

S'cool days  
Today will be partly cloudy and cooler with the high in the upper 40s. The low tonight will be near 20. There is a 10 percent chance of rain.

# The Daily Tar Heel

Forum

The Campus Y is sponsoring a DTH editor forum with candidates Jim Hummel and Thomas Jessiman as part of its Coffee Klatch today. All invited from 3-4:30 p.m. in the Union lounge.

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Volume 88, Issue 87 90

Thursday, January 29, 1981 Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 933-0245  
Business/Advertising 933-1163



Shadows of academia

## Changes considered for noise ordinance

By FRANK ZANG  
Staff Writer

Discussion between UNC Student Government and the Chapel Hill Town Council on noise ordinance revisions proposed last fall is starting again. Student Body President Bob Saunders and council members Joe Straley and Joe Herzenberg have begun looking at ways to ease some of the restrictions in last fall's draft ordinance.

Student Government objected to the strictness of the fall proposal, which included a ban on any outdoor amplified music without a \$5 permit, a cleanup deposit fee and deadline and a 10-foot limit on the height at which outdoor speakers could be placed. Noise permits would be available only for weekend nights and would have to be purchased two days in advance.

Town Council member Joe Straley said he was working on modifying some of the proposed noise restrictions, such as allowing permits to be purchased for parties on Thursday nights as well as for Fridays and Saturdays and raising the decibel limit at certain other times.

"I think the community would accept loud music in the evenings if the community was assured there would be a stop-time time," Straley said.

Straley said the town also had contacted a consultant from the Environmental Protection Agency to provide information on noise ordinances in other cities and on equipment to provide better measures of noise levels.

Town Council member Marilyn Boulton said she expected the main issues open for compromise would be the number of nights the decibel count could be exceeded and how high speakers could be placed, especially in the high-rise residence halls.

Saunders said the noise ordinance changes probably would be made either just before or just after Spring Break. He said he planned to meet with all the Town Council members at a work session and possibly hold a public hearing before any new ordinance comes up before the council for a vote.

"Student Government and the Town Council are working from two extremes," he said, "because, ideally, the students would like to throw out any ordinance and the town would like to stop all outdoor parties."

Saunders said he hoped all the student body president candidates realized that a reasonable compromise between the town and students on the noise issue would have to be reached. "Students can't just wish away the noise ordinance," he said.

## Consolidation plan overturned

### Board rules ballots will stay separate

By KERRY DEROGHI  
Staff Writer

The Elections Board voted Tuesday night to overturn its previous ruling to change the balloting procedures for the February elections.

Instead of using a consolidated ballot for the elections, the board ruled (8-4) in favor of having separate ballots, as have been used in the past. The board had ruled last week that a consolidated system would be more efficient. At Tuesday's meeting, however, the subject was reopened, and former Elections Board members spoke against the consolidated system.

Thomas Sharpe, the Elections Board member who organized the consolidated plan, reopened the question even though it had been passed already.

"I had no idea that these people on the board last

year would carry as much weight as they did," Sharpe said. "They're fearful of something new because of the chance of a supreme court case; they don't want to save money because we've already been budgeted for it."

The Elections Board was allocated approximately \$500 for the elections. Under the separate ballot procedure each race was separated into different ballots by different colors. During the tallying procedures, the ballots were counted and then verified.

In the consolidation system, all races would be placed on two ballots. The vote would be counted by a board member who would call names from a list. If the added totals in one race did not equal the number of ballots, the board would know something was wrong and redo the procedure.

Sharpe said the consolidation plan, which he had worked on for three weeks, would have saved money

by almost 70 percent of what the separate balloting will cost. Because all the races would have been on one ballot, it also would have saved time in tabulating totals, he said.

Elections Board member J.R. Pattisall disagreed, though.

"The money is not the point here," Pattisall said. "The point here is to run an efficient and accurate race that would avoid litigation afterward."

Elections Board Chairman Gregg James agreed with Pattisall. James said last year's vote totals had no errors.

