

Skies will be clear today, but it will be breezy and cold. High today near 40, low tonight in the mid-teens. No chance of rain.

The Daily Tar Heel

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

If you're looking for fun and excitement, why not look into the Outing Club? Club activities range from hang gliding to kayaking. Story on page 7.

Volume 88, Issue 9/90

Thursday, February 12, 1981 Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 933-0246
Business/Advertising 939-1163

Irregularities put races into limbo

Jessiman challenges 'DTH' results

By MELODEE ALVES
Staff Writer

Several irregularities in this year's election procedures have placed *The Daily Tar Heel* and student body president races in limbo for another day.

The Elections Board Wednesday night appointed two of its members to investigate complaints and to report back to the board tonight. At this point, Jim Hummel has won 30.1 percent of the votes for *DTH* editor and Joe Buckner was leading in the student body presidential race with 49.1 percent.

DTH candidate Thomas Jessiman filed a complaint with the Elections Board last night protesting the closing of the Craige Dorm polls 30 minutes before the official closing time of 7 p.m. He also said that Hummel supporters allegedly were handing out brochures within the 50 feet limit of the election polls in Hinton James.

"We took Craige by 2 to 1 vote," Jessiman said. "With that box staying open the full time and with other election violations corrected, we could have won outright."

The Craige poll was closed at 6:15 p.m. because of personal problems involving the poll tender, said Deana Williamson, Elections Board executive assistant, who spoke with the Craige poll tender.



'DTH' candidates Hummel (left) and Jessiman during Elections Board hearing ... Jessiman filed complaint alleging polling irregularities at Craige dorm

In response to the charge that some of his workers were in violation of the 50 feet limit, Hummel said it was up to the Elections Board to determine whether any wrongdoing had taken place.

"There are people working for me who I don't even know," he said. "I had no knowledge that this was taking place."

If the Elections Board determines that the closing of the Craige polls would make a difference in the outcome, a runoff is possible, said Gregg James, Elections

Board chairperson.

Jessiman said that his campaign manager has 42 signatures from people in Craige who said they would have voted for him had the polls remained open. "To have that happen in my strongest dorm is more than enough to force a runoff," he said.

Hummel responded by saying, "We can start playing the numbers game. I can get people to sign petitions, too."

See PROBLEMS on page 3

Buckner, Norberg likely to face runoff

By KATHERINE LONG
Staff Writer

After counting results of ballots from Hinton James and Wilson Library, uncertified results shows there will be a runoff for student body president between Joe Buckner and Scott Norberg on Feb. 17.

The uncertified count was: Buckner, 3,205 votes (49.1 percent); Norberg, 2,710 votes (41.5 percent); Tim Smith, 289 votes (4.4 percent); Mark Bozymiski, 230 votes (3.5 percent); and write-ins 91 (1.4 percent).

Counting stopped for a while Tuesday night when Norberg questioned an agreement the candidates signed which stated they would accept results from Hinton James although registration sheets were not used there. Norberg said he wanted to make sure the agreement would not invalidate the candidates' rights to disagree with any other irregularities in the voting process.

Buckner said he started campaigning again Wednesday night. "We can't let up," he said. "We have to redouble our efforts."

Norberg said he thought the added time would give him a chance to emphasize the work he has done in Student Government. "It is a whole new ball

game," he said.

Both Bozymiski and Smith had asked that their votes be voided, throwing the election to Buckner. However, the Elections Board denied their request. Buckner said that voiding the votes would be "unethical" and that he advised them to withdraw their request.

Smith said he had not talked with either candidate directly about throwing them his support and that he wanted to remain neutral at this time.

A runoff also will be held between Carolina Athletic Association presidential candidates Jake Kelly and Steve Theriot. The uncertified vote count was: Kelly, 1,956 votes (31.8 percent); Harold Cooley, 336 votes (5.5 percent); Chuck Gardiner, 1,850 votes (30.1 percent); and Theriot, 1,910 votes (31.1 percent); and write-ins, 91 (1.5 percent).

Kelly said the runoff made her more nervous than the first election.

"A lot of places where Gardiner did real well, so did Steve I'll have to work real hard," Kelly said.

"I think I'll run strong in a runoff," Theriot said, but added, "It's going to be another squeaker."

See ELECTION on page 2

No. 7 Deacons thrash sluggish Heels, 84-68

By DAVID POOLE
Sports Editor
and
CLIFTON BARNES
Assistant Sports Editor

Wake Forest came into Carmichael Auditorium Wednesday night and beat the North Carolina Tar Heels badly. It was that simple.

"It was embarrassing, it was embarrassing to all of us," Al Wood said of Wake's 84-68 thrashing of the Tar Heels. The defeat marked the first time since 1973 that Carolina has lost two consecutive games in Chapel Hill.

"I can't remember us being dominated like that, especially here," Carolina coach Dean Smith said. "We weren't ready to play, and that's my fault." Whatever the reason, North Carolina was nearly out of the ball game before the fans had settled in their seats. The seventh-ranked Deacons came out nearly as sluggish as UNC and neither team scored in the game's first 2:57, but Wake managed to shake its slow start while the Tar Heels did not.

All five Wake starters had a hand in the early rally that staked the Deacons to a 24-6 lead midway through the half. The big guns, though, were Jim Johnstone, Frank Johnson and Alvis Rogers, each of whom had 6 points in the game's first 10 minutes.

"They jumped on us early," Wood said. "We were flat-footed while they were moving and

executing."

