director of the Student Health Services Dr. James Taylor proposed in a Student Health Administrative Board meeting Tuesday a \$4 per year increase in the student health fee for next year.

With Taylor's proposal, students would pay an additional \$2 per semester. The health fee is now \$130, the highest student health fee of any college in the 16-campus UNC system.

Taylor said the proposal would help alleviate a deficit in the 1980-1981 budget that he estimated would be \$70,000. He said the revenue from the increase would be approximately \$80,000.

"I don't want another fee increase," Taylor said. "But we are sticking our heads in the sand if we say we can do the same thing with the same money on a year-to-year basis."

Taylor said he expected that the SHS would have to increase its income every year to keep up with the rate of inflation.

Board member Wayne Rackoff, a graduate student, said he did not know if he would support the proposed fee increase because the information he had been given about it didn't prove its necessity.

Taylor had included with his report projected health service expenditures for the 1981-1982 fiscal year.

"I think in making a decision about money—unless you have something behind the money figures—I'll question how good they are," Rackoff said. "Are we using the service more or are we just paying more for it?"

Student Body President Bob Saunders agreed with Rackoff that the board needed to study students' use of and needs from the service before increasing the fee. Saunders also said he questioned Taylor's projection of a \$70,000 deficit next year because past projections had been inaccurate.

"I think they underestimated the revenues and I think there are ways to cut expenditures," Saunders said. "The best signal we could send a new SHS director is that \$130 is in the prohibitive stage and there will be no more increases."

Taylor is acting as SHS director until a new one is chosen. The SHS had predicted a surplus of \$90,000 from its 1979-1980 budget, but it recently announced a surplus of \$172,000. That money has been used in the 1980-1981 budget.

In 1979, the deficit for the SHS was \$6,703, but a deficit of \$76,000 had been predicted by the SHS.

Taylor said the figures, which he presents at the beginning of each school year, were not guarantees but only projections done to the best of his ability.

"There is absolutely no way an organization like this one is going to do zero-budgeting and end up within one dollar taken in and one dollar spent," Taylor said. "I think if you are going to err, it is better to err on the side to have too much."

The board will discuss the proposal at its next meeting Nov. 18 and vote on it. If approved, the proposal will go to Vice Chancellor of Business and Finance John Temple and then to the UNC Board of Trustees for approval. Any fee increase request must be submitted to the Board of Trustees before Dec. 1 if it is to go into effect next fall.



DTH/Jay Hyman

The Great Pumpkin

This one's just right. Judging from the big smile on Sean Holliday's face, he and his mother, Diane, have found the perfect pumpkin in W.H. Davis' batch. Davis was out selling his pumpkins on East Franklin Street Tuesday.

Debaters shoot sparks; no fire

CLEVELAND (AP)—President Jimmy Carter and Republican Ronald Reagan argued war and peace in a climactic debate Tuesday night, the president accusing his challenger of dangerous and belligerent talk and Reagan replying that the use of military force should "always and only be a last resort."

The 90-minute confrontation produced no dramatic collision; rather there was a persistent, and sometimes spirited, exchange marked by frequent disputes over policies and programs. Reagan especially was aggressive in contradicting Carter when the president outlined the Republican's proposals. Carter was the master of detail.

The president said Reagan's vow to scrap the pending Strategic Arms Limitation Treaty with the Soviet Union was "a very dangerous and disturbing thing."

"I am not talking of scrapping," Reagan replied. He said he wanted to take the treaty back into renewed negotiations with the Soviet Union. "That is hardly throwing away a treaty and being opposed to arms limitation," he said.

Carter and Reagan both came on strong on the war and peace issue in the 90-minute debate, held one week before the presidential balloting.

"America has never gotten into a war because we were too strong," Reagan said. "We can get into a war by letting events get out of hand as they have in the last 3 1/2 years."

From the lecterns staged 15 feet apart, the Democratic president and Republican challenger traded answers and rebuttals under questioning by four reporters and commentators.

The questioning also prompted a sharp exchange on economic questions, with Carter calling

Reagan's tax cut plan ridiculous and Reagan charging that the president was distorting the Republican's record as governor of California.

Carter said Reagan's proposal for a 10 percent cut in income tax rates in each of the next three years was one of the "most highly inflationary proposals ever submitted to the American people."

Reagan responded: "We don't have inflation because the American people are living too well, we have inflation because the government is living too well."

The candidates argued more directly than past presidential debaters and used the forum to stress familiar themes, not to raise new ones. There were no explosions, but the opponents' differences smoldered as each man accused the other of weaknesses and misstatements.

When the president said his challenger had opposed medical care for the aged, Reagan smiled wearily and said, "There you go again." He added that when he opposed Medicare, it was because he favored an alternative measure—not because he didn't want the elderly to have medical assistance.

