

Slow rain coming
High today in the mid 40s,
low in the 30s with a 60 per-
cent chance of rain.

The Daily Tar Heel

Last chance
Read the articles written by
student body president Can-
didates for a summary of
their platforms. See page 8

Serving the students and the University community since 1893

Friday, February 5, 1982

Chapel Hill, North Carolina

News/Sports/Arts 962-0245
Business/Advertising 962-1163

Volume 88, Issue 129

Monitors

Ticket-writing holds danger for some parking attendants

By TINA RUDOLPH
DTH Staff Writer

Bright orange makes an easy target. For UNC parking monitors, being an easy target can be dangerous.

"I've been intentionally hit with a car before by a law professor," said Phyllis Elliott, a former ticket monitor and now one of the two traffic supervisors. "This was on the night of a basketball game when I was blocking the law school parking lot for the exclusive use of the press. I told the professor his permit was invalid, because after certain hours we have the right to reserve different parking areas, and, with that, he hit me with his car and pulled into a space anyway."

While all the monitored sites do not prove to be as troublesome as the law school parking lot, there are, still a few locations considered to be problem areas.

"The worst location is the Ehringhaus parking lot," Elliott said. "It is not unusual for the football players to come out of the dorm and verbally harass the monitors. Another bad area is on Stadium in front of Teague dormitory," she said. "I can remember one day when another monitor and I were ticketing in front of Teague and were verbally harassed by being called 'traffic bitches'."

Andrew Hagar, coordinator for parking and traffic control, agreed with Elliott about the perils of the Ehringhaus lot.

"I can remember when I was a student here four years ago when the police had to come to the rescue of the monitors and escort them out of the lot," Hagar said. "The monitors were often found pinned behind cars to avoid the rain of tomatoes and shoes that were being thrown at them."

Monitors get other types of pressure a part from verbal harassment.

"I know monitors who have been bribed in order to let fans park closer to the football stadium," said Cindy Adcock, a former ticket monitor. "One attendant was given money in order to save a parking space for an alumnus."

Misunderstandings about how parking fines are used may be responsible for some of the harassment.

"The majority of the revenue comes from the sale of parking permits," Hagar said. "What most people don't realize is that every bit of revenue goes back into traffic operations such as subsidizing the bus system and the university police, improving grounds, etc. In other words, we're a non-profit organization."



Phyllis Elliott and Roger Edbert—ticketers ...harassment has become part of job

There are some benefits that come with being a ticket monitor. One is the flexible working hours. The traffic office requires that each employee must work five to 15 hours a week, preferably in two- or three-hour shifts per day. If a student feels he needs to work fewer hours than he had originally signed up for, because of a test or an unexpected assignment, he can either find a substitute or, if he is unable to find a replacement, he can get off work.

"All of the students have been very reliable," Hagar said. "In fact, within the last year, only one student has been fired for altering a time sheet. I realize the students are here to go to school, although if they make a commitment, I encourage them to be responsible."

The job can provide the student with a sense of authority.

Koeze files complaint against Audit Board

By CHERYL ANDERSON
DTH Staff Writer

UNC student Jeffrey Koeze filed a complaint with the Student Supreme Court Thursday against two members of the Student Audit Board, claiming that the board excluded him from meetings he was legally entitled to attend.

Koeze, a senior English major from Grand Rapids, Mich., said he filed the complaint against board members Sanford Cockrell and Carol Ann Fri because he felt the action would clarify the relationship between the Campus Governing Council and the Student Audit Board that is "confusing on the part of CGC members and apparently the student body and the Audit Board."

Koeze said the board refused to recognize him as a member after he was appointed by Student Body President Scott Norberg and that the board elected a speaker in accordance with by-laws that have not been approved by CGC.

Norberg refused to comment on the complaint because he said he might be called by the court to testify in the case.

Audit Board member Fri, one of the two defendants in the case said she saw no point in the court case. "Taking this to the Supreme Court isn't going to solve anything because the real problem is in the future and not in the past."

Fri said the real problem was that no one knew who controlled the Audit Board. She said the Audit Board should be accountable to the CGC but had received instructions from Norberg. "We want to be accountable to somebody," she said. "And CGC is the most representative student body organization on campus."

Last fall the Audit Board appointed Ted Kyle as a member and its chairman. But Koeze was appointed by Norberg as a member to fill the third seat on the three-seat board according to old by-laws, Fri said. "That's where the split came" that resulted in the case, she said.

Fri said Koeze was denied entry to only one Audit Board meeting because "nothing was settled yet." The board, Koeze, Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs Donald Boulton and Vice Chancellor for Business and Finance John Temple met before the complaint was filed to try to resolve some of the confusion. "We have nothing against Jeff Koeze," Fri said.

According to CGC by-laws, the council cannot create by-laws for an organization it does not fund. The Audit Board members acted in accordance to by-laws the board created as an "act of desperation," Fri said. She said the board was under pressure to act on a pension plan proposed last year for the Student Activities Funds Office, one organization the Audit Board oversees.