"Though I like both ideas," he said, "the separate ballot sounds like it might be more accurate and I know it works; there was no fear of something new involved in our decision."



Thomas Sharpe

## United States won't send arms to Iran

WASHINGTON (AP)—Secretary of State Alexander M. Haig Jr. ruled out on Wednesday any shipment of U.S. arms to Iran — including those already paid for before the American hostages were seized in November 1979.

Haig said the Reagan administration would fulfill its obligations under the agreement that freed the Americans after 444 days of captivity. But he stressed that the Carter administration had not specifically discussed weapons in working out terms of the settlement.

Haig said the United States did not consider the armaments already purchased as a specific part of the Iranian assets which must be returned under the agreement. Instead, the arms may be sold elsewhere, and cash forwarded to Tehran, he said.

In his first news conference, Haig urgently cautioned American travelers to steer clear of Iran. Similarly, he told American businesses to be careful about restoring trade.

Haig also took a hard line toward the Soviet Union, though he said, "It is essential we maintain day-to-day, hour-to-hour contact."

He said Soviet intervention in Poland would have the gravest consequences and that the United States could not negotiate or ratify arms control agreements without considering Soviet behavior around the world.

For the time being, at least, Haig ruled out any high-level meetings between American and Soviet leaders.

See HAIG on page 2

## Graduation nears

### Accounting and marketing majors the most sought after

By SHAROYN MARSHALL  
Staff Writer

Students seeking careers in accounting and sales marketing can expect to be highly recruited again this year based on 1980 figures released by the University Placement Services.

General administration and computer science majors are not going to be far behind, either.

Joe Galloway, director of the placement service here, said although more liberal arts majors were placed in jobs last year, it was only because they outnumbered other major groups. But, percentage-wise, accounting and other business-related majors received the bulk of the jobs.

"Accounting has always been here and (has been) highly recruited," Galloway said. He added that data processing and computer science also had become popular majors during the past five years, but that few students were in these majors and the

market remained open.

The outlook for all these business-related careers seems bright for the next five years, Galloway said.

The University Placement Services offers job placement services to University seniors, graduate students and alumni. It places about 35 to 65 percent in jobs within three months after graduation, Galloway said.

"We don't profess to say we place everyone ourselves," Galloway said, "but we offer tips throughout."

The heaviest recruiting months are in January and February, but it slows to almost a halt by Spring Break, Galloway said.

"This is the optimum time students will ever have in order to get interviews with companies with little effort on their parts," he said. No recruiters visit the school in the summer.

The service does not take credit for jobs students might get from contacts made through the

recruiters. Often a student may find the perfect career opportunity through a recruiter who knows another contact person. Or, as was the case with Dennis Stage, a recruiter for the National Bank of Georgia, a visitor may find someone who is better-suited for a job other than what he initially applied for.

Stage was interviewing for management positions at Duke University when he found a student with prospects for an electronics position with his firm.

"He would not get a job with the bank in management," Stage said, "but his credentials in electronics were so impressive that I am doing all in my power to get him a job with the bank in the area of electronics."

Though business majors seem to be more highly sought after, other majors are not counted out.

Stage said that although he mainly sought management-type graduates, jobs for other majors were possible with his company, such as psychology,

political science or journalism.

Besides jobs after college, some students look toward graduate school as an alternative. University Placement handles this also. Several graduate schools visit the campus.

The placement service provides interviews and valuable contacts, Galloway said, but the students must get the jobs themselves.

University Placement offers job-seeking workshops, practice interview sessions and other preparatory services for job-hunting students.

Drew Saunders, director of industrial relations from the Caterpillar Tractor and Equipment Co. in Charlotte, said students interviewed should just be themselves.

Saunders said that a high grade point average was important but not necessary. He said he preferred that students, "don't talk about what they didn't do, but what they have done," during their interviews.

