

## Student vote to determine supervision of fees Feb. 9

By MARK SCHOEN  
DTH Staff Writer

Students at UNC will play a major role in determining how their Student Activities Fee money is to be supervised when they vote on a constitutional referendum on Feb. 9.

The proposed amendment, if approved, would give the Campus Governing Council authority to establish a set of bylaws for the Student Audit Board and to open the books of organizations receiving CGC-allocated student fee money for inspection by the board.

Student and University officials agree that changes must be implemented to make the long-dormant Audit Board effective once again. They are sharply divided, however, on how far those revisions should go.

The board is responsible for overseeing the Student Activities Fund Office, which handles the accounts of a variety of student organizations. Staffed by three students and one faculty adviser, the board investigates complaints that organizations have about SAFO and conducts an annual audit of the fund office.

In addition, the board is responsible for setting salaries, hiring and firing SAFO employees, and determining operating procedures, said John L. Temple, vice chancellor for business and finance.

"I feel like the entire process broke down," Temple said, referring to the board's problems in recent years. "There was some feeling the Audit Board should perpetuate itself. We went for a time without it functioning at all."

"It's such a confusing situation, and that's what we're trying to resolve," he said.

Although SAFO was created in 1934 and the Audit Board was established in 1931, the need to establish how the organizations fit in the university framework did not arise until 1972, when the student body president was made a member of the UNC Board of Trustees, said Donald A. Boulton,

vice chancellor for student affairs.

"A lot of people don't realize that added a whole lot of dimensions," Boulton said. "We have never really come to grips with this SAFO. We're now beginning to tie SAFO back in, in a real sense."

"Whether we like it or not, Student Government — the executive office, by virtue of the trustees' position — and the legislative branch have to be involved. That's a given."

Problems with the board centered on the appointment process when vacancies occurred, said Student Body President Scott Norberg.

"The bylaws say the student body president shall appoint members of the Student Audit Board and that they should be approved by the CGC," he said. "The Audit Board decided that it didn't like the people the president and council were appointing because they didn't hold the same opinions."

As a result, Norberg said, old members would not tell new members when and where board

meetings took place. Also, the board screened and chose new members itself.

"When you're talking about a board that is supposed to be overseeing the accounts of well in excess of \$400,000 of student fees, the magnitude of the problem should be evident," he said. "I don't think students can expect to continue being responsible for our money if this is the way the system is going to work."

The confusion was manifested by the appearance of two sets of Audit Board bylaws — one drawn up by the CGC and the other established by the board itself last year.

The CGC version required the Audit Board to make a yearly report to the council on the board's activities and audits. In addition, board members were to be appointed by the student body president upon recommendation by the board, and approved by the CGC.

In contrast, the Audit Board version stipulated a report to the vice chancellor for business and fi-

nance at least once every two years. Also, new members were to be solicited for and approved by the board, with no Student Government intervention.

Norberg said the board disregarded the CGC version and drew up its own set in order to avoid what he called "student scrutiny."

"The Audit Board just decided it didn't like student scrutiny or the process of involvement by elected officials, so they took it into their own hands," he said. "It's an abuse of the system that could end up depriving students of responsibility for student fees."

The Audit Board, however, only wanted to give itself the independence it needed to function properly, said board member Sandy Cockrell.

"We need an appearance of independence," he said. "It is impossible to be objective if you can't be independent."

See AUDIT on page 2

## Swish! Tar Heels dunk Pack

By CLIFTON BARNES  
Sports Editor

Two of the North Carolina Tar Heels' better games have come against rival N.C. State.

On a snowy, icy Jan. 13 the Tar Heels traveled to Raleigh and opened up a close game in the second half.

On a clear and cool Jan. 30 the Wolfpack came to Chapel Hill and again North Carolina opened up a close game at the beginning of the second half. The Tar Heels went on to win 58-44 Saturday afternoon.

"At Raleigh we played about as well as I think we have all year," UNC coach Dean Smith said of his team's 61-41 win. "It's ironic that two of our best games have come against State."

Second-year Wolfpack coach Jim Valvano didn't think it was too ironic.

"The thing is that Carolina is always at their best against us," Valvano said. "If they are ever flat, it doesn't happen against State."

"Both teams were so cautious in the last game," he said. "So we just went out and said 'Let's play the damn thing.'"

They did — in the first half anyway. The Wolfpack, behind the inside power of Thurl Bailey and Chuck Nevitt, opened up five-point leads before the Tar Heels came back for a 29-27 halftime lead.