Anti-busing bill passes in Senate

The Associated Press

WASHINGTON — The Senate on Thursday approved, 58-38, the toughest anti-busing legislation ever cleared by either house of Congress.

The legislation, an amendment to a spending authorization bill for the Justice Department, would prohibit federal judges from ordering busing for students who live more than five miles or 15 minutes from their schools.

Another provision would bar Justice Department lawyers from seeking busing orders in federal courts.

"Long-distance busing is a leech on the educational system of this country," said Sen. Bennett Johnston, D-La., a principal supporter of the proposal.

But opponents led by Sen. Lowell

Weicker, R-Conn., condemned the amendment as an unconstitutional intrusion into the ability of federal judges to protect individual rights. Weicker vowed a filibuster against the authorization bill.

Further action was not expected until next week.

The House has not considered specific curbs against busing, but it has approved the proposal prohibiting Justice from seeking busing orders.

President Ronald Reagan has said he opposes busing for desegregation and the Justice Department has said other methods will be used in desegregation cases.

Even more stringent anti-busing legislation is in the Senate Judiciary Committee. That would prohibit federal judges from ordering busing at all. The committee is expected to vote on that measure next week.

Sen. Jesse Helms, R-N.C., said Thursday that busing amendment was "the most far-reaching legislation set in terms of eventually going away with forced busing altogether."

"The amendment does two things," Helms said. "First, it prevents the U.S. Justice Department from intervening in school cases to require busing to schools other than the schools closest to the pupils' homes. And it restrains the courts from imposing unreasonable forced busing plans on any student."

"If defines 'reasonable' as no more than a 30-minute bus ride round-trip, or 10 miles in distance round trip."

"This will go a long way towards putting an end to what Sen. Sam Ervin once correctly described as the 'worst tyranny ever imposed upon school children.' It has been worth all the effort and time and hard work that I and others have put into it."

However, Sen. Carl Levin, D-Mich., called it "a pernicious precedent."

"The courts are going to be hobbled by this kind of approach," he said after voting against the measure.

Levin said if judges were restricted on how they enforce minority rights, there was nothing to prevent Congress from intruding on other constitutional rights like free speech.

Senate conservatives have been pushing proposals to strip federal judges of authority to rule in busing, school prayer and abortion cases.

Weicker conceded that busing was unpopular, but said the Senate was buckling to unwieldy public pressure to enact unconstitutional legislation.

Unsuccessfully appealing to the Senate, to "show our faces and not our butts to the world," Weicker said. "Maybe this is good for getting votes, but it sure as hell is not good for the rest of the country."

He vowed to continue his fight through a filibuster against the overall bill. But anti-busing senators successfully closed off debate on the issue last year, and appeared to have the votes to do it again.

The House has approved the Helms proposal prohibiting the Justice Department from seeking busing orders, but has not considered specific curbs against busing plans.

Johnston said that in Baton Rouge, La., there was a desegregation plan in effect under which six-year-old children are bused for an hour and half each morning to school.

"That is improvident," he said. "That is idiotic." He said while it was clear that the Constitution required public schools should be desegregated, there was nothing in the Constitution which required that it be accomplished by busing.

CGC studies Audit Board plan

By JONATHAN SMYLLIE
DTH Staff Writer

Attempting to understand what they voted on last week, the Campus Governing Council listened Wednesday to Audit Board members explain their objections to the proposed Audit Board revisions.

On Jan. 20, the CGC passed a bill proposed by Student Body President Scott Norberg to allow the student body to vote on whether to revise the function of the Student Audit Board.

Speaker ElChino Martin said that there were some misconceptions that needed clearing up. The idea that anyone on the CGC would be able to go into the Student Activities Fund Office and look through any organization's book was not true, Martin said.

Another misconception Martin said that might be confusing was the idea that if the amendment was passed then the by-laws also pass. That is not the case, he said. It is a two-step process. After the amendment passes, the CGC must pass the by-laws.

Defending the amendment and the by-laws Ellen Goldberg, District 10, said the by-laws were needed to prevent having an autonomous board.

"The problem came up when the Audit Board drew up their own by-laws," she said. "That made them an autonomous board having only to answer to themselves. We have a responsibility to keep a check on this organization."

Most of the council's discussion centered on the questions of who had the nominating power of the board members.

The proposed by-laws illustrate the selection of board members as a three-step process. The Student Audit Board is to submit three nominations per vacant position to the student body president for appointment, then the president will submit one to the CGC for final confirmation.

Members of the Audit Board have protested this selection process, complaining that it could make the board political and vulnerable to the influence of the student body president.

"If you allow the student body president to have appointment power, he in essence is appointing people that would audit his executive position," Audit Board member Sandy Cockrell said. "There is no way the Audit Board could come to an independent decision."