## Local groups argue against Harris plant

By RACHEL PERRY  
Staff Writer

Despite the absence of Carolina Power and Light Co. representatives, a public forum held Tuesday night to air views on Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant drew heated arguments from residents and anti-nuclear power groups.

The Harris plant, which is owned by CP&L, is under construction about 20 miles southeast of Chapel Hill in Wake County.

Several local groups have opposed construction of the Harris plant, whose first 900,000-kilowatt reactor is slated to go on line in 1985. Three other reactors are scheduled for completion in 1988, 1992 and 1994.

The Chapel Hill Citizen's Task Force to Assess the Effects of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant on Chapel Hill reported results of 17 months of study last October. Tuesday night's public forum gave residents their first chance to air views on the task force's report.

UNC Student Body President Bob Saunders said, "I think students oppose construction of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant. Low-level radioactive waste is a particular concern of the students here." Saunders advocated continued student involvement in groups like ECOS and the N.C. Student Legislature.

Other anti-nuclear group spokesmen commended the task force on the thoroughness of their report, but said the town should take a stand against the plant.

"This so-called 'pro-safety' position in actuality represents one which meekly accepts the plant and suggests that we begin to plan for the worst," said Bill Cummings of the Friends of Chapel Hill. "We find the task force's report to be a document of surrender and a prescription for disaster."

Phyllis Lotchin, chairman of the task force, said "We have no plans to change our report or our position at this time." Lotchin said that certain circumstances, such as the use of highly radioactive plutonium as part of the reactor fuel rods, could bring about a reassessment of the task force's position, however.

Other speakers questioned the safety of nuclear power. "The basic design of nuclear power plants, along with human and mechanical errors, precludes absolute safety," said Daniel Reed of the Chapel Hill Anti-Nuclear Group Effort. "Even if all the kinks get worked out, are we safe from accidents?"

Bob Brogden, representing the Kudzu Alliance, questioned CP&L's safety record. "Their Brunswick plant has one

See NUCLEAR on page 2



Al Wood scored 18 points in Tar Heels' win ... continues fine play against ACC opponents

## Late free throws lift Heels over Clemson

By DAVID POOLE  
Sports Editor

CLEMSON, S.C. — For a while during the second half of North Carolina's 61-47 Atlantic Coast Conference basketball victory over Clemson Wednesday night, it looked like poor free throw shooting would be the death of the Tar Heels.

But even though the Tar Heels missed 12 shots from the line in the second half, their performance from the line in the game's final moments made what was really a very close basketball game look like an easy win.

The Tar Heels hit on 12-of-16 free throws in the game's final 1:35 and were comfortably ahead before Clemson shook a late-game shooting drought.

Carolina was down by eight early in the second half and Clemson, with its famous crowd support behind it in Littlejohn Coliseum, threatened to put the Tar Heels away. But Al Wood and his Tar Heel teammates fought off the Clemson rally and slowly pulled back into the game.

Carolina scored 12 of the next 14 points after Clemson took the 38-30 lead and went up 42-40 on two Sam Perkins free throws with 11:40 left. Clemson tied it

on a Larry Nance basket, but James Worthy hit a jump shot and Wood made one of two free throws to stake Carolina to a lead it never lost.

At that point, with about eight minutes left, the Tar Heels, now 15-4 and 6-1 in the ACC, went into a motion offense and burned more than two minutes off the clock. Clemson failed to convert on two turnovers though and another Worthy shot with about three minutes left made it 47-42.

Wood then missed the front ends of two one-and-ones, but by this time the Tigers had gone cold from the floor. Misses by Nance, Mark Campbell and Vincent Hamilton gave the ball back to the Tar Heels three times and Carolina got four free throws from Perkins and a three-point play from Wood to lead 54-42 and put the game away. Clemson wound up hitting on only eight of 30 second-half shots.

The Tar Heels were led once again by Wood who scored 18 points, 12 in the second half. Perkins scored 16 points and had 12 rebounds. Perkins was especially effective from the line, hitting on 8-of-10 shots.

See HEELS on page 2