The two candidates also clashed sharply over Social Security, with Carter contending that Reagan in the past had favored making the program voluntary.

"That just isn't true," Reagan replied. "I don't believe we can go on just increasing the tax."

Reagan got the last words in the debate and used them for a soft sell, telling voters that if they thought they and the country were better off now than four years ago, then they probably should stick with what they had, but if they felt otherwise, he could change things.

See DEBATE on page 2

Trustees OK Wallace for minority post

From staff reports

Assistant Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs Harold G. Wallace has been approved by the chancellor and the UNC Board of Trustees for the position of vice chancellor for University affairs, *The Daily Tar Heel* for news.

Wallace's name will be up for approval by the UNC Board of Governors Nov. 14.

Chancellor Christopher C. Fordham III would neither confirm nor deny his selection of Wallace.

The position of vice chancellor for University affairs was created by Fordham last spring. He appointed a search committee to interview applicants for the post, and that committee recently gave Fordham its recommendations for the job. Fordham then submitted Wallace's name to the UNC Board of Trustees

for approval. It approved Wallace at its meeting Friday. The purpose of the position, according to its application, is to "report directly to the chancellor and advise and assist the chancellor on means for achieving increased minority presence and an improved environment for minority students and employees."

Two members of the Black Student Movement said Tuesday they were disappointed with the vice chancellor selection process.

"Although, I'm not entirely pleased with the process of selection, specifically because there was no minority input, I'll do my best to work with Harold Wallace. I think he'll do a fine job," Black Student Movement Chairperson Mark Canady said.

BSM Vice Chairperson Jesse Cureton also said he was pleased with the Board of Trustees'

selection but was disappointed that Hayden B. Renwick, associate dean in the College of Arts and Sciences, was not chosen. The BSM had endorsed Renwick for the position earlier this year.

"I'm disappointed that Dean Renwick didn't get it, but I'm disappointed more than anything that a black wasn't appointed to select nominees for the position," Cureton said.

He added that he hoped the person in the position would keep close contact with black students, and black students would be permitted to help decide what the office should do. Student Body President Bob Saunders agreed that part of the role of the vice chancellor was to keep in contact with black students, but he also said the role involved looking into official functions, such as student aid, admissions and institutional research.



Harold Wallace

ACC vs. Big Eight

Sooners not taking Tar Heels seriously

By BILL FIELDS
Sports Editor

There's this college football team from the Atlantic Coast Conference, that basketball league, getting ready to go to the land of oil wells and Coors beer to play a little 11-on-11 with a bunch of fellows from the Big Eight, that football league. A few days before the game, what do the Boomer Sooners of Oklahoma think about the visitors from the East?

"They don't know much about us," Carolina coach Dick Crum said, "and to be honest with you, I don't think they're taking us very seriously."

Crum, who spoke candidly Tuesday about the Tar Heels' game this Saturday in Norman, Okla., said he thought Oklahoma considered two games on its schedule—with Texas and Nebraska—important.

"Their two big games are with Texas and Nebraska," he said. "They regard it kind of as an accident that we're going in there like we are."

Carolina enters the game at 7-0 and is ranked sixth in this week's Associated Press poll; Oklahoma is 4-2 and No. 16. One early point spread makes the Tar Heels six-point underdogs. "I don't care if you're a six-point underdog or a 14-point favorite," Crum said. "You've

still got to go out and play."

Crum said he was looking forward to the trip, but added the match with the Sooners wasn't a special one for him. "Going to Norman will be a great experience for us. The players have done a great job of putting it in the backs of their minds until now. We've got to go in and play a good football game, and we'll have a good chance to win."

Several Tar Heel players have said part of their incentive when they play non-conference opponents is to gain respect for the ACC. Crum said he has pride for the league as well but stopped short of calling the trip a mission.

"We want to go out and play well because we're an ACC team," he said. "but this is not a holy crusade—here comes the ACC."

Carolina's preparation for the OU game has not differed from any other game, but this week has offered a different twist. Crum said he had received "a lot of letters" from people who live in Oklahoma, with the letters giving clues on how the Tar Heels could win the game.

See CRUM on page 5



DTH/Scott Sharpe

UNC Head Football Coach Dick Crum ...looking forward to Sooners game

Iranians to resume debate on hostages

The Associated Press

Iran's Parliament was set to resume debate today on the American hostages after a one-day pause marked by renewed uncertainty on their fate.

The Carter administration, moving toward a Nov. 4 presidential election that coincides with the hostages' first anniversary of captivity, expressed its irritation at news reports suggesting their release was imminent. The White House said it feared the reports could cause an Iranian backlash.

Iranian revolutionary leader Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini attacked President Jimmy Carter in a broadcast speech marking a religious holiday but did not mention the hostages. It was the holiday that halted a series of parliamentary debates on the hostages that began Sunday and were to resume today.