Cockrell cited the example of when Norberg attempted to borrow money from the president's discretionary fund last fall to take the LSAT as one occasion where the board's independence from the executive branch was needed.

"Clearly he should have not done it," Cockrell said. "The treasury laws said he could not do it and because the account was held with SAFO, it was our responsibility to rule on this."

Cockrell said the Audit Board supported the amendment but said it wanted to see parts of the proposed by-laws changed.

He said the board wanted to be a body that could act and make decisions independently and objectively and that it

See CGC on page 5

More groups make forum endorsements

By KATHERINE LONG
and
KEN MINGIS
DTH Staff Writers

Presidential candidates Mark Canady, Summey Orr, Tim Smith and Mike Vandenberg, *Daily Tar Heel* editor candidates John Drescher and Jonathan Rich, and Residence Hall Association presidential candidate Scott Templeton addressed several election forums Thursday.

At a forum Wednesday night sponsored by the Association for Women Students, the Coalition for Social Justice, the Americans for Common Sense and the Carolina Gay Association, AWS and ACS both endorsed Canady for student body president and Rich for editor.

AWS endorsed Canady for president because he seemed to be an active rather than passive person, Chairperson Rebecca Tillet said. Also, "Canady believed organizations should be able to voice concern on issues that affect their constituents," she said.

ACS endorsed Canady because he seemed a more dynamic personality than other candidates, Chairperson Ted Johnson said. "He won't hesitate to take stands and get involved."

Although neither of the editorial candidates were what the AWS was looking for, Tillet said, the group endorsed Rich because he seemed more concerned with four issues the group emphasized: greater recognition to achievements of women, encouragement of women to seek leadership positions within the paper, elimination of sexist ads in the *DTH* and coverage of the time and location of rapes on campus.

ACS endorsed Rich because he said he would open up the editorial page of the newspaper to more debate and



Candidates Canady, Orr, Vandenberg, Smith ...the Pit was the scene of election forum

opinion, Johnson said. "Our general feeling was that Rich would have an editorial voice more in tune with liberal, progressive groups on campus."

The candidates also spoke at forums sponsored by the Carolina Union and the Baptist Student Union. The presidential candidates discussed their views on the Audit Board referendum. Students will have a chance to vote Feb. 9 on whether they want the Campus Governing Council to rewrite Audit Board bylaws.

"There is not enough information circulating," Canady said. "To ensure its autonomy, the Audit Board should draw up its own bylaws. The whole issue's not clearly defined; I may come out against the referendum."

Orr said he was in favor of the referendum. "It's ridiculous to bring up the question of bylaws now," he said. "What this does is give the CGC a chance to strengthen the Audit Board."

Vandenberg agreed and said rumors were being spread that he had helped write the proposal.

"That's not true," he said. "I was not even on the council when it went through. This referendum does not change any rules."

Smith said he supported the proposed amendment, but wanted to see the Audit Board draw its own bylaws. "I want to make sure they retain some independence," Smith said.

DTH candidates John Drescher and Rich, in response to a prepared question, explained what they would do if the proposed student fee increase, which would mean more money for the paper, was defeated in next Tuesday's election.

See FORUM on page 3

Union picks Plummer as president

By FRANCES SILVA
DTH Staff Writer

The Union Board of Directors recently selected Wayne Plummer, a junior international studies economics major from Stokesdale as the Carolina Union president for the 1982-83 academic year.

Chosen over five other applicants, Plummer cited his previous experience as one reason he sought the job of Union president. In addition to chairing the recreation committee, he was a member of the special projects committee his sophomore year.

"The people, the staff of the Union and the type of student who works in the Union also influenced me," he said. "The staff is real helpful and interested in the students' development instead of just overseeing programs."

He said he would like to see the Union continue to reach out to different groups and areas of campus although his role will be one of administration.

"The Union has a responsibility to go further," he said. Plummer cited the series last year on aging and the speech to be given March 30 by Dr. Charles King, director of the Urban Crisis Center in Atlanta, Ga. on "Black-White Crises in the '80s," as examples.

Plummer will be responsible for keeping in touch with the committees of the Union as well as appointing chairpersons in the coming weeks.

"The president plays an active role. He



Plummer

is a resource person for the chairpeople," said Plummer.

Although the president is not directly involved in the programming for the Union, Plummer said he would like to see the College Bowl organized by a separate committee instead of coming under the auspices of another.

"It is such a big program that a separate committee sounds good," he said.

After the committee chairpersons are screened and chosen in March, the staff will hold a summer retreat to work on group dynamics and how to function in a group he added.

Plummer assumes office as the Union celebrates its 50th anniversary of service to the University community. To celebrate the birthday, events have been scheduled throughout the month. The official celebration begins at 8 p.m. today and lasts until 4 a.m. The culmination of the evening will be at 3 a.m. when a huge birthday cake is wheeled out.