The last time the two teams met, Bailey was held scoreless in the first half and Nevitt was no factor. Dereck Whittenburg was the high scorer. This time he was held to 2 points in the half and the game.

"(Jimmy) Black did a good job against Dereck (Whittenburg) straight up," Smith said. But Black got some help from Michael Jordan, Matt Doherty and even Sam Perkins and James Worthy on the baselines.

"We were trying to help out on Whittenburg — pressure him a little," Doherty said. "We didn't want him driving, so we were jamming up the inside so he wouldn't get an easy basket."


Whittenburg went 1-of-4 on the day with 3 turnovers and only 1 assist.

But even with Doherty and Worthy combining for 23 first-half points and Whittenburg neutralized, State was down by only two at the half.

"We wanted to get after it early, take it to them physically, and we did," said Valvano whose Wolfpack out-rebounded the Tar Heels 18-9 in the half. "If it wasn't for some sloppy ball-handling, we would have led at halftime. We still felt good though. I told the players that the first five minutes of the second half would be critical."

They were.

In the first 5:20 of the second half the Heels opened a 39-31 lead mostly behind Worthy and Perkins. Perkins, who came alive in the second half, scored twice more to up the lead to 43-31 and the rout was on.



UNC's Sam Perkins reaches for the ball against State Saturday  
...Perkins finished with 14 points and 7 rebounds

It never got closer than 11 points from then on out.

"We came out fired up in the second half," said Perkins who finished with 14 points and 7 rebounds. "We were not going to let them back in the game. The starting five made up its mind at halftime that we were going to stop them."

Worthy said the key was boxing out better. "The first two or three minutes of the second

half is the most important part of the ballgame," said Worthy, who led all scorers with 23 points. "In the first minutes of the second half you set the tempo for the rest of the game. We knew we had to limit them to one shot by boxing out better."

The Tar Heels did a better job defensively in the second half, Smith said. "Our second-half

See GAME on page 5

## New course review to be more accurate

By PAM DUNCAN  
DTH Staff Writer

The Carolina Course Review, the student-run course evaluation system at UNC, will resume operation this spring with the help of a \$4,000 per semester allocation from the Campus Governing Council, Student Body President Scott Norberg said last week.

The review closed down in the fall of 1980 because of finance problems, student management and validity of questionnaires and results. Norberg said he and his administration had been working on these problems since last spring, which was when the CGC allocated the money for the review.

"I've been very committed to having the course review revitalized," Norberg said. "We've come up with a whole new system and we're going to be in a position to start reviewing this semester."

Norberg said the new review would involve two steps. First, before the summer semester starts, the review will be made available to the faculty in order

for them to evaluate their spring semester's performances immediately. Second, the review will be distributed again just before fall pre-registration for the benefit of students.

"There are a lot of problems with the technical aspects of the way that courses are reviewed," Norberg said. "We think the new system that we're going to implement takes care of those problems." There are new forms to fill out and an entirely different computer program using the University's computers, he said.

John Hamilton, chairman of the Student Government Course Review Committee, said the lack of student input into the review had been a major problem, but added, "We've got the manpower problem solved now, with the help of Alpha Phi Omega (Service Fraternity). They'll be doing the footwork involved," Hamilton said.

See REVIEW on page 2

## Ranked third in U.S.

## N.C. Triad seen as 'livable'

By TAMMY DAVIS  
DTH Staff Writer

"Places Rated Almanac" has placed the Greensboro/High Point/Winston-Salem area third and the Raleigh/Durham area ninth in a list of the most livable areas in the United States, and state and local officials have reacted favorably to the book's findings.

The Rand-McNally publication, written by Richard Boyer and David Savageau, is compiled from lists of cities and their rankings in various areas in comparison with other U.S. cities. Atlanta was chosen the most livable U.S. city.

The list of the most livable areas, which surveyed 277 metropolitan areas, based its choices on factors which included climate, housing, health care, crime, transportation, education, recreation, arts and economics.

Jack Satterfield, director of economic development at the Greensboro Chamber of Commerce, said not only was the Triad area third overall but also was first in a list of the most attractive areas among medium-sized standard metropolitan areas.

"The reason has to do with the nature of modeling and depends on what features the surveyors consider as livable," Satterfield said. "Their model coincided with the criteria that this area possesses."

Satterfield said though the Greensboro area did not come out first in any one category, there were no detrimental flaws in any area.