After the Deacons' initial burst, the Tar Heels finally began having some success on offense and managed to keep the Deacs from opening the lead much further.

Wake took its biggest lead of the first half at 40-18 when Mike Helms hit a 15-footer at the 1:36 mark. Wake led 44-23 at intermission.

Any hope of a North Carolina comeback then suffered a serious setback in the early moments of the second half when Guy Morgan, Johnson and Rogers hit the first three baskets of the half to extend the lead to 49-22.

"We thought the first five minutes of the second half would be very important in any comeback attempt they might make," said Wake Forest coach Carl Tacy. "We knew we were going to be hard-pressed in the second half and that we would have to do a good job of protecting the ball."

"We came out and they opened up the lead even more," Wood said. "We were still flat-footed for five minutes."

"Our main objective was to get the tap and score quickly for motivation," James Worthy said. But the tap was knocked out of bounds by Sam Perkins and Wake began pushing the margin wider.

"I thought at the half we still had a chance to win the game," Smith said. "But, we had to get off to a better start than we did."

See HEELS on page 6



Frank Johnson (14) and James Worthy fight for the ball ... Wake's Jim Johnstone and UNC's Matt Doherty look on

State may share revenue with counties

By MONICA MALPASS
Staff Writer

North Carolina legislators are considering the establishment of a state revenue sharing program modeled after a federal program that would return millions of dollars in state taxes to towns and counties.

During the first year, the measure would send \$8 million to the 100 counties and about \$2.7 million to towns and cities depending on population.

Sen. Robert Jordan, D-Mt. Gilead, who supports the bill, emphasized the counties' needs for additional funds because of state mandates requiring social services and health programs.

The \$8 million is already in the budget as part of the general fund, he said. This fund is supplied by sales and income taxes, so it grows as inflation increases.

"This is just one way we can give them (counties) some growth income," Jordan said. "Further legislative sessions could raise the amounts as they see fit."

But opponents of the bill are concerned that local governments might become so dependent on the state that their programs could collapse if funding ever ceased.

Sen. Sam Noble, D-Lumberton, expressed another concern. "Why have them send money up here, through taxes, and then we send it back?" he asked. "They'll never get back what they send up here, after it has been all through the bureaucracy."

Noble said he preferred that regulation of local programs be loosened and that state administrative expenses be reduced so more money could go directly to counties.

The exact refund amount for Orange County depends on which of several proposals concerning revenue sharing eventually is passed.

Rep. Joe Hackney, D-Orange, said since revenue sharing was a pilot proposal involving little money at present, it would make little difference in Orange County's current financial problems.

He said even if the proposal passed, it may not be put into effect this year, since the state budget may be trimmed.

Rep. Patricia Hunt, D-Orange, said the state would wait to see what cuts President Reagan made before deciding where general fund money would go. It may be necessary to fund Medicaid or highway construction instead of giving a refund to counties, she said.

Hunt said she did not support revenue sharing but preferred that the state pay county expenses on schools and services and let the local governments be responsible for raising their own taxes.

Presently, counties and cities rely on property taxes, which are unresponsive to inflation, to fund social services that the state mandates, she said.

If the bill passes later this spring, funding would be implemented starting in January 1982.

—NOW accounts out of reach for most students—

By RACHEL PERRY
Staff Writer

Interest Checking, Bonus Checking, Checking Plus Five and a Quarter, NOW. What? The newest terminology of Econ 311?

Actually, these catchy phrases are among the numerous names local banks have chosen for their versions of interest-bearing checking accounts.

The accounts, called NOW or Negotiable Order of Withdrawal, were authorized for both savings and loans and commercial banks by a federal law passed last year. The NOW accounts have been on the market since Dec. 31.

According to Chapel Hill bankers, public response to

the interest-bearing checking accounts has been good. "We've been pleasantly pleased by the response," said Eddie Mann, Orange Savings and Loan president.

However, most bankers did say that UNC students did not seem to be converting their old checking accounts to NOW accounts, primarily because of the high minimum balances required. "It's a rip-off for students," said one NCNB employee who asked to remain anonymous.

"I don't know if students aren't aware, don't have that extra bit of money or haven't asked Mom and Dad, but very few students are converting their accounts," said Jim Walters, NCNB vice president.

Most students interviewed said they couldn't afford a NOW checking account. "I just don't have that kind of money they have asked for (the minimum balance)," said Janet Stevens, a sophomore English major from Fairfax, Va. "It's a good idea to get interest off of a checking account, but too difficult on my budget," she



Walker

have enough money. I might open one over the summer, though," she said.

Orange Savings and Loan President Mann said he advised his own daughter, a UNC junior, against opening

See BANK on page 2

	Balance To Avoid Charges				Monthly Charge
	Minimum NOW	Average NOW	Minimum Savings	Yield Percent	
CCB, NOW Account	\$1000	\$1500	\$500	5.39	\$4.15
First Citizens, Check With Interest	\$ 500	—	—	5.39	\$2.15
First Union, Checking Plus 5/8 NOW	\$ 500	—	—	5.39	\$2.15
NCNB, Bonus Checking	\$1000	\$2500	\$500	5.39	\$5
Northwestern, Interest Checking	\$ 500	—	—	5.39	\$2.20
Orange Savings & Loan, NOW Account	\$ 300	—	\$500	5.39	\$1.15
State Employees' Credit Union, Share-Draft Account	\$ 100	—	—	6.00	(.10)
Wachovia, Interest Checking	\$ 500	\$2000	—	5.39	\$2.15