The 80-year-old Moslem patriarch, who wields virtually complete control over the government, said Carter was sitting in the White House while Iranians were being killed in battle.

Iran has accused the United States of aiding Iraq in the war and Prime Minister Mohammad Ali Rajai this week insisted the debate on 52 Americans was far less important than the war that is taking Iranian lives.

In Tehran, sources close to the debate said the parliamentary discussion was likely to continue at least several more days because of conflicting views on the demands that should be made on the United States for the hostages' release and whether all hostages should be freed together.

The sources said many deputies favored trying some of the Americans as spies and have pledged to drag out the debate as long as possible.

In Hamburg, West Germany, the ARD television network, quoting "informed circles," said Iran might demand live television time in the United States to argue its case before use American people as a condition for releasing some of the captives.

An Iranian parliamentary spokesman denied the television report. "This is not true," said an official who identified himself as Mr. Manafi at the parliament's public relations office.

In Bern, Switzerland, a spokesman for the Swiss—who handle U.S. affairs in Iran—said, "I have no information on the conditions of a possible release of the hostages. . . I cannot confirm any condition and there is no certainty about their release."

The spokesman, Denis Feldmeyer, said he had not heard the German TV report but a U.S. diplomat in Bern scoffed at it, saying, "It's starting to sound like Disneyland out there."

White House officials in Washington have been urging caution against too much optimism since the latest round of speculation began, attempting to keep optimism from becoming expectation.

State Department spokesman John Trotter said one troubling report quoted an unidentified Iranian as saying authorities in Tehran were interpreting the accounts as a form of pressure.

The speculation began to build when Iranian officials were quoted as saying the hostage issue could be resolved within days of the start of debate and has kept up steadily as the Nov. 4 anniversary approaches.

Observers in Tehran said the holding of special Parliament meetings on a Monday and a Wednesday, when the normal schedule is Sunday, Tuesday and Thursday, showed the leaders and the majority of members were determined to deal with the hostage issue.

Town Council denies Oaks proposal again

By ANN SMALLWOOD
Staff Writer

An attempt by Chapel Hill Town Council member Bill Thorpe to submit for reconsideration the council's Oct. 13 denial of a permit modification that would allow the conversion of The Oaks Apartments to condominiums failed in a 5-4 vote Monday night.

In another 5-4 vote, the council approved the special-use permit modification which would allow construction of an Esaco photo developing booth in Kroger Plaza. The application had been denied last April in the presence of a hastily drafted policy banning all drive-in facilities from the town. That policy was repealed in August.

The motion blocking The Oaks condominiums had passed in a 5-3 vote two weeks ago in the absence of council member James C. Wallace, an opponent of the permit denial. Thorpe had voted for the denial Oct. 13, he said, because he objected to a lawsuit filed by the applicant which he believed applied uncalled-for pressure on the council. His motion to reconsider came in response to the developer's withdrawal of the suit Oct. 17.

The motion failed when council member Bev Kawalec, an opponent of the Oct. 13 permit denial, unexpectedly sided with Mayor Joe Nassif and council members Joe Straley, Joe Herzenberg and R.D. Smith in voting against the proposed reconsideration.

Although Kawalec said she stood by her belief that the special-use permit modification should not have been denied, she said she thought that reversing the decision would undermine the council's credibility.

"It is impossible for the council to keep the trust and confidence of the community if we reverse our decisions like this," she said. "Whether or not the suit has been removed is irrelevant in my mind. It's certainly no reason to reconsider."

Developer Jerry B. Hyman of Greenboro's Bryant Homes Inc., had applied in August for the permit modification with the intention of buying the 124 units of The Oaks, a 7-year-old apartment complex on Burning Tree Drive and N.C. 54 East, restoring them and selling them as condominiums.

Hyman said he was surprised at the council's refusal to reconsider, but said he had no plans as yet to reinstate his suit against the town Board of Adjustment in Orange County Superior Court.

The approval of the special-use permit modification for Kroger Plaza's Esaco photo booth came after the applicant rejected a stipulation the council added Oct. 13 that would require the facility to be attached to Kroger's main building.

In other action, the council set two public hearings for Nov. 24. One will concern a proposed change in town subdivision ordinance which would allow cash payments from developers in lieu of the usual dedication of open space. The payments would be earmarked for future purchase of green space for public parks.

Also scheduled for Nov. 24 is discussion of the proposed revision of the town's leash laws which would require dogs to be physically restrained when they are not on their owner's property. Town ordinance now requires animals to be under voice command only.

A report from the Citizen's Task Force on the effects of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant on Chapel Hill was presented by Chairman Phyllis Lotchin. The task force was set up in May 1979 to study the projected impact of the power plant and suggest evacuation plans in case of nuclear accident.