Satterfield said he doubted people would sit down, look at the survey and decide to live in Greensboro. "People make moves because corporations move them," he said. "Corporate planners will be looking at reports like this and this area ranks rather high in being appropriate for expansion."

Satterfield said he suspected the survey would have some impact, but "it will be more or less a continuing growth for the area."

Warren Steen, manager of public affairs at the Greater Winston-Salem Chamber of Commerce, said the Winston-Salem area would benefit from the report's findings.

Steen said the Nagele Outdoor Advertising Company would be posting large interstate billboards throughout the area. The billboards will read, "Best in the U.S. Congratulations to the Triad!" he said.

"Also, we've been in touch with the Today show people," Steen said. "A film crew is supposed to come into the area (this week) to shoot a show."

Steen said four major colleges plus cultural resources such as Old Salem, Tanglewood Park and the Arts Council were assets to the area as well. "We have the opportunities, both cultural and educational, but we're not faced with the problems of a large city," he said.

Steen noted climate as a big factor in the area. "When you have 18 inches of snow, a whole city has to close down. The climate here is very suitable for business," Steen said, contrasting Winston-Salem with more Northern regions.

Steen said the business community had responded with time as well as expertise in helping make the city in the book's top-ten list. "We have a number of things that are helping but our people are number one," he said.

With two areas of the same state ranked among the top (ten) of a national list there was bound to be some sectional rivalry, and some area officials were miffed because the survey placed the Triad above the Triangle.

See CITIES on page 2

## Drescher, Vandenberg get endorsements

By KATHERINE LONG  
and  
KEN MINGIS  
DTH Staff Writers

The Panhellenic Council, the governing board of 12 of the 15 sororities on campus, endorsed Mike Vandenberg for student body president and John Drescher for Daily Tar Heel editor after hearing the candidates speak at a forum Sunday afternoon.

Vandenberg won the endorsement based on his extensive and concrete knowledge of the issues facing Student Government, according to a statement issued by the council.

"His experience in various aspects of Student Government has given him a thorough and practical view of what the organization can do," according to the statement.

"We would hope that people would value our decision," said Betsy Swartzbaugh, panhellenic publicity chairman. "We endorse, because we feel it is important that the candidates recognize some responsibility to the people the council represents."

In addition to speaking to the Panhellenic Council, candidates Mark Canady, Summey Orr, Tim Smith and Vandenberg spoke at Residence Hall Association forums in Morrison and Ehringhaus residence halls.

In questioning after the Morrison forum, the candidates discussed the proposed student fee increase, textbook costs to students and ideas for improving food service on campus.

Orr said he was opposed to the fee increase. "A lot of the money that would be raised would go to organizations which are not as hard pressed for money as The Daily Tar Heel."

Vandenberg and Smith, however, said they supported the increase. Canady said he thought a smaller increase was possible, but only if students were willing to settle for a smaller Chapel Thrill concert.

Orr also proposed the creation of a Textbook Review Board that would evaluate textbook edition changes and determine whether they are necessary.

"A lot of times the only thing that's changed is a picture of the author on the back cover or something small like that," Orr said.

Smith said he disagreed with the idea behind Orr's textbook board.

"I question the feasibility of this board," Smith said. "I don't think students have the expertise to be able to judge edition changes, or decide whether one book's better than another."

Canady answered questions about his proposal to bring a fast food chain into the Fast Break in the Carolina Union.

"It (my proposal) works at universities such as Stanford and Tennessee," he said. "The problem with ARA (the food company presently on campus) is that there is no competition. It is not receptive to student needs."

Vandenberg said, however, that a report issued by outside consultants recommended incorporating all food services under one management.

"The problem that needs to be recognized is that the food service should compete with Franklin Street, not with itself," he said.

In the written statement, the Panhellenic Council said it voted to endorse Drescher because of his suggestion of a liaison between the DTH and large organizations on campus, including the Panhellenic Council. The council cited Drescher's professional experience which would give him an "added perspective" as editor. Drescher worked as a reporter last summer at the News and Observer of Raleigh.

During the forum, Drescher said he would have one reporter check on Panhellenic Council news every week.

In citing his professional experience, Drescher said he would "like to see the DTH run as much like a professional newspaper as possible."

Editorial candidate Jonathan Rich, who worked for the DTH for three years and worked at a marketing re-

See FORUM on page 2



Presidential candidates Summey Orr and Mike Vandenberg  
...talk at the Panhellenic Council forum Sunday